Review Article

MODIFIED ATMOSPHERE PACKAGING OF POMEGRANATE ARILS: REVIEW

*Dhineshkumar V1, Ramasamy D.2 and Srivastav P.P.3

¹College of Food and Dairy Technology, TANUVAS, Chennai ²Department of Food science and Technology, CFDT, TANUVAS, Chennai ³Department of Agricultural and Food Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur *Author for Correspondence

ABSTRACT

Minimally processed ready-to-eat pomegranate arils have become popular due to their convenience, high value, unique sensory characteristics, and health benefits. Pomegranate is one of the most important fruit crops in India because of its adaptable nature, high profitability and being cultivated on a commercial scale in India and the fruits are good source of nutrients and bioactive compounds, mainly anthocyanins which exhibit strong chemo-preventive activities such as antimutagenicity, antihypertension, ant oxidative potential and reduction of liver injury. Modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) technology offers the possibility to retard produce respiration rate and extend the shelf life of fresh produce. However, it is important to correlate the permeability properties of the packing films with the respiration rate of the produce, in order to avoid anaerobic conditions which could lead into fermentation of produce and accumulation of ethanol. Although other gases such as nitrous and nitric oxides, sulphur dioxide, ethylene, chlorine, as well as ozone and propylene oxide have also been investigated, they have not been applied commercially due to safety, regulatory, and cost considerations. Successful control of both product respiration and ethylene production and perception by MAP can result in a fruit or vegetable product of high organoleptic quality; however, control of these processes is dependent on temperature control.

Keywords: Minimal Processing, Modified Atmosphere Packaging, Polyphenols, Pomegranate, Ready-To-Eat, Shelf Life, Total Antioxidant Activity

INTRODUCTION

Pomegranate Fruit

Pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) is one of the most important fruit crops in India because of its adaptable nature, high profitability and being cultivated on a commercial scale in temperate, tropical and subtropical regions of country (Kumar et al., 2012). Its fruits are good source of nutrients and bioactive compounds, mainly anthocyanins which exhibit strong chemo-preventive activities such as antimutagenicity, antihypertension, antioxidative potential and reduction of liver injury (Hertog et al., 1997, Lansky et al., 1998, Lopez-Rubira et al., 2005). The edible part of the pomegranate is called aril which constitutes about 52% of total fruit (w/w), comprising 78% juice and 22% seeds (Kulkarni and Aradhya 2005, Barman et al., 2011). The hard suture (peel) of pomegranate fruits makes it difficult to extract the arils, thus limiting its consumption as fresh fruit. Therefore, production of pomegranate arils in 'ready-to-eat' form would be a convenient and desirable alternative to the consumption of fresh fruits and may further increase pomegranate demand by consumers. Among various factors, selection of variety, ripening stage and storage environment are the major factors that affect storage life of minimally processed produce (Sapers and Miller, 1998). Minimally processed ready-to-eat pomegranate arils have high economic importance due to their convenience, healthiness and their desirable sensory characteristics as compared to whole produce, which poses diffi- culties in extracting the arils (Artes et al., 2007; Caleb et al., 2012; Defilippi et al., 2006). The versatile adaptability, table and therapeutic values and better keeping quality are the features responsible for its cultivation on a wide scale (Dhandar and Singh, 2002). Pomegranate is commercially grown for its sweet-acidic taste of the arils. Other benefits include the combat to some bacterial infections, erectile dysfunction, male infertility,

Review Article

Alzheimer's disease, obesity. Pomegranate fruit is consumed directly as fresh seeds, but can also be used for making juice, jelly, grenadine or as flavouring and colouring agents. In addition, this species has been proved to possess therapeutic properties, therefore with an economic and ecological importance (Al-Said *et al.*, 2009 and Akbarpour *et al.*, 2010).

Table 1: Nutritional Composition of Pomegranate Fruit

Table 1: Nutritional Composition of Pomegranate Fruit				
Nutrient	Unit	Value Per100 g		
Proximates				
Water	g	77.93		
Energy	kcal	83		
Protein	g	1.67		
Total lipid (fat)	g	1.17		
Carbohydrate, by difference	g	18.70		
Fiber, total dietary	g	4.0		
Sugars, total	g	13.67		
Minerals(mg/100g)				
Calcium, Ca	mg	10		
Iron, Fe	mg	0.30		
Magnesium, Mg	mg	12		
Phosphorus, P	mg	36		
Potassium, K	mg	236		
Sodium, Na	mg	3		
Zinc, Zn	mg	0.35		
Vitamins				
Vitamin C, total ascorbic acid	mg	10.2		
Thiamin	mg	0.067		
Riboflavin	mg	0.053		
Niacin	mg	0.293		
Vitamin B-6	mg	0.075		
Folate, DFE	μg	38		
Vitamin B-12	μg	0.00		
Vitamin A, RAE	mg	0		
Vitamin A, IU	IU	0		
Vitamin E (alpha-tocopherol)	mg	0.60		
Vitamin D (D2 + D3)	μg	0.0		
Vitamin D	IU	0		
Vitamin K (phylloquinone)	μg	16.4		
Lipids				
Fatty acids, total saturated	g	0.120		
Fatty acids, total monounsaturated	g	0.093		
Fatty acids, total polyunsaturated	g	0.079		
Cholesterol	mg	0		

Surce: USDA National Nutrition Database (2010)

Pomegranate fruit Antioxidant activity, as well as suppression of inflammation, may contribute to chemo therapeutic and chemo-preventive utility against cancer. The other potential therapeutic properties of pomegranate are wide-ranging and include treatment and prevention cardiovascular disease, diabetes,

Review Article

dental conditions, erectile dysfunction, protection from ultraviolet (UV) radiation, infant brain ischemia, Alzheimer's disease, male infertility, arthritis, obesity, etc.

Pomegranate fruit is a rich source of two types of polyphenolic compounds: anthocyanins and hydrolysable tannins, which account for 92% of the antioxidant activity of the whole fruit (Gil *et al.*, 2000). The soluble polyphenol content in pomegranate juice varies between 0.2 and 1.0%, depending on variety (Narr *et al.*, 1996). The seeds are a rich source of lipids; of which comprised of 12% to 20% of total seed weight and characterized by a high content of polyunsaturated (*n*-3) fatty acids such as linolenic, linoleic, and other lipids such as punicic acid, oleic acid, stearic acid, and palmitic acid (Ozgul-Yucel, 2005).

In spite of the numerous health benefits, pomegranate consumption is still limited, due to the difficulties of extracting the arils from the fruit and, the irritation of phenolic metabolites' which stain the hands during preparation of seeds (Gil *et al.*, 1996b). Fruit disorder such as sun burnt husks, splits and cracks, and husk scald on the whole fruit reduces marketability and consumer acceptance (Saxena *et al.*, 1987; Defilippi *et al.*, 2006; Sadeghi and Akbarpour 2009).

