GASTROINTESTINAL STROMAL TUMOUR AS A CAUSE OF OBSCURE GASTROINTESTINAL BLEED
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ABSTRACT

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are rare tumors and can be a cause of gastrointestinal bleeding (GI bleed), when other causes have already been excluded. These mesenchymal tumors can hardly be diagnosed, and they should be included in any differential diagnosis. Our case illustrates the difficulty of diagnosis and importance of double ballon enteroscopy in diagnosis of GIST as a cause of obscure gastrointestinal bleed. Surgery is very often indicated, and becomes therapeutic and diagnostic. GIST tumors are rare and surgical resection with the curative intent is the treatment of choice.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are uncommon and can be a cause of obscure hemorrhage when conventional investigations such as esophagogastroduodenoscopy and colonoscopy fail to detect bleeding lesions. GIST tumors, a rare group of neoplasia of the gastrointestinal tract (GI) and considered to be cause of obscure GI bleeding, have small intestinal lesions in 27% of the patients (Lahoti and Fukami, 1999).

GISTs are mesenchymal tumors specific for the GI tract (60% in stomach, 30% small intestine, 10% elsewhere). From 10 to 30% of them are malignant and show liver metastases or intra-abdominal spread, they represent only 3% of all malignant GI tumors (Miettinen et al., 1999). The first series of GISTs were reported by Golden and Stout (1941). The digestive hemorrhage occurs very often because they often grow in an extraluminal direction and if they erode or ulcerate through the small bowel mucosa they can cause intermittent bleeding. The abdominal pain, abdominal mass, obstruction or the perforations are the main symptoms (Serio et al., 1998).

Diagnosing these lesions is difficult because they tend to be inaccessible to routine endoscopy, like in our case. Variable sensitivities and specificities for diagnosing small intestinal lesions are found with small bowel barium studies, selective visceral angiography, wireless capsule endoscopy, small bowel enteroscopy, radioactive isotope bleeding scans and exploratory laparotomy is often the last option (Gay et al., 2006; Appleyard et al., 2000). We do present our experience in a patient with a gastrointestinal stromal tumor with obscure GI bleed.

CASES

A 35-year-old man presented with 3 days of malena associated with mild lower abdominal pain. In the past medical history there was a history of a malena in 1 occasions, 1 year back and attributed to gastric erosions.

Physical examination on admission revealed conjunctival pallor. Workup included an esophagogastroduodenoscopy which revealed multiple submucosal haemorrhagic spots in the fundus, a colonoscopy showing blood in the colon. Capsule endoscopy revealed only blood in the colon. Patient underwent double ballon enteroscopy and during withdrawl of the scope it revealed mass in the jejunum (figure 1 and 2). A computed tomography scan was performed and showed an eccentric, well defined, homogenous, moderately enhancing soft tissue attenuation mass 3.1 x 3.5 cm x 3.0 cm mass in the distal jejunum loop. A laparotomy was performed and the tumor was excised and identified histologically.
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(figure 3 and 4) as a gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) intermediate grade, with c-Kit (CD117 and CD34) markers positive. The patient recovered postoperatively without incidences and is clinically stable at 9 months postresection on Imantab mesylate.

Figure 1: Showing jejunal GIST during double balloon enteroscopy

Figure 2: Showing jejunal GIST

Figure 3: Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (Intermediate Grade) arising from muscularis propria. Margins and overlying mucosa are free of tumor infiltration. No necrosis seen.
DISCUSSION
Reaching the diagnostic of small intestine hemorrhage is difficult, and in as many as 5% of patients with obscure GI bleeding, a source cannot be identified despite extensive examination (Mujica and Barkin, 1996). In many occasions, lesions cannot be identified after upper endoscopy and colonoscopy, and more specific studies must be performed to explore the small intestine such as enteroclysis, enteroscopy, wireless capsule endoscopy or even intraoperative enteroscopy (Perez-Grueso et al., 2006). Tumors and vascular ectasias are the lesions most commonly identified as bleeding sites in the small bowel in old patients. In patients with less than 25 years of age, Meckels diverticulum is the most common source of small bowel bleeding (Perez-Grueso et al., 2006).
Some other rare causes of GI hemorrhage include hemobilia, Dieulafoy lesion, aortoenteric fistula, extraesophageal varices, and diverticula. GIST should be considered in the differential diagnosis for GI bleeding. These tumors, in 50% of the cases, presented with subacute or acute GI bleeding (Catena et al., 2000).
GISTs are the most common mesenchymal tumors of the stomach and small intestine (Moore et al., 2007). They possess unique histologic, immunophenotypic, and molecular genetic features that set them apart from typical leiomyomas and schwannomas (Moore et al., 2007). GISTs immunohistochemical staining is usually positive for CD117 (c-Kit protein) and CD34 (hematopoietic cell progenitor cell antigen), like in our case (Miettinen et al., 2006).
GISTs are typically discovered incidentally during endoscopic, radiologic, or surgical procedures or are diagnosed in the evaluation of patients presenting with an abdominal mass, abdominal pain, or GI bleeding.
Surgical resection with curative intent is the treatment of choice with localized GIST (Saas et al., 2004). Complete resection of the tumor should be always performed, because recurrence can appear. The extent of surgical excision and survival has no relationship (Catena et al., 2000). If feasible limited resection is possible, those should be performed, because an adequate extended resection provides no survival benefit over wide local excision (Catena et al., 2000).
Approximately 10% of all GISTs display malignant behaviour (Moore et al., 2007). When the maximal diameter of the GISTs is superior to 10 cm, malignancy should be considered. Furthermore, this tumor
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shows mucosal ulceration, necrotic areas and hemorrhage (Moore et al., 2007). Cytologic atypia is not always seen, and this makes more difficult the diagnosis.

Concerning the hemorrhagic potential of this tumor, some authors have already reported high incidences of presenting with bleeding, 87% of duodenal GISTs and 64% of other small bowel GISTs. Other locations like gastric, rectal or colonic are associated with less than 45% incidence of bleeding (Saas et al., 2004).

In conclusion, GI bleeding in patients can be caused by GISTs tumors. Even if rare, they must be included in the differential diagnosis. Treatment is still complete surgical resection.
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