

Research Article

DIGESTIBILITY AND ENERGY UTILIZATION OF DIET ENRICHED WITH WHOLE LINSEED IN OSMANABADI GOAT KIDS

T.R. Walkunde and S.B. Adangale

Department of Animal Science and Dairy Science Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri (M.S) 41372

**Author for Correspondence*

ABSTRACT

The changes in digestibility and energy in utilization of Diet Enriched with Whole Linseed in kids as affected by dietary supplementation of whole linseed (with replacement of concentrate ration by 50,100,150 g) were studied. To achieve these objectives 24 weaned Osmanabadi kids divided in four groups fed with diets supplemented with whole linseed were monitored for period of 3 months. Digestibility of various nutrient under different treatments supplemented with linseed were similar and comparable with control indicating no adverse effect on nutrient digestibility. It was found that energy availability was more in treatment groups, however, it did not reflect significantly in contexts with gain in body weight.

Key words: Kids, Digestibility, utilization, Linseed

INTRODUCTION

Linseed is one of the oil seed crop grown in winter season. It is having 33.45 per cent oil, 19.22 per cent protein and 72.00 per cent total digestible nutrients on weight basis. But most of linseed is used in the paint industry, for production of printing ink, lacquers varnish and lubricating oils.

Goat meat (chevon) is preferred as fresh hot meat in India and is accepted by the society because there is no religious barrier attached. Being lean, it is an excellent source for the preparation of the low fat meal. Traditional meat eaters are attracted towards poultry products but due to recent episode of 'Bird Flu' in poultry sector, consumers have to look for some other alternative meat products. Demand for goat meat products is growing due to recent trends in low fat content products. As there is more demand and price for goat meat, entrepreneurs have shifted their investment towards goat farming.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and dietary treatments: Twenty four weaned Osmanabadi kids up to four month of age and average body weight 8.7 kg were randomly divided into four groups of six in each. The kids were fed for period of 3 months with four dietary treatments, T₀: 1/3 concentrates mixture + 2/3 roughages (control) i.e. conventional feeding, T₁: 1/3 concentrate including 50 g linseed + 2/3 roughages, T₂: 1/3 concentrate including 100 g linseed + 2/3 roughages, T₃: 1/3 concentrate including 150 g linseed + 2/3 roughages. The feeding of experimental kids was done as per the Feeding standards given by Mandal et al., (2004). At the end of ninety days representative samples of feed, fodder, and faeces were collected and analyzed for dry matter, crude protein, crude fiber, ether extract and total ash by using AOAC (1995) methods. The data from individual kids were analyzed following Randomized Block Design (Snedecor and Cochran, 1989).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The concentrate mixture prepared for experimental feeding was having 19.46, 8.60, 3.60, 7.60 and 60.20 per cent for CP, CF, EE, TA and NFE, respectively (Table 1). Whereas the linseed is concern, it was found that, CP, CF, EE, TA and NFE content were 19.00, 6.20, 31.50, 7.10 and 36.10 per cent, respectively. It showed that linseed is also nutritionally sound, so that it can be used as protein rich feed for the animals. Similar results were also reported by Astellini et al., (2004), Petit et al., (2003) and Gonthier et al., (2004).

Research Article

Table: 1 Physical and Chemical Composition of Concentrate mixture, Linseed and other forages.

(% DM basis)

Ingredients	Parts	Linseed	Lucerne	Tur Bhusa
Maize grain (crushed)	47	--	--	--
Groundnut cake	30	--	--	--
Wheat bran	22	--	--	--
Mineral mixture	1.0	--	--	--
Total	100.0	--	--	--
CP (%)	19.46	19.00	21.69	6.90
CF(%)	8.60	6.20	24.33	32.75
EE(%)	3.60	31.50	2.92	2.04
NFE(%)	60.20	36.10	41.59	49.78
TA(%)	7.60	7.10	9.47	8.53

Table: 2 Apparent digestibility (%) of nutrients based on *IN VIVO* digestion trial

Digestibility	Treatments				S.E.
	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	
Dry matter	62.48	64.91	62.00	63.19	1.73
Crude protein	67.26	70.64	69.28	70.92	1.48
Crude fiber	42.55	44.24	41.86	43.12	1.15
Ether extract	70.80 ^a	74.85 ^b	76.08 ^b	72.61 ^{ab}	1.22
Nitrogen free extract	83.67	83.95	80.04	85.18	1.90

* Value with different superscripts in a row differ significantly ($P < 0.01$).