However, maintaining the nutritional and microbial quality of pomegranate arils is a major challenge, because, minimally processed arils easily deteriorate in texture, colour, overall quality and a reduction in shelf (Gil *et al.*, 1996a, b). This is due to the active metabolic processes due to endogenous enzymatic activity, enhanced respiration rate with increased production of ethylene (Rolle and Chism, 1987; Ergun and Ergun, 2009), and increased in microbial load, some of which may be potentially harmful to human health (Leistner and Gould, 2002).

Modified Atmosphere Packaging

Modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) of fruit can bring about the lowering of respiration activity, delay in ripening and softening, and a reduced incidence of physiological disorders and decay-causing pathogens (Artés, 1993). In MAP excessive accumulation of CO₂ can cause cell membrane damage and physiological injuries to the product, such as severe enzymatic browning, off flavor development and loss of firmness (Briones *et al.*, 1992).

The purpose of this present paper is to review the use of modified atmosphere packaging to minimally processed or fresh pomegranate arils preservation and identify the future prospects for the development of MAP for pomegranate products.

The ripe pomegranate fruit can be up to five inches wide with a deep red, leathery skin, is grenade-shaped, and crowned by the pointed calyx. The fruit contains many seeds (arils) separated by white, membranous pericarp, and each is surrounded by small amounts of tart, red juice. The physical properties of fruit like weight, whole fruit and aril Colour, juice content and juice dry matter content. (Artes *et al.*, 2000). In addition chemical properties and phytonutrients like vitamin C, total phenolics, total tannins, condensed tannins, total soluble solids, and anthocyanins in the peel and arils of different pomegranate variety have been outlined (Opara *et al.*, 2009).

MAP Gases

The three major gases used in the MAP of foods are oxygen (0_2) , nitrogen (N_2) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) . For most food products different combinations of two or three of these gases are used, chosen to meet the needs of the specific product. Usually for non-respiring products, where microbial growth is the main spoilage parameter, a 30-60% CO_2 split is used, the remainder being either pure N_2 (for O_2 sensitive foods) or combinations of N_2 and O_2 . For respiring products levels around 5% CO_2 and O_2 are usually used with the remainder being N_2 in order to minimize the respiration rate.

Several other gases such as carbon monoxide (used to maintain the red colour of red meats), ozone, ethylene oxide, nitrous oxide, helium, neon, argon (increases shelf-lives for some fruits and vegetables), propylene oxide, ethanol vapour (used on some bakery products), hydrogen, sulphur dioxide and chlorine have been used experimentally or on a restricted commercial basis to extend the shelf-life of a number of food products (Day, 1993). However, regulatory constraints, safety concerns and negative effects on sensory quality and/or economic factors hamper the use of these gases. More information about the most promising uses for these gases is given later for the relevant food products.

Review Article

i) Carbon Dioxide (CO₂)

CO₂ is the most important gas in the MAP of foods, due to its bacteriostatic and finigistatic properties. It inhibits the growth of the many spoilage bacteria and the inhibition rate is increased with increased CO₂ concentrations in the given atmospheres. CO₂ is highly soluble in water and fat, and the solubility increases greatly with decreased temperature. The solubility in water at 0°C and 1 atm is 3.38g CO₂/kg H₂O; however, at 20°C the solubility is reduced to 1.73g CO₂/kg H₂O (Knoche, 1980). Therefore the effectiveness of the gas is always conditioned by the storage temperature, resulting in increased inhibition of bacterial growth as the temperature is decreased (Ogiydziak and Brown, 1982; Gill and Tan, 1980; Haines, 1933).

The concentration of CO₂ in the food is dependent on the product's water and fat content, and on the partial pressure of CO₂ in the atmosphere, according to Henry's law (Ho *et al.*, 1987). Devlieghere *et al.*, (1998a, 1998b) have demonstrated that the growth inhibition of microorganisms in a. modified atmosphere is determined by the concentration of dissolved CO₂ in the product. After the packaging has been opened, the CO₂ is slowly released from the product and continues to exert a. useful preservative effect for a. certain period of time, referred to as CO₂'s residual effect (Stammen *et al.*, 1990).

The action of CO_2 on the preservation of foods was originally thought to be caused by the displacement of some or all of the O_2 available for bacterial metabolism, thus slowing growth (Daniels *et al.*, 1985). However, experiments with storage of bacon and pork showed a considerable increase in shelf-life under pure CO_2 atmospheres, compared to storage in normal air atmospheres (Callow, 1932). The preservative effect was not due to the exclusion of O_2 , since storage in 100% N2 offered no advantage over normal air storage. The same results were also seen on pure cultures of microorganisms isolated from spoiled pork.

A drop in surface pH is observed in modified atmosphere (MA) products due to the acidic effect of dissolved CO_2 , but this could not entirely explain all of CO_2 's bacteriostatic effects (Coyne, 1933). It was shown that CO_2 was more effective at lower temperatures and that the change in pH caused by the CO_2 did not account for the retardation of growth. In a study on several pure cultures of bacteria. Isolated from fish products, CO_2 atmospheres were found to inhibit the growth of the bacteria markedly, whereas normal growth patterns were observed under air or N_2 atmospheres (Coyne, 1932). It was also observed that bacterial growth was inhibited even after the cultures were removed from the CO_2 atmosphere and transferred to an air environment, interpreted as a residual effect of CO_2 treatment. Bacterial growth was distinctly inhibited when atmospheres with 25% CO_2 were used and almost no growth was observed under higher CO_2 concentrations for four days at 15°C. The obtained results could neither be explained by the lack of O_2 nor the pH effect.

Coyne suggested the possibility that an intracellular accumulation of CO₂ would upset the normal physiological equilibrium in other ways, i.e. by slowing down enzymatic processes that normally result in the production of CO₂. Thus the effect of CO₂ on bacterial growth is complex and four activity mechanisms of CO₂ on microorganisms have been identified (Farber, 1991; Dixon and Kell, 1989; Daniels *et al.*, 1985; Parkin and Brown, 1982):

- 1. Alteration of cell membrane functions including effects on nutrient uptake and absorption.
- 2. Direct inhibition of enzymes or decreases in the rate of enzyme reactions.
- 3. Penetration of bacterial membranes, leading to intracellular pH changes.
- 4. Direct changes in the physico-chemical properties of proteins.

A probable combination of all these activities accounts for the bacteriostatic effect. A certain amount (depending on the foodstuff) of CO₂ must dissolve into the product to inhibit bacterial growth (Gill and Penney, 1988). The ratio between the volume of gas and the volume of the food product (G/P ratio) should usually be between 2:1 or 3:1 (volume of gas two or three times the volume of food). This high G/P ratio is also necessary to prevent package collapse because of the CO₂ solubility in wet foods. Dissolved CO₂ fills much less volume compared to CO₂ gas, and after packaging a product in a CO₂ atmosphere, under-pressure is developed within the package and package collapse may occur. The CO₂ solubility could also alter the food water-holding capacity and thus increase drip (Davis, 1998). Exudation pads should be used to absorb drip loss from products.

