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ABSTRACT

In this paper a procedure for the selection of Mixed Sampling Plan (MSP) indexed through AOQ\textsubscript{cc} which is a convex combination of consumer oriented concept, AOQL (Average Outgoing Quality Limit) and producer oriented concept, MAAOQ (Maximum Allowable Average Outgoing Quality) with Chsp-2 plan as attribute plan is given. This plan may safeguard the interests of both producer as well as consumer by properly choosing a right combination using the gain parameter $\lambda (0 < \lambda < 1)$. Tables are also constructed for the easy selection of the plan.
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INTRODUCTION

The AOQL is the average quality that the consumer will receive in the long run when the defective items are replaced by non-defective items. The construction of sampling plans based on AOQL is largely consumer oriented and MAAOQ is the average outgoing quality at the inflection point is a producer oriented, which is the average outgoing quality at MAPD. The advantage of using MAAOQ for designing a sampling plan instead of AOQL is that it reduces the sample size to be inspected which indirectly reduces the total cost. The use of MAAOQ for deriving sampling plans was justified by Suresh and Ramkumar (1996). Radhakrishnan (2002) studied various sampling plans indexed through MAPD and MAAOQ. Radhakrishnan and Mallika (2008) constructed single sampling plans indexed through AOQ\textsubscript{cc}. Radhakrishnan and Esther Jenitha (2011a, 2011b, 2011c, 2011d) constructed continuous sampling plan of the type CSP, CSP-3, CSP V (i-2x), Continuous Sampling Plan of the Type T CSP-3 plan indexed through AOQ\textsubscript{cc} which is the convex combination of AOQL and MAAOQ. In this paper an attempt is made to introduce AOQ\textsubscript{cc} in MSP using Chsp-2 plan as an attribute plan. This plan may safeguard the interests of both producer as well as consumer by choosing a right combination using the gain parameter $\lambda$.

Sampling plans indexed through $p^*$ (MAPD) which is the quality level corresponding to the inflection point of the operating characteristic (OC) curve has been explained by Mandelson (1962), Mayer (1967) and further studied by Soundararajan (1975). The MAPD is the value of fraction defective ($p=p^*$) at which

\[ \frac{d^2P_a(p)}{dp^2} = 0, \text{ for } p = p^*, \]
\[ \frac{d^2P_a(p)}{dp^2} < 0, \text{ for } p < p^*, \]
\[ \frac{d^2P_a(p)}{dp^2} > 0, \text{ for } p > p^*. \]

A variety of plans and procedures have been developed for special sampling situations involving both measurements and attributes. Each is tailored to do a specific job under prescribed circumstances. They range from a simplified variables approach to a more technically complicated combination of variables and attributes sampling in a so-called mixed sampling plans. Mixed sampling plan is a two stage sampling procedure involving variables inspection in the first stage and attributes inspection in the second stage if the variables inspection of the first sample does not lead to acceptance. Use of variables on the first
sample with attributes on the second sample combines the economy of variables for quick acceptance on the first sample with the broad non-parametric protection of attributes sampling when a questionable lot requires a second sample. The mixed sampling plans are initially introduced by Dodge (1932) and later developed by Bowker and Goode (1952). Schilling (1967) has given a method for determining the operating characteristics for mixed variables-attributes sampling plans. Using Schilling’s procedure, Devaarul (2003) has constructed mixed sampling plan. Sampath Kumar (2007) constructed mixed sampling plan with several plans as attribute plans indexed through the parameters MAPD, AOQL and MAAOQ.

Glossary of Symbols

The symbols used in this paper are as follows:

- $\bar{X}$: sample mean
- $\sigma$: population standard deviation
- $p$: submitted quality of lot or process
- $p_j$: submitted quality of lot or process ‘j’
- $P_a(p)$: probability of acceptance for given quality $p$
- $p_*$: maximum allowable percent defective (MAPD)
- $p_m$: the product quality at which AOQ is maximum
- $p_i$: the point at which the inflection tangent of the OC curve cuts the ‘p’axis
- h*: relative slope at ‘p_*’
- $n_1$: sample size for variable sampling plan
- $n_{1,2}$: first sample size for attribute sampling plan
- $n_{2,2}$: second sample size for attribute sampling plan
- i: The number of previous samples
- $\beta_j$: probability of acceptance for lot quality $p_j$
- $\beta_j^*$: probability of acceptance assigned to first stage for percent defective $p_j$
- $\beta_j^*$' : probability of acceptance assigned to second stage for percent defective $p_j$
- $z(j)$: ‘z’ value for the $j^{th}$ ordered observation
- $z(p)$: standard normal deviate
- k: variable factor such that a lot is accepted if $\bar{X} \leq A = U - k \sigma$.