Table: 3 Feed utilization in kids

Parameter	Treatments				S.E.
	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	
Gain in body weight (kg)	5.17	5.47	4.63	4.57	0.576
Feed intake (kg)	99.19	97.88	99.01	98.17	2.881
Net energy for maintenance (Mcal/kg)	1.514 ^a	1.719 ^b	1.711 ^b	1.819 ^b	0.062
Net energy for gain (Mcal/kg)	1.021 ^a	1.127 ^b	1.126 ^b	1.209 ^c	0.027

Values with different superscripts in a row differ significantly with each other. ($P < 0.01$)

*

Research Article

The dry matter digestibility of different experimental ration (Table.2) showed that dry matter digestibility ranged from 62.00 and 64.91 per cent. It was highest in T₁ (64.91), followed by T₃ (63.15), T₀ (62.48) and T₂(62.00). However, all treatment differences were non-significant. From the result it seems no specific trend for dry matter digestibility was found. These finding are in confirmation with the finding of the Ueda et al. (2003) who observed non-significant difference for dry matter digestibility when 3 percent linseed oil supplementation was done in dairy cows and also with Kempe and Saastamoinen (2007) in Dog. The mean values of crude protein digestibility under treatment T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃ were 67.260, 70.640, 69.287 and 70.927, per cent respectively. Numerically higher digestibility of crude protein was observed in T₃ treatment (70.917) followed by T₁, T₂ and T₀, respectively. However, no significant difference was observed among the linseed fed groups and control. The higher digestibility of CP linseed containing ration and in experimental groups was observed than control group. These results were similar to that of findings of Mustafa et al., (2002), Gonthier et al., (2004) and Alemu et al.,(2010) reported higher CP digestibility in linseed cake supplemented ration than control ration of Sidama Goat. It may be due to higher solubility of heat treated of linseed protein which might favoured to higher digestibility. The perusal of data indicated that linseed protein can be efficiently used by ruminants without affecting overall digestibility of experimental ration.

The mean crude fiber digestibility found under treatments T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃ were 42.55, 44.24, 41.86 and 43.12 per cent respectively. Highest crude fiber digestibility was found in T₁ (44.24) and lowest in T₂ (41.86). The treatment differences were non significant which indicated that all treatment groups were at par and inclusion of linseed in kids' diet did not cause adverse effect on crude fiber digestibility. The digestibility of ether extract for various treatments was 70.80, 74.85, 76.08 and 72.61 per cent in treatment T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃ respectively. The analysis of variance showed that the ether extract digestibility was significantly higher ($P < 0.01$) in treatment T₂ (76.08) followed by T₁ (74.85) over control but both of them were at par with each other. The treatment T₀ and T₃ were at par. These results are on similar line as those of the Drouillard et al., (2002), Farren et al., (2002).

The mean digestibility of nitrogen free extract was 83.67, 83.85, 80.04, and 85.18 per cent, in treatment groups T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃, respectively. The differences were statistically non-significant but numerically higher digestibility was found in T₃ (85.18) followed by T₁, T₀ and T₂ (83.95, 83.67 and 80.04 %) respectively, it may be because of higher oil content. The perusal of data indicates that there was no specific trend in NFE digestibility. The findings of present study envisage that digestibility of various nutrient under different treatments supplemented with linseed were similar and comparable with control indicating no adverse effect on nutrient digestibility.