Review Article

ii) Nitrogen (N_2)

 N_2 is an inert and tasteless gas, and is mostly used in MAP as a. filler gas because of its low solubility. N_2 is almost insoluble in water and fat and will not absorb into the food product, and therefore counteracts package collapse as caused by dissolved CO_2 . N_2 is used to displace O_2 from air in packages with O_2 -sensitive products, to delay oxidative rancidity, and as an alternative to vacuum packaging, to inhibit the growth of aerobic microorganisms.

iii) Oxygen (0_2)

The use of 0_2 in MAP is normally set as low as possible to inhibit the growth of aerobic spoilage bacteria. Its presence may cause problems with oxidative rancidity (e.g. fatty fish like salmon and mackerel). However, high levels of 0_2 are used in red meat products to maintain the red colour of the meat; 0_2 (around 30%) in the atmosphere for lean fish species has been used to reduce drip loss and colour changes; for respiring products 0_2 is included in the atmosphere to prevent anaerobic respiration; and recently high levels (80-90%) of 0_2 have shown promising results for extending the shelf-lives of selected fruits and vegetables.

Originally, 0_2 was introduced into the packaging atmosphere of selected products in order to reduce the risk of anaerobic pathogenic bacterial growth, but this process has now been generally discredited (ACMSF, 1992). It is now recognised that the growth of *Clostridium botulinum* in foods does not depend upon the total exclusion of oxygen, nor does the inclusion of 0_2 as a packaging gas ensure that the growth of *C. botulinum* is prevented.

Deterioration of Pomegranate Fruit

i. Weight loss

One of the major problems associated with pomegranate fruit is excessive weight loss which may result in hardening of the husk and browning of the rind and arils (Artés *et al.*, 2000b; Caleb *et al.*, 2012a). Even in the absence of shrivelling, water loss can cause undesirable textual and flavour changes, ultimately resulting to loss of visual appeal. The storage potential of pomegranate fruit at 21°C and 82% RH may not be more than 15 days (Waskar, 2011). However, under refrigerated conditions and high RH, most cultivars can be stored for prolonged periods (Elyatem & Kader, 1984). Storage trials conducted on 'Hicaz' cultivar stored at 6°C showed that weight loss (9%) increased with increasing temperature and prolonged storage duration (Küpper *et al.*, 1995). Al-Mughrabi *et al.*, (1995) observed that weight loss increased with storage temperature and time for 'Taeifi', 'Manfaloti', 'Ganati' pomegranates. The authors reported significantly higher weight loss at 22°C than at 5°C and 10°C, with average weight losses of 18.32%, 21.93% and 32.83% at 5°C, 10°C and 22°C, respectively, after 8 weeks of storage. However, on the contrary, Köksal (1989) studied weight loss on Turkish 'Gok Bahce', the author reported that weight loss in untreated fruit at 5°C (16.5%) were higher than fruit stored at 1°C (8%), 10°C (6.1%) and 21°C (14%) after 4 months storage duration. This clearly showed the importance of low storage conditions in reducing weight loss in pomegranate fruit.

ii. Chilling Injury

The 'Wonderful' pomegranate cultivar has been reported having high susceptibility to chilling injury if stored at temperatures below 5°C, or more than 2 months at 5°C (Elyatem & Kader, 1984; Kader *et al.*, 1984). However, chilling injury may become more noticeable when transferred to 20°C after 2 months of cold storage (Kader, 2006). Mirdehghan *et al.*, (2006a) reported that storage at 2°C plus 3 days shelf-life for 2 weeks results in chilling injury for 'Mollar de Elche'. External symptoms of chilling injury include brown discolouration of fruit peel, cracking, necrotic pitting and increased susceptibility to decay (Elyatem & Kader, 1984). Internal symptoms include reduction in aril colour, aril browning and discolouration of white membrane segments (Elyatem & Kader, 1984; Kader *et al.*, 1984, Köksal, 1989). Depending on cultivar types, pomegranate fruit can be successfully stored for 2 to 7 months between temperatures ranging from 0°C to 10°C (Köksal, 1989; Onur *et al.*, 1992).

Intermittent warming of pomegranate fruits has been reported to reduce chilling injury symptoms and fruit decay (Artés *et al.*, 2000b). Similarly, Mirdehghan & Rahemi (2005) showed that dipping in water at 50°C temperature for 5 min significantly reduced chilling injury for 'Malas Yazdi' and 'Malas Saveh'

Review Article

stored for 4.5 months at 1.5°C and 85±3% RH. These studies are comparable with Mirdehghan *et al.*, (2006b) who reported that heat treatment such as water dipping at 45°C for 4 min reduced chilling injury symptoms. You-lin & Run-guang (2008) reported that intermittent warming at 15°C for 24 h reduced browning of the husk and could prevent chilling injury when fruits were stored for 120 days for the 'Ganesh' pomegranate.

iii. Husk Scald

Husk scald is a common physiological disorder appearing as a superficial (peel) browning of the husk, which generally develops from the stem end of the fruit and spreads towards the blossom end as severity increases (Ben-Arie & Or, 1986; Defilippi *et al.*, 2006). This disorder is suggested to be due to the oxidation of phenolic compounds on the husk of the fruit when stored at temperatures exceeding 5°C (You-lin & Run-guang, 2008). The severity of scald incidence increases when pomegranates are harvested late in the season, indicating that this disorder may be associated with senescence (Kader, 2006). At advanced stages, scalded areas may become susceptible to decay (Kader, 2006). Pekmezci *et al.*, (1998) reported that scald symptoms become evident after 8 weeks storage at 2°C. For the 'Wonderful', Ben-Arie & Or (1986) reported that husk scald can be effectively controlled when fruit were stored at 2% oxygen at 2°C. However, it was observed that this treatment leads to build-up of ethanol which produced off-flavours in the fruit.

iv. Decay

The major cause limiting the storage potential of pomegranates is the development of decay which are caused by various pathogens such as Aspergillus spp, Cladosporium spp, Colletotrichum spp, Epicoccum spp, Penicillium spp, Pestalotia and Botrytis cinerea (Maclean et al., 2011; Caleb et al., 2012a). Several postharvest diseases are mainly associated with pomegranate fruit include gray mold (Botrytis cinerea) rot, green mold (Penicillium digitatum) rot, blue mold (P. expansum) rot and heart (Aspergillus niger) rot (Roy & Waskar, 1997; Palou et al., 2007). B. cinerea is able to infect stored pomegranates by mycelial spread from infected fruit to adjacent healthy fruit, causing 'nests' of decay. B. cinerea mainly infects fruit through the crown (calyx) of young fruit on the tree, remains latent and after harvest forms a characteristic grey mycelium on the affected area under humid conditions (Caleb et al., 2012a). Grey mold rot usually starts from the calyx, spreading onto the skin causing an apparent brown discoloration, making the peel tough and leathery (Ryall & Pentzer, 1974). Furthermore, B. cinerea are able to infect stored pomegranates by spreading from infected fruit to adjacent healthy fruit, causing 'nests' of decay (Palou et al., 2007).

In heart rot, with *A. niger* fruit show no external symptoms except for slight abnormal peel colour or soft spot with a blackened mass of arils (Yehia, 2013).

