# GROWTH RESPONSES OF POTATO PLANTS TO NATIVE ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI

## \*Shinde B. P. and Manjusha Khanna

P.G. Department of Botany Fergusson College Pune 411 004 MS India \*Author for Correspondence

#### ABSTRACT

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi have since long been known for their involvement in increasing the yield and growth of plants in their presence. Work on the same has been carried out for numerous cash crops, potato being one of them. Our research includes the study of different growth responses of AM fungi in potato plants grown in their presence and absence. Potato plants were grown in pot culture and field culture conditions. Growth responses including average shoot length, average number of leaves per plant, average number of leaflets per plant, average root length; average number of tubers per plant, average diameter of tubers per plant and average fresh weight per plant were performed. Standard deviation was calculated to check the relevance of our results. Investigatory studies on control and mycorrhizal potato plants from both pot and field conditions allowed us to conclude that mycorrhizal plants grew faster and better than control plants. It was observed that plants growing under field conditions shoed better growth responses than those grown in pot conditions. This indicated positively that AM fungal presence is beneficial for potato growth.

Keywords: AM Fungi, Potato, Growth Responses

## **INTRODUCTION**

The word 'Mycorhiza' was coined by Frank (1885). It was first observed and identified by Nageli (1842). The nature of this now known mutually beneficial fungus was vaguely reported earlier. Janse (1897) and Gallaud (1905), detailed out special identifying characters present in it to provide a better understanding. As further research on AM fungi proceeded in its history, the diversified instrumental advantages provided to plants came into picture. Out of many positive effects they had, many reports suggested that they serves as bioprotectant (Vaast *et al.*, 1998; Slezack *et al.*, 2000), assisted in revegetation of deserted sites (Saito and Marumoto, 2002) and also aided in micro propagated plantlet cultivation (Yano-Melo *et al.*, 1999). Besides this, many scientists also reported increased levels of biochemical parameters in mycorrhizal plants when compared with plants grown in their absence (Koide and Mosse, 2004; Baylis, 1959).

Besides the increased growth rate and higher levels of biochemical contents, plants colonized by AM fungi have many changes that take place within them, even at cellular levels. Since one of the main contributions of AM fungi in nutrient availability to plants it colonizes is phosphate, the enzymes that are known to assist in phosphate availability, phosphatase concentrations, are closely altered with mycorrhization.

Though a substantially large amount of research has till date gone into analysis of AM fungi and its variation in soils of numerous agriculturally important crops, the need to determine the actually effects of the nutrient availability on the growth responses was necessary this is what prompted us to work on the same. Potato is one of the important crops being grown on a large scale in Pune and much can be contributed to its yield, with findings of this research. This research therefore mainly focuses its attention on the changes of growth responses in potato plants grown in the presence and absence of AM fungi and their simultaneous comparison in the two different set of conditions.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

For the current investigation, three sets of potato tubers were planted under pot culture and field culture. Healthy potato tubers possessing sprouted eyes were selected and same were planted in large, similar CIBTech Journal of Biotechnology ISSN: 2319–3859 (Online) An Open Access, Online International Journal Available at http://www.cibtech.org/cjb.htm 2014 Vol. 3 (2) April-June, pp.1-5/Shinde and Khanna

## **Research Article**

sized pots (pot culture) or rowed ridges (field culture) for growth and further investigation. Soil used contained 2:1:1 ratio of sand: manure: soil. Control set of tubers were sowed in sterilized soil. Same was achieved using an autoclave at 121°C for 20 minutes. Mycorrhizal set of tubers were planted in soil collected from potato growing fields that contained naturally growing AM fungi. The AM fungi identified include *Glomus, Sclerocystis Acaulospora, Scutellospora* and *Gigaspora*. Since potato plants require loose textured soil for growth and development of tubers, soil was mixed and crumbles broken well, stones removed; this helped to reduce all lumps in it to the minimal. Plants were watered daily until harvested at 90 days. Growth responses were analyzed after 30, 60 and 90 days. For field culture, plantation involves the creation of longitudinal, ridged rows of well drained soil plantation of potatoes approximately 5-10cm below the surface with intra and inter row spacing of 20x20 cm (Tantowijoyo and Fliert, 2006). Ridging allows the plant stem to remain upright and the soil to maintain its loose texture. The plant requires a well irrigated soil however it is not adaptable to water logging conditions. At maturity, the plant stem and leaves turn yellow and the tubers are easily separated from the mother plant.