Feed utilization: The mean total body weight gain (Table-3)during experimental period of 90 days were 5.17, 5.47, 4.63, 4.57 kg for treatment T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃ ,respectively in differences were non-significant. The feed intake during the experimental period 99.19, 97.88, 99.01 and 98.17 kg/kid/for 90 days period treatment i.e. T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃, respectively the difference was non-significant with each other. It is quite clear from perusal of data that the net

energy utilization for maintenance was marginally higher intreatment T₃ (1.819 M Cal/day) which was followed by T₁, T₂ and T₀ (1.719, 1.711 and 1.514), respectively. But the difference was statistically non-significant. The energy utilization for maintenance and gain were estimated on the basis of digestibility results by using formulae given by Lofgreen and Garret (1968). Net energy for gain was 1.127, 1.126 and 1.021 M Cal/day in T₁, T₂ and T₀, respectively (NE.g). It was observed that the experimental treatments were at par with each other but differs significantly with control (T₀). It was indicated that maximum energy was diverted from gain purpose in T₃ (1.209 M Cal/day) followed by T₁ (1.127 M Cal/day), T₂ (1.126 M Cal/day) and control T₀ (1.021 M Cal/day). All treatments were differed significantly, even though treatment T₁ and T₂ were found at par with each other. It was therefore concluded that kids fed linseed was utilized to the fullest extent in order to extract the maximum energy from linseed. It indicated that energy availability was more in treatment groups, however, it did not reflect significantly in contexts

Research Article

with gain in body weight. It may be because of part of energy might have been utilized to catabolise glycoside content of linseed, which noticed in increased level of linseed.

REFERENCES

A.O.A.C. (1995). Official Methods of Analysis 15th ed. Arlington, VA.

Alemu WS Melaku. A Tolera (2010). Supplementation of cottonseed, linseed, and noug seed cakes on feed intake, digestibility, body weight, and carcass parameters of Sidama goats. *Tropical Animal Health Production.* **42**(4) 623

Astellini C AC Dal bosco R Ardinali C S Mugnai E Ciascia (2004). Effect of dietary N-3 fatty acids on the composition of doe's milk and tissues of suckling rabbits, *Meat Science* **66** 407-413.

Drouillard JS EJ Good CM Gordon TJ Kessen MJ Sulpinio SP Montgomer and Sindt (2002). Final report flaxseed and flaxseed product for cattle : Effects on health, growth performance, carcass quality and sensory attributes, proceeding of the 59th flax institute March. 21-23, Fargo, N.D. 72-87.

Farren TB JS Drouillard DA Blasi HJ Labrune SP Motgomery and J Higgins (2002). Evaluation of performance in receiving heifers fed different sources of dietary lipids. Proc. Cattle Men's by Kansas State University, Manhattan. pp. 1-4.

Gontheir C AF Mustafa R Berthiaume HV Petit R Martineau and DR Ouellet (2004). Effect of feeding micronized and Extruded flaxseed on ruminal fermentation and nutrient utilization by Dairy Cows. *Journal of Dairy Science.* **87** 1854-1863.

Kempe R and M Saastamoinen (2007). Effect of linseed cake supplementation on digestibility, faecal and haematological parameters in dogs. *Journal Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition* **91**(7- 8) 319-25.

Mandal AB SS Paul GP Madal A Kannan and N Patak (2004). Nutrient requirement for growing Indian goats under tropical conditions. Small Ruminant Research. In Press.

Mustafa AF PY Chouinard and DA Christensen (2002). Effect of micronization of flaxseed on nutrient disappearance in the gastrointestinal tract of steer. *Animal Feed Science Technology.* **95** 123-132.

Petit HV (2003). Digestion milk production milk composition and blood composition of dairy cow fed formaldehyde treated flaxseed or sunflower seed. *Journal of Dairy Science.* **86** 2637-2646.

Snedecor GW and WG Cochran (1989). Statistical methods. The IOWA state Univ. Press Ames. IOWA, USA pp-557-564.

Ueda KA Ferlay J Chabrot JJ Loor Y Chilliard M Doreau (2003). Effect of linseed oil supplementation on ruminal digestion in dairy cows fed diets with different forage:concentrate ratios. *Journal of Dairy Science* **86**(12) 3999-4007