Padule & Keskar (1988) reported that treating pomegranate fruit with aqueous Topsin-M (0.1%) and Bavistin (0.05 - 0.1%) significantly suppressed the growth of *A. niger*. When pomegranate 'Wonderful' were inoculated in the crown with *B. cinerea*, stored for 15 weeks at 7.2°C and 95% RH and treated with an antifungal fludioxonil, decay were shown to be significantly reduced when compared to untreated fruits (Palou *et al.*, 2007). Hence, it is necessary to develop control methods to control postharvest decay and extent the marketing life of pomegranate fruits.

MAP of Pomegranate Fruits and Arils

MAP is a passive or active dynamic process of altering gaseous composition within a package. This is obtained by the interaction between two processes; the respiration rate of the fruit and the transfer of gases through the packaging material, with no more control utilized over the initial gas composition (Kader *et al.*, 1989).

Nevertheless, in MAP, these two processes are relying on many other factors like film thickness and surface area, product weight, free space within the pack, and temperature (Tolle 1962; Charles *et al.*, 2003; Sandhya, 2010).

For example, a limited volume of headspace in the package could tend to an increase in resistance to gas diffusion. Also, metabolic processes like respiration rate and various endogenous enzymatic, and film permeability increases with increase in temperature (Sandhya, 2010). Passively modified atmosphere can

Review Article

be found inside a package using natural air composition and relying on the produce respiration to attain the desired gas mixture (Kader and Watkins, 2000; Charles *et al.*, 2003; Farber *et al.*, 2003). However, this process takes a longer period of time to reach gas equilibrium steady-state.

According to Cameron *et al.*, (1995), they demonstrated that it can take 2 to 3 weeks to attain a steady-state at low temperatures depending on produce respiration rate and the available gaseous space within the package. If produce respiration rate and film permeability characteristics correlates properly, the desired atmosphere can develop within the hermetic package via the uptake of oxygen and production of carbon dioxide as an end product of respiration. But due to the limited ability to regulate a passively established atmosphere, such as the unavailability of appropriate films which provides both gases diffusion and temperature compensation in order to function effectively (Exama *et al.*, 1993), actively established atmosphere is much preferred compared with passive modification (Kader and Watkins, 2000).

Active MAP involves a quick process of gas flushing or gas replacement or the use of gas-scavenging agents to establish a desired gas mixture within the package (Kader and Watkins, 2000; Charles *et al.*, 2003; Farber *et al.*, 2003), while avoiding a buildup of unsuitable gases. For instance, high solubility of CO2 can result in pack collapse due to the reduction in free-space volume (Sandhya, 2010). Carbondioxide absorbers can prevent a buildup of CO2 gas to deleterious levels, which could occur during passive modified atmosphere packaging (Kader and Watkins, 2000). Excessive accumulation of CO2 can result in cell membrane damage and physiological injuries to the product, such as loss of firmness and severe enzymatic browning (Burton *et al.*, 1987; López-Briones *et al.*, 1992; Varoquaux *et al.*, 1999).

Furthermore, oxygen absorbers can be used to decrease the O2 partial pressure within the package headspace and remove the O2 that diffuses through the film (Gontard, 2000). Also, most oxygen sensitive produce are gas flushed or vacuum packaged to rapidly attain an atmospheric condition of ployphenolic compounds (Charles *et al.*, 2003).

In MAP, respiration rate is reduced by decreasing O2 concentration. This metabolic response is due to the decrease in the activity of oxidizing enzymes such as polyphenoloxidase, glycolic acid oxidase and ascorbic acid oxidase (Kader, 1986).

Carbon dioxide is a colourless gas, with a slightly pungent smell at a very high concentration. It readily dissolves in water at 1.57 g/kg at 100 kPa and 20 °C, to produce carbonic acid which reduced the pH of the solution (Sandhya, 2010).

Carbon dioxide is the only gas used in MAP that confers a significant level of antimicrobial influence on the product. Microbial growth is retarded at high concentration of carbon dioxide in various products, due to an increased lag phase and generation time during the log phase of microbial growth (Phillips, 1996). Farber (1991), suggested various theories to explain the antimicrobial influence of carbon dioxide on MAP product this include: direct inhibition of enzyme systems or decrease in rate of enzyme reactions; alteration of cell membrane function including uptake and absorption of nutrient; gas penetration of bacterial membranes leading to decrease in intracellular pH; direct changes in the physical and chemical properties of proteins.

Temperature is the most important extrinsic factor in the prevention of fruit ripening. Ripening is observed to correlate with ethylene production rates and these processes are influenced by increase in temperature (Kader, 1980).

Hence to retard ripening, fruits should be stored at a temperature close to 0 °C as possible, without causing chilling injury. However, MAP can be used as a substitute in delaying ripening of fruits. A reduction in oxygen concentration below 8% and/or increase in carbondioxide concentration slow down fruit ripening (Sandhya, 2010).

The degree to which modification of the atmosphere takes place in packages is dependent on other variables such as film permeability to O2 and CO2 and product respiration rate (Beaudry, 1999; Cameron *et al.*, 1994).

Review Article

Table 2: Properties of Major packaging material

Packaging mate	erial	Properties			
<i>a a</i>		Advantages	Disadvantages		
Paper		Strength and rigidity	Opacity		
		Printability			
Tinplate		Corrosion resistance	Higher barrier to		
•		Excellent barrier to gases, water vapour,	gases		
		light and odour	Tin toxicty		
		Heat-treatable	,		
		Ability to seal hermetically			
		Ductility and formability			
Tin-free steel		Corrosion resistance	Higher barrier to		
1111 1100 50001		Excellent barrier to gases, water vapour,	gases		
		light and odour	gases		
		Heat-treatable			
		Ability to seal hermetically			
		Ductility and formability			
		Less expensive compared to			
		tinplate			
Aluminium foil		Negligible permeability to gases,	Opacity		
Alullillillilli 1011		odours and water	¥ •		
			High barrier to gases		
		vapour Dimensional stability			
		Grease resistance			
		Brilliant appearance			
Glass		Dead folding characteristics	Highen hamien to		
Glass		Formability and rigidity	Higher barrier to		
		Transparency and UV protection	gases		
		due to colour variation	Heavy weight adds to		
		Impermeable to gases, water vapour	transport cost		
		and odour			
		Chemical resistance to all food			
		products			
~	011	Heat stable			
Cellulose	film	Strength	Low permeability		
(coated)		Attractive appearance	barrier		
		Low permeability to water vapour,			
		gases, and odours			
		(coat dependent)			
		Grease resistance			
		Printability			
Cellulose acetate	e	Strength and rigidity	Glossy appearance		
		Dimensional stability			
		Printability			
•	vinyl	Excellent barrier to gases and odour	Moisture sensitive		
alcohol (EVOH))	Effective oxygen barrier material	barrier		
Ethylene	vinyl	Very good adhesive properties	Poor gas barrier		
acetate (EVA)) -	Excellent transparency	Poor moisture barrier		
11 (11)		Heat sealability			