Two main types of responses were studied during the entire period of our study. These included above ground growth a response that is assessment of the aerial system. This included study of average shoot length, average number of leaves per plant, average number of leaflets per plant, average root length. Subterranean growth responses included study of average number of tubers per plant, average diameter of tubers per plant and average fresh weight per plant.

All results obtained were analyzed for the standard deviation to observe the deviation from the mean value.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Results for pot culture and field culture experiments were analyzed and assessed and following is a summative interpretation based on the same.

#### **POT Conditions**

Average shoot length after 30 days in control set was  $10.33 \pm 1.52753$  cm; in Mycorrhizal set was  $12.33 \pm 1.52$  cm. After 60 days, control plants showed  $17.67 \pm 0.58$  cm shoot length and mycorrhizal plants were  $25.66 \pm 1.52$  cm tall.

Average root length after 30 days in the control set was  $4.5\pm0.5$  cm and in the mycorrhizal set was  $9.56\pm0.92$  cm. On the 90<sup>th</sup> day, the root length of control plants was  $11.1\pm0.65$  cm, while that of mycorrhizal plants was  $15.43\pm0.40$  cm (Table No.1).

Average number of leaves for control plants was  $0.33\pm0.57$ ,  $5.3\pm0.57$  and  $5.33\pm0.57$  after  $30^{\text{th}}$ ,  $60^{\text{th}}$  and the  $90^{\text{th}}$  day after plantation respectively. Mycorrhizal plants recorded  $4.33\pm0.57$ ,  $10.00\pm1.00$  and  $9.66\pm0.57$  average number of leaves on  $30^{\text{th}}$ ,  $60^{\text{th}}$  and the  $90^{\text{th}}$  days (Table No. 1).

Ian	Tuble 1. Effect of And fungi on growth responses in potuto plants under pot conditions							
	Average Shoot length (cm)		Average Root length (cm)		Average No. of Leaves per plant		Average No. of leaflets	
Days							per leaf	
	Control	Mycorrhizal	Control	Mycorrhizal	Control	Mycorrhizal	Control	Mycorrhizal
30	$10.33 \pm 1.53$	$12.33 \pm 1.52$	$04.50 \pm 0.50$	09.56±0.92	$0.33 \pm 0.57$	04.33±0.57	$2.66 \pm 0.57$	$6.00 \pm 1.00$
60	$17.66 \pm 1.52$	$25.66 \pm 1.52$	$08.83 \pm 0.76$	$12.43 \pm 0.45$	$5.30 \pm 0.57$	$10.00 \pm 1.00$	$7.33 \pm 1.15$	$7.66 \pm 1.52$
90	$21.00 \pm 1.00$	38.67±1.53	$11.10\pm0.65$	$15.43 \pm 0.40$	$5.33 \pm 0.57$	$09.66 \pm 0.57$	$7.66 \pm 1.52$	$7.66 \pm 1.154$
A 1-1-								

Table 1: Effect of AM fungi on growth responses	in potato plants under pot conditions
---	---------------------------------------

Abbreviations:  $\pm =$  Values obtained for standard deviation

Average number of leaflets in control set of plants after 30 days was  $2.66\pm0.57$ ; 60 days old plants was  $7.33\pm1.15$  and 90 days old plants was  $7.66\pm1.52$ . Mycorrhizal plants possessed  $6.00\pm1.00$  leaflets per plant after 30 days,  $7.66\pm1.52$  after 60 days and  $7.66\pm1.15$  leaflets per plant after 90 days (Table No. 1). Average number of tubers in control plants was  $2.00\pm1.00$  30 days after plantation. After 60 days, the value increased to  $5.00\pm1.00$  and finally to  $6.33\pm0.57$  after 90 days. Mycorrhizal plants showed  $3.66\pm0.57$  average tubers per plant at the  $30^{\text{th}}$  day,  $7.66\pm0.57$  at the  $60^{\text{th}}$  day and  $9.00\pm1.00$  at the  $90^{\text{th}}$  day. The