Formulation of MSP with Chsp-2

Procedure: Independent Plan

Determine the four parameters of the mixed plan $n_1, n_2, k$ and ‘i’ with reference to ASN and OC curves

Take a random sample of size $n_1$ from the lot assumed to be large

If the sample average $\bar{X} \leq A = U - k \sigma$, accept the lot

If the sample average $\bar{X} > A = U - k \sigma$, take a second sample of size $n_2$

Test the sample of size $n_2$ and

(i) Accept the lot, if

(a) no defectives are found in the sample
(b) one or two defectives are found in the sample but no defectives were found in the previous ‘i’ samples

(ii) Reject the lot, otherwise

Construction of MSP With Chsp-2 Plan as Attribute Plan Indexed Through MAPD

The general procedure given by Schilling (1967), is used for constructing the mixed sampling plan having chain sampling plan of the type Chsp-2 plan as attribute plan indexed through MAPD ($p_*$) [for $\beta_j'' = (\beta_0 - \beta_j^*) / (1-\beta_j^*)$].

Construction of Tables

The probability of acceptance for ChSP-2 plan under Poisson model is given by
The relative slope of $P_{1}$ of $0.006$ at $p_{1}$. If $P_{1}$, $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ are calculated for $\beta_{i}=0.60$ using visual basic and presented in Table 1.

The general procedure for designing a Chsp-2 plan indexed through a parameter which is a convex combination of AOQL and MAAOQ using Poisson distribution as base line distribution is given below. To determine $n_{2}$, MAAOQ and $n_{2}$, AOQL for Chsp-2 for various combinations of $i$ and $n_{2}$. Find $R_{1}$ = $n_{2}$, AOQL/$n_{2}$, and $R_{2}$ = $n_{2}$, MAAOQ/$n_{2}$.

Step 2. Find $n_{2}$, MAAQ = $\lambda$, $n_{2}$, AOQL + (1 - $\lambda$) $n_{2}$, MAAOQ and $R_{3}$ = $n_{2}$, AOQL/$n_{2}$, for selected values of $\lambda$.

Step 3. Present the results of Step 1 and Section 2 in Table 1.

### Selection of the Plan

The Table 1 is used to construct the plan when MAPD and AOQL are specified. One can find the ratio $R_{i}$ = AOQL/MAPD and locate the values in Table 1 under the column $R_{i}$ (for a fixed values of $\lambda$) and the corresponding values of $i$ and $n_{2}$ are noted. The value of $n$ is determined using $n$ = $np_{i}$/MAPD and hence the parameters, $n$ and $i$ are determined.

**Example 1**:

(i) For a specified AOQL = 0.0037 and $p_{s}$ = 0.007 compute the ratio $R_{1}$ = AOQL/$p_{s}$ = 0.5286 which is associated with $i$ = 11 in Table 1 and $n_{2}$ = $n_{2}$, $p_{s}$/$p_{s}$ = 0.2233/0.004 = 32. Thus $n_{1}$, $n_{2}$ = 32, $n_{2}$, $p_{s}$ = 32, $i$ = 11 are the parameters selected for the MSP with Chsp-2 as attribute plan for a specified $p_{s}$ = 0.007, AOQL = 0.0037.

(ii) For a specified MAAOQ = 0.0042 and $p_{s}$ = 0.007 compute the ratio $R_{2}$ = MAAOQ/$p_{s}$ = 0.6000 which is associated with $i$ = 1 in Table 1 and $n_{2}$, $p_{s}$ = 1.0833/0.007 = 155. Thus $n_{1}$, $n_{2}$ = 155, $n_{2}$, $p_{s}$ = 155, $i$ = 1 are the parameters selected for the MSP with Chsp-2 as attribute plan for a specified $p_{s}$ = 0.007, MAAOQ = 0.0042.

(iii) For a specified value of AOQL = 0.0037, MAAOQ = 0.0042 and $p_{s}$ = 0.007 and $\lambda$ = 0.3, AOQL = 0.00405 compute $R_{3}$ = AOQL/$p_{s}$ = 0.5785 with $i$ = 2 in Table 1 and $n_{2}$, $p_{s}$ = 0.8053/0.007 = 115. Thus $n_{1}$, $n_{2}$ = 115, $n_{2}$, $p_{s}$ = 115, $i$ = 2 are the parameters selected for the MSP with Chsp-2 as attribute plan for a specified $p_{s}$ = 0.007, AOQL = 0.0037, MAAOQ = 0.0042.

### Practical Application

Suppose the plan with $n_{1}$ = 10, $k$ = 1.5 is to be applied to the lot-by-lot acceptance inspection of pipe from a production line, the characteristic to be inspected is the “pipes diameter in mm” for which there is a specified upper limit (U) of 45mm with a known standard deviation ($\sigma$) of 0.006 mm.

In this example, $U$ = 45 mm, $\sigma$ = 0.006 mm and $k$ = 1.5.