Review Article

Polyethylene	Durability and flexibility Heat sealability Good moisture barrier Chemical resistance Good low-temperature performance	HDPE; Poor clarity LLDPE; heat sensitive
Polypropylene	Permeable to gases Harder, denser and more transparent than polyethylene Better response to heat sealing Excellent grease resistance Good resistance to chemical Higher gas and water vapour barrier	
Polyesters (PET/PEN)	compared to polyethylene Excellent durability and mechanical properties Excellent transparency Good resistance to heat, mineral oil and chemical degradation Adequate barrier to gases, water	
Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)	vapour and odours Strong and transparent Good gas barrier and moderate barrier to water vapour Excellent resistance to chemicals, greases and oils Heat sealability	
Polyvinylidene chloride(PVDC)	Low permeability/high barrier to gases, water vapour and copolymer odors Good resistance to greases and chemicals Heat sealability Usefull in hot filling, retorting and low temperature storage	Low permeability barrier / high gas barrier
Polystyrene	High tensile strength Excellent transparency	Poor barrier to gas and water vapour
Polyamide (nylon-6)	Strong Moderate oxygen barrier, and excellent odour and flavour barrier Good chemical resistance Thermal and mechanical properties similar to PET High temperature performance	Poor water vapour barrier

(Reference: OJ Caleb et al., 2014)

MAP of Minimally Processed Pomegranate Arils

Table 3 gives a summary of MAP on arils of various pomegranate cultivars, highlighting the types of packaging adopted, and the modified atmosphere condition attained in the packages.

Review Article

Table 3: Summary of modified atmosphere packaging of Pomegranate arils, types of packaging

material, MAP composition with temperature and storage days

	Package film	MA composition		Storage	Storage	References
product	S	(%)		Temperature	time in	
-		O_2	CO_2	(° C)	MAP	
Pomegranate	Unperforated	8	10	2	12 weeks	Artés et al.,
fruit	PP; 25 μm	6	12	5		2000a, b
(cv. Mollar de Elche)	thickness					
Pomegranate arils	Oriented polyproylene	188 mL/L	22 mL/L	1	7 days	Gil <i>et al.</i> , 1996
(cv. Mollar de Elche)	(OPP)	OPP-CO2	206 mL/L	3 mL/L		
		OPP-N2	203 mL/L	4 mL/L		
Pomegranate arils (cv. Mollar)	Semi- permeable plastic bag	1	30	4	10 days	García <i>et al.</i> , 2000
Pomegranate	OPP; 40 μm	12.5	8.5	8	7 days	Gil et al.,
arils (cv. Mollar de Elche)	thickness	13.5	7.5	4		1996a
Pomegranate arils (cv. Mollar de Elche)	Polypropylene basket sealed with BOPP (October)	2–5 kPa	20.1– 21.6 kPa	5	15 days	López-Rubira et al., 2005
Eiche)	Polypropylene basket sealed with BOPP (December)	2–5 kPa	26.9– 29.9 kPa			
Pomegranate arils	BB4 (cryovac based on ethyl vinyl acetate)	1	22	4	14 days	Sepúlveda <i>et al.</i> , 2000
(cv. Wonderful)	BE (cryovac based on ethyl vinyl acetate)	12	2			
	Perforated polyethylene bags	Not rep	ported			
Pomegranate arils	Polypropylene	6.5	11.4	5	10 days	Palma <i>et al.</i> , (2009)
(cv. Primosole)						

Review Article

Pomegranate	PP tray	Passive	5	18 days	Caleb et al.,
arils		MAP			(2013)
Pomegranate	Perforation		5	15 days	Hussain et
arils	mediated MAP				al., (2015)
Pomegranate	PP Clam shell	Passive	5	12 days	Banda et al.,
arils	tray	MAP			(2015)
(cv.					
Wonderful)					

(Reference: OJ Caleb et al., 2015)

Gil (1996a) investigated the influence of different washing solutions, temperatures, and packaging on the anthocyanins content of minimally processed pomegranate 'Mollar de Elche' seeds. They found no significant differences in the anthocyanin composition after washing with different solutions. However, unpackaged pomegranate seeds stored for 7 days at 8, 4, and 1 °C, were observed to be shriveling, with almost half of the water originally present in the seeds lost during the unpackaged storage.

On the other hand, MAP-stored seeds had a minimal water loss compared with unpackaged. During cold storage in modified atmospheres at 1 °C, an increase in anthocyanin content was observed while a decrease was recorded at 8 and 4 °C. Comparing the perforated oriented polypropylene (OPP) and unperforated OPP package bags, stored with arils at 1 °C for 7 days. They observed that the unperforated OPP bags maintained the pigments better compared with perforated OPP bags. However, when the storage condition was extended for additional 4 days at 4 °C to mimic domestic storage, the seeds were better preserved in the perforated films. In a similar study by Gil et al., (1996b), the best outcomes in quality and appearance were obtained for pomegranate seeds washed with chlorine (100 mg/kg) plus antioxidants (5 g/L ascorbic acid and 5 g/L citric acid) sealed in OPP film, using an initial atmosphere actively modified to 0 mL/L CO2 and 20 mL/L O2 and stored for 7 days at 1 °C. Under this condition, the minimally processed seeds maintained good quality without fungal attacks or off-flavour development. López-Rubira et al., (2005) investigated the effect of harvest time, use of different UV-C radiation and passive MAP storage on sensory, chemical and microbial quality as well as on the shelf life of minimally fresh processed arils extracted from 'Mollar of Elche' pomegranate. They observed that the rate of respiration of fresh processed arils was higher in the late harvest than in the earlier harvested fruit, with an average respiration rate (RR) of 26.55±1.88 and 14.45±2.48 nmol CO2 kg-1 s -1, respectively. No significant differences were observed between the control and UV-C treated arils and there was no observable interaction between the passive MAP and UV-C treatments. Except that the CO₂ accumulation within aril packages was higher in December harvest than those of October, due to their higher RR. However, microbial counts of minimally fresh processed arils increased throughout the shelf life, with mesophilic counts of control arils processed in October slightly higher than those from December. Their anthocyanin content investigation was in agreement with previous report by Gil et al., (1996b). They found no significant change in total anthocyanin content of 'Mollar' arils harvested in early October during MAP storage at 1 °C for 7 days. However, their findings suggested that the shelf life of fresh processed arils is at least 10 days, contrary to 7 days reported by Gil et al., (1996b) for 'Mollar' pomegranate arils harvested in early October and stored at 1 °C under MAP. García et al., (2000) studied the respiratory intensity (RI) of pomegranate 'Mollar' seeds and the gas composition inside both a semipermeable and an impermeable plastic at a storage temperature of 4 °C for 10 days. They observed a RI of 30.8±0.4 (ml CO₂/kg/h) for the pomegranate seeds which was much lower compared to sliced oranges with 57.05±1 (ml CO₂/kg/h) from their study. In the case of modified atmosphere packages the atmosphere within the semi-permeable plastic was inadequate to prolong the shelf life of the minimally processed and refrigerated pomegranates. The high relative humidity within the packages helps reduce weight loss, maintaining the turgency and texture of the pomegranate seeds. Sepúlveda et al., (2000) investigated the influence of various types of antioxidant solutions and three semi permeable films; two