## **Research Article**

average number of tubers per plant was observed to be higher in plants grown in the presence of AM fungi (Table No.2). Mycorrhizal plants showed greater number of tubers with greater diameter at the time of harvest.

Tuble 27 Effect of first fungi on growth responses in potuto plant tubers and of pot conditions						
	Average No.	Average No. of Tubers per		ter of tubers per	Average fresh weight per plant	
Days	Days plant		plan	t (cm)	(g)	
	Control	Mycorrhizal	Control	Mycorrhizal	Control	Mycorrhizal
30	2.00±1.00	3.66±0.57	03.53±0.50	06.56±0.51	$00.67 \pm 0.58$	$01.00 \pm 1.00$
60	$5.00 \pm 1.00$	$7.66 \pm 0.57$	06.23±0.25	$12.43 \pm 0.40$	$15.08 \pm 2.78$	$27.66 \pm 2.08$
90	6.33±0.57	$9.00 \pm 1.00$	$12.56 \pm 0.51$	$18.30 \pm 0.30$	$35.60 \pm 2.26$	55.36±1.27
477	· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 1. 1 10				

Abbreviations:  $\pm =$  Values obtained for standard deviation

Average diameter of potato tubers in control set was  $3.53\pm0.50$  cm on 30th day,  $6.23\pm0.25$  cm after 60 days and  $12.56\pm0.51$  cm after 90 days after plantation. Mycorrhizal plants showed  $6.56\pm0.51$  cm average diameter on the  $30^{\text{th}}$  day,  $12.43\pm0.40$  cm on the  $60^{\text{th}}$  day and  $18.30\pm0.30$  cm on the  $90^{\text{th}}$  day. This shows that there was an average difference of approximately 6 cm in the diameter of tubers produced by plants growing in the presence of AM fungi and those growing in its absence at 90 day (Table No.2).

Average fresh weight of tubers was  $00.67\pm0.58$  g in control plants after 30 days,  $15.08\pm2.78$  g after 60 days and  $35.60\pm2.26$  g after 90 days. Mycorrhizal plant tubers weighed  $01.00\pm1.00$  g,  $27.66\pm2.08$  g and  $55.36\pm1.27$  g on the  $30^{\text{th}}$ ,  $60^{\text{th}}$  and the  $90^{\text{th}}$  day respectively (Table No.2).

## Field Conditions

Average shoot length after 30 days in control set was  $10.33\pm2.51$  cm; mycorrhizal set plants were  $19.00\pm1.00$  cm. After 90 days, the average observed was  $31.33\pm2.51$  cm, while mycorrhizal set depicted  $53.66\pm3.05$  cm (Table No.3).

	Average Shoot length		Average Root length		Average No. of Leaves		Average No. of leaflets	
Days	s (cm)		(cm)		per plant		per leaf	
	Control	Mycorrhizal	Control	Mycorrhizal	Control	Mycorrhizal	Control	Mycorrhizal
30	10.33±2.51	19.00±1.00	05.33±0.50	$07.46 \pm 0.41$	2.00±1.00	06.66±0.57	3.66±1.15	4.66±0.57
60	25.33±1.53	$38.66 \pm 2.51$	$07.13 \pm 0.15$	$11.53 \pm 0.50$	$3.66 \pm 0.57$	10.66±1.15	$6.00 \pm 1.00$	$8.00 \pm 1.00$
90	31.33±2.51	$53.66 \pm 3.05$	10.53±0.50	17.6±0.53	$8.66 \pm 0.57$	$16.00 \pm 1.00$	6.66±0.57	$9.66 \pm 0.57$
Abbra	Abbreviations: $+ - Values$ obtained for standard deviation							

#### Table No. 3: Effect of AM fungi on growth responses in potato plants under field conditions

Abbreviations:  $\pm =$  Values obtained for standard deviation

There was a difference of 14 cm between the average shoot length of mycorrhizal plants raised under field and pot conditions. Potato plants allowed to grow in the presence of mycorrhiza under field conditions depicted the highest value of average shoot length.