Now, in applying the variable inspection first, take a random sample of size $n_{1}$ = 10 from the lot. Record the sample results and find $\bar{X}$. If $\bar{X}$ ≤ $A$ = $U - k\sigma$ = 44.991 mm, accept the lot otherwise take a random sample of size $n_{2}$ = 115 and apply attribute inspection.

Under attribute inspection, by taking Chsp-2 Plan as attribute plan, if the manufacturer fixes the values $\beta$ = 0.35, $p_{s}$ = 0.007 (7 non-conformity pipes out of 1000) and the consumer fixes the quality level AOQL = 0.00405 (405 non-conformity pipes out of 100000 pipes) then select a sample of 115 pipes. Test the sample of size $n_{2}$ and Accept the lot, if no defective are found in the sample or one or two defectives are found in the sample but no defectives were found in the previous ‘2’ samples, otherwise Reject the lot.
The OC and AOQ curves for the above Example are represented in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively.

**Figure 1:** OC curves for \( n_{1,2} = 32, n_{2,2} = 32, i = 1 \) (AOQL) \( n_{1,2} = 155, n_{2,2} = 155, i = 1 \) (MAAOQ) \( n_{1,2} = 115, n_{2,2} = 115, i = 2 \) (AOQc)

**Figure 2:** AOQ curves for \( n_{1,2} = 32, n_{2,2} = 32, i = 1 \) (AOQL) \( n_{1,2} = 155, n_{2,2} = 155, i = 1 \) (MAAOQ) \( n_{1,2} = 115, n_{2,2} = 115, i = 2 \) (AOQc)
CONCLUSION
In this paper an attempt is made to construct mixed sampling plans indexed through AOQ_{cc}, a convex combination of AOQL, consumer’s preference and MAAOQ, producer’s preference with the gain parameter λ using Chsp-2 plan as attribute plan. nAOQ_{cc} values are also presented for the selected values of λ (0.3,0.6) in Table1. These sampling plans will safeguard the interest of both producer as well as consumer by choosing a right combination of the gain parameter λ. These plans will help the floor engineers to suggest the quality level AOQ_{cc} after knowing the interest of both producer and consumer which can be understood from OC and AOQ curves. This work can be extended for mixed sampling plan using other plans as attribute plan.

Table 1: Parameters of Mixed Sampling plan Chsp-2 for β_{1}' = 0.35, β_{m}' = 0.35

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I</th>
<th>n_{p*}</th>
<th>n_{2AOQL}</th>
<th>R_{1}</th>
<th>n_{MAAOQ}</th>
<th>R_{2}</th>
<th>λ=0.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nAOQ_{cc}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0833</td>
<td>0.5972</td>
<td>0.5513</td>
<td>0.6518</td>
<td>0.6017</td>
<td>0.6457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8053</td>
<td>0.4116</td>
<td>0.5111</td>
<td>0.4738</td>
<td>0.5883</td>
<td>0.4748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6305</td>
<td>0.3398</td>
<td>0.5389</td>
<td>0.3937</td>
<td>0.6245</td>
<td>0.4111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5690</td>
<td>0.2714</td>
<td>0.4771</td>
<td>0.3780</td>
<td>0.6643</td>
<td>0.3798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4342</td>
<td>0.2115</td>
<td>0.4870</td>
<td>0.3037</td>
<td>0.6994</td>
<td>0.3616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3751</td>
<td>0.1626</td>
<td>0.4335</td>
<td>0.2734</td>
<td>0.7289</td>
<td>0.3495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3303</td>
<td>0.1397</td>
<td>0.4229</td>
<td>0.2489</td>
<td>0.7535</td>
<td>0.3399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2947</td>
<td>0.1365</td>
<td>0.4632</td>
<td>0.2282</td>
<td>0.7745</td>
<td>0.3317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.2664</td>
<td>0.1182</td>
<td>0.4438</td>
<td>0.2110</td>
<td>0.7920</td>
<td>0.3258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2430</td>
<td>0.1257</td>
<td>0.5172</td>
<td>0.1961</td>
<td>0.8071</td>
<td>0.3197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.2233</td>
<td>0.1204</td>
<td>0.5392</td>
<td>0.1832</td>
<td>0.8203</td>
<td>0.3151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.2066</td>
<td>0.1182</td>
<td>0.5722</td>
<td>0.1719</td>
<td>0.8318</td>
<td>0.3109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.1923</td>
<td>0.1172</td>
<td>0.6093</td>
<td>0.1619</td>
<td>0.8418</td>
<td>0.3073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.1798</td>
<td>0.1168</td>
<td>0.6494</td>
<td>0.1530</td>
<td>0.8508</td>
<td>0.3040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.1689</td>
<td>0.1164</td>
<td>0.6894</td>
<td>0.1450</td>
<td>0.8588</td>
<td>0.3011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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