Review Article

cryovac, based on ethyl vinyl acetate (BE and BB4) and perforated polyethylene film as control on the quality of minimally processed pomegranate 'var. Wonderful' arils from Chile stored at 4 °C±0.5 for 14 days. A slight browning of arils was observed in all treatments, but this was highest in treatments without antioxidants. The weight loss of arils was lower in the arils packaged in BE and BB4 film and was significantly different from the arils in PE packages. After 14 days, all the treatments with BE and BB4 packages showed a very low total count for mesophilic aerobes, which could be attributed to higher concentration of carbon dioxide inside the packages. The use of semi-permeable films allowed successful storage for 14 days at 4 °C±0.5, with good physical, chemical, and microbiological quality. Additionally, the decrease in microbial growth was in agreement with Gorny (1997), who observed a decrease in the growth of microorganisms with CO2 concentrations between 15% and 20%. Chemical and organoleptic characteristics of minimally processed seeds of pomegranate 'Primosole' were examined after packaging in a 40 µm thick polypropylene film and stored at 5 °C for 10 days by Palma et al., (2009). They observed that a passive modified atmosphere was established within the package, with a progressive increase in CO2 and decrease in O2 level (Table 2). Ethylene concentration increased rapidly to the end of storage, the increase in ethylene was associated with wound injuries on the seeds. Furthermore for their study, no significant changes in chemical properties of analysed juice. However, an increase in titratable acidity was observed in packaged seeds, this increase acidity was attributed to the absorption of CO2 which lowers pH when dissolved in aqueous phase (Malhotra and Prasad, 1999). The use of honey treatments has also been explored in preserving the fresh-like quality of arils and to extend their shelf life. Ergun and Ergun (2009) evaluated the efficacy of varying concentration of 10 and 20% honey dip treatment on the quality and shelf life of minimally processed pomegranate arils of 'Hicaznar' stored at 4 °C in loosely closed plastic containers. It was demonstrated that honey treated arils had brilliant aroma throughout the 10 days storage period, compared with arils treated with water. After 5 days of storage, arils treated with honey solution had a significantly lower rate of softening than control samples. The total aerobic microbial count was lower in honey treated arils compared with the control but the counts increased across all treatment compared with the count immediately after treatment. Microbial quality criteria are often used to determine the acceptability limit and the shelf life of minimally fresh processed produce and this is used as a minimal standard for processed produce having a limited microbial count and free of pathogenic microorganisms (Willcox 1995). Storage of arils under optimal MA have been shown to reduce the risk of Enterobacteriaceae, latic acid bactria, mesophilic, psychrotrophic, as well as moulds and yeast counts (Sepúlveda et al., 2000; López-Rubira et al., 2005). Furthermore, since pomegranate arils are stored at lower temperature, the risk of microbial proliferation is reduced. According to Artés et al., (2000a, b), higher levels of decay (mainly due to *Penicillium spp.*) were observed in unpackaged treatments at 5 °C than in those at 2 °C. Similarly, López-Rubira et al., (2005) observed a low count of micro-aerophilic lactic acid bacteria after 10 days of aril storage without any trace of fermentative metabolism.

Factors Affecting Shelf Life during MAP

Two of the most important factors in determining deterioration rate during modified atmosphere packaging are temperature and gas composition.

Decreasing storage temperature causes a reduction in biochemical reaction rates of horticultural products, and thus on respiration rate (Kader, 1986). Biological reactions are resulted to increase two or three times for every 10°C rise in temperature within the temperature range usually used during distribution and marketing chain (Fonceca *et al.*, 2002).

As previously mentioned respiration is widely assumed to be slowed down by decreasing available O₂ and increasing CO₂. Furthermore, if O2 concentration are too low or CO2 too high physiological damages might occur to the product. Therefore MAP should be carefully designed since a system incorrectly designed may be ineffective or even shorten the shelf life of the product. Effective MAP of produce requires consideration of the optimal gas concentration, product respiration rate, gas diffusion through the film, as well as the optimal storage temperature in order to achieve the most benefit for the product and consumer.

Review Article

In general those products with increased wounding, as in the case of fresh cut produce, will have a high degree of perishability (Fonseca *et al.*, 2002). Cutting and slicing induce chilling injury in the tissue changing its physiology. Cutting rupture the cells, which then de-compartmentalizes and releases cell contents leading to biochemical reactions. The main physiological manifestations that appear due to wounding include increased respiration, ethylene production and accumulation of secondary metabolites (Wiley, 1999). Wounding causes a gradual increase in respiration rate with storage time, until a maximum is reached and then start decreasing to either the value before the wounding or to a higher one. Cutting lead to a 2 to 3 fold increase in respiration rate when compared to that of the whole product (Lee *et al.*, 1995).

Summary and Future Prospects

Even though several benefits of MAP, its application for pomegranate whole fruit and minimally processed arils is still limited due the challenges such as: the choice of polymeric films, as no single polymeric film can offer all the required properties for MAP. Predominantly under unpredictable storage or transit temperature conditions, polymeric film that performs optimally at a given temperature may result in increased rate of respiration or permeability at higher temperature. Limited information is still available on most films' permeability properties at varying storage temperature, and the ability of the polymeric film to withstand mechanical stress during storage and transport, which is critical to MAP of pomegranate in order prevent squashing of the arils and leakage of gases from the package. Moreover, the mechanical capacity of the polymeric film must also be balanced with flexibility and peel-ability for the convenience of consumers.

Accordingly, the potential of mathematical prediction or modelling can be range over towards an optimal MAP for pomegranate whole fruit and arils.

Several novel technologies offer the potential of further improvements in safety and shelf-life of MAP products, including the use of active and smart packaging and hurdle technology. Smart packaging, including time—temperature indicators (TTI), is a technology that appears to have a significant potential, especially with chill stored MAP products (Labuza *et al.*, 1992). To ensure microbial safety, strict temperature control is needed and temperature abuse should be avoided. TTIs could be applied to monitor the temperature and to detect temperature-abused packages.

MAP is still restricted to certain cultivars either because of the profit margin gained from packaging them or due to limited information on the metabolic properties of the other cultivars. As new cultivars are merging for commercial farming, it is expedient to investigate the postharvest physiology for both the newly introduced cultivars and other unstudied cultivars. It was also shown in this review that different pomegranate cultivars responded differently to MAP. Hence, experimental studies should be carried out separately for each cultivar with a more informative output on the metabolic properties (e.g. respiration rates of whole fruits or arils) under various conditions, in order to enable the successful application of the available technology.

REFERENCES

Artés F, Conesa MA, Hernandez S and Gil MI (1999). Keeping quality of fresh-cut tomato. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* **17**(3) 153–162.

Artés F, Tudela JA and Villaescusa R (2000a). Thermal postharvest treatment for improving pomegranate quality and shelf life. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* **18** 245–251.

Artés F, Villaescusa R and Tudela JA (2000b). Modified atmosphere packaging of pomegranate. *Journal of Food Science* **65**(7) 1112–1116.