Average root length in control plants after 30 days of growth was  $5.53\pm0.503$  cm and mycorrhizal plants was  $7.46\pm0.413$  cm. At the 90th day, the average root length was  $10.53\pm0.503$  cm in control plants and  $17.6\pm0.53$  cm in mycorrhizal plants (Table No.3). The maximum average root length of potato plants was observed in mycorrhizal plants both, under pot and field conditions. Mycorrhizal plants grown under field conditions depicted higher value of average root length as compared to mycorrhizal plants grown under pot conditions.

Average number of leaves after 30 days of plantation in control set of plants was  $2.00\pm1.00$ . After 60th day, it increased to  $3.66\pm0.57$  and to  $8.66\pm0.57$  by the 90th day (Table No.3). Mycorrhizal plants reported  $6.66\pm0.57$ ,  $10.66\pm1.15$  and  $16.00\pm1.00$  leaves at observations made on the 30, 60 and 90 day respectively. Average number of leaflets after 30 days was  $3.66\pm1.15$ , it was  $6.00\pm1.00$  and  $6.66\pm0.57$  leaflets after 60 and 90 days of growth respectively. Mycorrhizal plants possessed  $4.66\pm0.57$  leaflets after 30 days,

## **Research** Article

 $8.00\pm1.00$  leaflets after 60 days of growth and  $9.66\pm0.57$  leaflets per plant after 90 days of growth (Table No.3).

Average number of tubers in control plants was  $3.00\pm1.00$  at the  $30^{th}$  day,  $3.66\pm0.57$  at the  $60^{th}$  day and  $5.33\pm0.57$  at the  $90^{th}$  day. Mycorrhizal plants showed  $5.66\pm0.57$  tubers per plant after 30 days,  $6.66\pm0.57$  at  $60^{th}$  day and  $8.66\pm0.57$  tubers at  $90^{th}$  day respectively (Table No.4). This shows that there was a marked difference in the average number of tubers produced in mycorrhizal and control plants. It was observed that mycorrhizal plants showed a greater number of tubers being produced per plant as compared to control plants grown in field conditions.

	Average No. of Tubers per		Average diame	eter of tubers per	Average fresh weight per plant	
Days plant		lant	plant (cm)		(g)	
	Control	Mycorrhizal	Control	Mycorrhizal	Control	Mycorrhizal
30	$3.00 \pm 1.00$	5.66±0.57	$04.33 \pm 0.38$	$08.60 \pm 0.62$	00.33±0.57	000.66±1.15
60	$3.66 \pm 0.57$	6.66±0.57	$08.60 \pm 0.65$	16.33±0.21	$21.00 \pm 2.00$	$130.33 \pm 2.51$
90	$5.33 \pm 1.54$	8.66±0.57	12.33±0.37	$21.20 \pm 0.55$	$46.66 \pm 2.51$	$220.66 \pm 2.08$

Table No. 4: Effect of AM fungi on growth responses in p	potato plant tubers under field conditions
--	--

Abbreviations:  $\pm =$  Values obtained for standard deviation

Average diameter of potato tubers, after 30 days was  $04.33\pm0.38$  cm,  $08.60\pm0.65$  cm after 60 days and  $12.33\pm0.37$  cm after 90 days. Mycorrhizal plants possessed average tuber diameter of  $08.6\pm0.62$  cm after 30 days,  $16.33\pm0.21$  cm after 60 days and  $21.2\pm0.55$  cm after 90 days (Table No.4).

Average fresh weight of potato tuber per plant was  $0.33\pm0.57$  g,  $21.00\pm2.00$  g and  $46.66\pm2.51$  g on the 30<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> day respectively. Mycorrhizal plant tubers were of  $0.66\pm1.15$  g,  $130.33\pm2.51$  g and  $220.66\pm2.08$  g on the 30<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> day respectively (Table No.4).

Our results were concomitant with results obtained by Lenin *et al.*, (2010). Besides this, Sultana and Pindi (2012) studied and reported that cotton plants inoculated with AM fungi depicted increased shoot and root length. Our studies are in corroboration with Wu *et al.*, (2005) who obtained results that suggested that the use of biofertilizer containing *Glomus mosseae* and three bacterial species resulted in the highest biomass and seedling height. Besides this, Wu and Xia (2006) reported that AM fungi influenced growth of *Citrus tangerine* and reported that AM colonization significantly stimulated plant growth.

#### REFERENCES

Baylis GTS (1959). Effect of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas on growth of *Griselinia littoralis* (Cornaceae). *New Phytologist* 58 274.

Frank AB (1885). Ueber die auf Wurzelsymbiose beruhende Ernahrung gewisser Baume durch unterirdische Pilze. *Berichte der Deutschen Botanischen Gesellschaft* **3** 128–145.

**Gallaud J** (1905). Etude sur les mycorrhizes endotrophes. *Revue Général de Botanique* 17 5–48, 66–83, 123–136, 223–249, 313–325, 425–433, 479–500.

Janse JM (1897). Les endophytes radicaux de quelques plantes Javanaises. Annales du Jardin botanique de Buitenzorg 14 53–201.

Koide RT and Mosse B (2004). A history of research on arbuscular mycorrhiza. *Mycorrhiza* 14(3) 145-163.

Lenin M, Selvakumar G, Thamizhiniyan P and Rajendiran R (2010). Growth and Biochemical Changes of Vegetable Seedlings Induced by Arbuscular mycorrhizal Fungus. *Journal of Experimental Sciences* 1(4) 27-31.

Nageli C (1842). Pilze im Innern von Zellen. Linnaea 16 278–285.

**Saito M and Marumoto T (2002).** Inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: The status quo in Japan and the future prospects. *Plant and Soil* **244** 273–279.

CIBTech Journal of Biotechnology ISSN: 2319–3859 (Online) An Open Access, Online International Journal Available at http://www.cibtech.org/cjb.htm 2014 Vol. 3 (2) April-June, pp.1-5/Shinde and Khanna

**Research Article** 

**Slezack S, Dumas-Gaudot E, Paynot M and Gianinazzi S (2000).** Is a fully established arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis required for bioprotection of *Pisum sativum* roots against *Aphanomyces euteiches*? *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **13** 238–241.

Sultana T and Pindi PK (2012). Role of arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM) fungi and multi bioinoculants in cotton plant growth. *British Microbiology Research Journal* 2(3) 123.

**Tantowijoyo W and Flier E van de (2006).** All about Potatoes: A Handbook to the Ecology and Integrated Management of Potato. International Potato Center (CIP-ESEAP Region) & FAO Regional Vegetable IPM Program in South and Southeast Asia.

**Vaast PH, Caswell-Chen EP and Zasoski RJ (1998).** Influences of a root-lesion nematode, *Pratylenchus coffeae*, and two arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, *Acaulospora mellea* and *Glomus clarum* on coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.). *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **26** 130–135.

Wu Qiang-Sheng and Xia Ren-Xue (2006). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi influence growth, osmotic adjustment and photosynthesis of citrus under well-watered and water stress conditions. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **163**(4) 417-425.

**Wu SC, Cao ZH, Li ZG, Cheung KC and Wong MH (2005).** Effects of biofertilizer containing N-fixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth: a greenhouse trial. *Geoderma* **125**(1–2) 155-166.

**Yano-Melo AM, Maia LC, Saggin OJ, Lima-Filho JMJR and Melo NF (1999).** Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on the acclimatization of micropropagated banana plantlets. *Mycorrhiza* **9** 119–123.