Austin JW, Dodds KL, Blanchfield B and Farber JM (1998). Growth and toxin production by Clostridium botulinum on inoculated fresh-cut packaged vegetables. *Journal of Food Protection* **61**(3) 324–328.

Bayram E, Dundar O and Ozkaya O (2009). Effect of different packaging types on storage of Hicaznar pomegranate fruits. *In: Proceedings of the 1st IS on Pomegranate*, edited by AI Özgüven (Acta Horticulturae, ISHS) **818** 319–322.

Review Article

Beaudry RM (1999). Effect of O₂ and CO₂ partial pressure on selected phenomena affecting fruit and vegetable quality. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 37(1) 37–46.

Ben-Arie R and Or E (1986). The development and control of husk scald on Wonderful pomegranate fruit during storage. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* **111** 395–399.

Ben-Yehoshua S and Rodov V (2003). Transpiration and water stress. In: *Postharvest Physiology and Pathology of Vegetables*, edited by Bartz JA and Brecht JK (New York: Marcel Dekker) 111–159.

Brecht JK (2006). Controlled atmosphere, modified atmosphere and modified atmosphere packaging for vegetables. *Stewart Postharvest Review* **5**(2) 1–6.

Burton KS, Frost CE and Nichols R (1987). A combination of plastic permeable films system for controlling post-harvest mushroom quality. *Biotechnology Letters* **9** 529–534.

Cameron AC, Beaudry RM, Banks NH and Yelanich MV (1994). Modified atmosphere packaging of blueberry fruit: modelling respiration and package oxygen partial pressures as function of temperature. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 119(3) 534–539.

Cameron CA, Talasila PC and Joles DW (1995). Predicting film permeability needs for modified-atmosphere packaging of lightly processed fruits and vegetables. *HortScience* **30**(1) 25–34.

Charles F, Sanchez J and Gontard N (2003). Active modified atmosphere packaging of fresh fruits and vegetables: modeling with tomatoes and oxygen absorber. *Journal of Food Science* **68**(5) 1736–1742.

Church N (1994). Developments in modified-atmosphere packaging and related technologies. *Trends in Food Science and Technology* 5 345–352.

Church PN (1993). Meat and meat products. In: *Principles and Application of Modified Atmosphere Packaging of Food*, edited by Parry RT (Glasgow: Blackie) 170–187.

Crank J and Park GS (1968). Diffusion in Polymers, Crank et al., (London: Academic Press) 360.

Cutter CN (2002). Microbial control by packing: A review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* **42**(2) 151–161.

D' Aquino S, Palma A, Schirra M, Continella A, Tribulato E and La Malfa S (2010). Influence of film wrapping and fludioxonil application on quality of pomegranate fruit. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* **55** 121–128.

Dainelli D, Gontard N, Spyropoulos D, Zondervan-van den Beuken E and Tobback P (2008). Active and intelligent food packaging: legal aspects and safety concerns. *Trends in Food Science and Technology* **19** S103–S112.

Defilippi BG, Whitaker BD, Hess-Pierce BM and Kader AA (2006). Development and control of scald on Wonderful pomegranate during long-term storage. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* **41** 234–243.

Du CT, Wang PL and Francis FJ (1975). Anthocyanins of pomegranate, Punica granatum. *Journal of Food Science* **40**(2) 417–418.

Dumlu MU and Gurkan E (2007). Elemental and nutritional analysis of Punica granatum from Turkey. *Journal of Medical Food* **10**(2) 392–395.

Elyatem SM and Kader AA (1984). Post-harvest physiology and storage behaviour of pomegranate fruits. *Scientia Horticulturae* **24** 287–298.

Ergun M and Ergun N (2009). Maintaining quality of minimally processed pomegranate arils by honey treatments. *British Food Journal* **111(**4) 396–406.

Ewaida EH (1987). Nutrient composition of "Taifi" pomegranate (Punica granatum L). Fragments and their suitability for the production of jam. *Persian Gulf Science Research Agricultural and Biological Sciences* **3** 367–378.

Exama A, Arul J, Lencki RW, Lee LZ and Toupin C (1993). Suitability of plastic films for modified atmosphere packaging of fruits and vegetables. *Journal of Food Science* **58**(6) 1365–1370.

Fadavi A, Barzegar M and Azizi H (2006). Determination of fatty acids and total lipid content in oilseed of 25 pomegranate variaties grown in Iran. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* **19**(6) 676–680.

Fahan A (1976). The seed. In: *Plant Anatomy* (Hakkibutz Hameuhad Publication) Jerusalem 419–430.

Review Article

Holland D, Hatib K and Bar-Ya'akov I (2009). Pomegranate: botany, horticulture, breeding. In: *Horticultural Reviews*, edited by Janick J (USA: Wiley) **35** 127–191.

Hong SI and Park WS (2000). Use of colour indicators as an active packaging system for evaluating kimchi fermentation. *Journal of Food Engineering* **46**(1) 67–72.

Inoue Y, Hatakeyama H and Yoshino I (1994). Oxygen indicator. US Patent 5358876 (in United States).

Jacomino AP, Kluge RA, Sarantopoulos CIGL and Sigrist JMM (2001). Evaluation of plastic packages for guava refrigerated preservation. *Packaging Technology and Science* **14**(1) 11–19.

Jacksens L, Devlieghere F, De Rudder T and Debevere J (2000). Designing equilibrium modified atmosphere packages for fresh-cut vegetables subjected to changes in temperature. *Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft und-Technologies* **33** 178–187.

Jayas DS and Jeyamkondan S (2002). Modified atmosphere storage of grains meats fruits and vegetables. *Biosystems Engineering* **82**(3) 235–251.

Joles DW (1993). Modified atmosphere packaging of raspberry and strawberry fruit: characterizing the respiratory response to reduced O₂, elevated CO₂ and changes in temperature. MS thesis, Michigan State University East Lansing.

Kader AA (1980). Prevention of ripening in fruits by use of controlled atmospheres. *Food Technology* 34 51–54.

Kader AA (1986). Biochemical and physiological basis for effects of controlled and modified atmospheres on fruits and vegetables. *Food Technology* 40 99–104.

Kader AA (1995). Regulation of fruits physiology by controlled and modified atmosphere. *Acta Horticulturae* 398 59–70.

Kader AA and Watkins CB (2000). Modified atmosphere packaging—toward 2000 and beyond. *HortTechnology* **10**(3) 483–486.

Kader AA, Chordas A and Elyatem S (1984). Response of pomegranates to ethylene treatment and storage temperature. *California Agriculture* **38**(7-8) 14–15.

Kader AA, Zagory D and Kerbel EL (1989). Modified atmosphere packaging of fruits and vegetables. *CRC Critical Reviewers in Food Science and Nutrition* **28** 1–30.

Kim KM, Ko JA, Lee JS, Park HJ and Hanna MA (2006). Effect of modified atmosphere packaging on the shelf-life of coated whole and sliced mushrooms. *LWT Food Science and Technology* **39** 364–371.

Lee L, Arul J, Lencki R and Castaigne F (1995). A review on modified atmosphere packaging and preservation of fresh fruits and vegetables: physiological basis and practical aspects- part 1. *Packaging Technology Science* **8** 315–331.

Leistner L and Gould G (2002). *Hurdle Technologies: Combination Treatments for Food Stability, Safety and Quality* (New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers).

Levin GM (**2006**). *Pomegranate Roads: A Soviet Botanist's Exile from Eden*, edited by Baer (Forestville: Floreat Press) 15–183.

López-Briones G, Varoquaux P, Yves C, Bouquant J, Bureau G and Pascat B (1992). Storage of common mushroom under controlled atmospheres. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology* **27** 493–505.

López-Rubira V, Conesa A, Allende A and Artés F (2005). Shelf life and overall quality of minimally processed pomegranate arils modified atmosphere packaged and treated with UV-C. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* **37** 174–185.

Mahajan PV, Oliveira FAR, Montanez JC and Frias J (2007). Development of user-friendly software for design of modified atmosphere packaging for fresh and fresh-cut produce. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies* **8** 84–92.

Malhotra J and Prasad DN (1999). Role of carbon dioxide in enhancing the microbiological quality of perishable foods: a review. *Microbiology Alims Nutritions* **17** 155–168.

Review Article

Malik A, Afaq F, Sarfaraz S, Adhami VM, Syed DN and Mukhtar H (2005). Pomegranate fruit juice for chemoprevention and chemotherapy of prostate cancer. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 102 14813–14818.

Mangaraj S, Goswami TK and Mahajan PV (2009). Applications of plastic films for modified atmosphere packaging of fruits and vegetables: a review. *Food Engineering Reviews* 1 133–158.

Mirdehghan SH, Rahemi M, Castillo S, Martínez-Romero D, Serrano M and Valero D (2007a). Prestorage application of polyamines by pressure infiltration or immersion improves shelf-life of pomegranate stored at chilling temperature by increasing endogenous polyamine levels. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* **44** 26–33.

Neurath AR, Strick N, Li Y and Debnath AK (2005). Punica granatum (pomegranate) juice provides an HIV-1 entry inhibitor and candidate topical microbicide. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1056** 311–327.

Noda Y, Kaneyuki T, Mori A and Packer L (2002). Antioxidant activities of pomegranate fruit extract and its anthocyanidins: delphinidin, cyanidin and pelargonidin. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **50** 166–171.

Paul DR and Clarke R (2002). Modelling of modified atmosphere packaging based on designs with a membrane and perforations. *Journal of Membrane Science* **208** 269–283.

Pereira LM, Rodrigues ACC, Sarantópoulos CIGL, Junqueira VCA, Cunha RL and Hubinger MD (2004). Influence of modified atmosphere packaging and osmotic dehydration on the quality maintenance of minimally processed guavas. *Journal of Food Science* **69**(4) 172–177.

Pesis E, Dvir O, Feygenberg O, Arie RB, Ackerman M and Lichter A (2002). Production of acetaldehyde and ethanol during maturation and modified atmosphere storage of litchi fruit. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* **26** 157–165.

Petracek PD, Joles DW, Shirazi A and Cameron AC (2002). Modified atmosphere packaging of sweet cherry (Prunus avium L., ev. 'Sams') fruit: metabolic responses to oxygen, carbon dioxide, and temperature. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* **24** 259–270.

Phillips CA (1996). Review: modified atmosphere packaging and its effects on the microbiological quality and safety of produce. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology* **31** 463–479.

Porat R, Weiss B, Fuchs Y, Sandman A and Ward G (2009). Modified atmosphere/modified humidity packaging for preserving pomegranate fruit during prolonged storage and transport. In: *Proceedings of the 1st IS on Pomegranate*, edited by Özgüven AI (Acta Horticulturae, ISHS) **818** 1–4.

Rai DR, Oberoi HS and Baboo B (2002). Modified atmosphere packaging and its effect on quality and shelf-life of fruits and vegetable- an overview. *Journal of Food Science and Technology* **39**(3) 199–207.

Rocha AMCN, Barreiro MG and Morais AMMB (2004). Modified atmosphere package for apple 'Bravo de Esmolfe'. *Journal of Food Control* **15**(1) 61–64.

Rolle RS and Chism GW III (1987). Physiological consequences of minimally processed fruits and vegetables. *Journal of Food Quality* **10** 157–177.

Rosslenbroich HJ and Stuebler D (2000). Botrytis cinerea-history of chemical control and novel fungicides for its management. *Crop Protection* **19** 557–561.

Roy SK and Wasker DP (1997). Pomegranate. In: *Postharvest Physiology and Storage of Tropical and Subtropical Fruits*, edited by Mitra S (UK: CAB International) 365–374.

Ryall AL and Pentzer WT (1974). *Handling, Transportation and Storage of Fruits and Vegetables: Volume 2 Fruits and Tree Nuts* (Westport: AVI Publishing).

Sadeghi H and Akbarpour V (2009). Liquid acrylic and polyamide plastic covering affect quality and storability of pomegranate (cv. Malas-e-Saveh). *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment* **7**(3–4) 405–407.

Salunkle DK and Desai BB (1986). Low temperature storage of pomegranate fruits. In: *Postharvest Biotechnology of Fruits* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL) **2** 65–67.

Sandhya (2010). Modified atmosphere packaging of fresh produce: current status and future needs. *Food Science and Technology* **43** 381–392.

Review Article

Sanz C, Pérez AG, Olías R and Olías JM (1999). Quality of strawberries packed with perforated polypropylene. *Journal of Food Science* **64**(4) 748–752.

Saxena AK, Manan JK and Berry SK (1987). Pomegranates: post-harvest technology, chemistry and processing. *Indian Food Packer* 41 43–60.

Singh RP and Wells JH (1985). Use of time-temperature indicators to monitor quality of frozen hamburger. *Food Technology* **39**(12) 42–50.

Siracusa V, Rocculi P, Romani S and Rosa MD (2008). Biodegradable polymers for food packaging: a review. *Trends in Food Science and Technology* **19** 634–643.

Sivakumar D and Korsten L (2006). Influence of modified atmosphere packaging and post harvest treatments on quality retention of litchi cv. Mauritius. *Postharvest Biology Technology* **41** 135–142.

Sivakumar D, Arrebola E and Korsten L (2008). Postharvest decay control and quality retention in litchi (cv. McLean's red) by combined application of modified atmosphere packaging and antimicrobial agents. *Crop Protection* **27** 1208–1214.

Smiddy M, Fitzgerald M, Kerry JP, Papkovsky DB, O'Sullivan CK and Guilbault GG (2002). Use of oxygen sensors to non-destructively measure the oxygen content in modified atmosphere and vacuum packed beef: impact of oxygen content on lipid oxidation. *Meat Science* **61**(3) 285–290.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Federal Register Environmental Documents (2005). Fludioxonil. *Pesticide Tolerance* **70**(95), Available: www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-PEST/2005/May/Day-18/p9798.htm [Accessed 20 July 2006].