EFFECT OF AGROCHEMICALS ON *TRICHODERMA HARZIANUM* (Th₄ ISOLATE) AND ITS BIOCONTROL POTENTIAL AGAINST CHICKPEA COLLAR ROT CAUSED BY *SCLEROTIUM ROLFSII*

*Neeraja B., Manoj Kumar V., Krishna Prasadji J. and Anil Kumar P.

Department of Plant Pathology, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh 522 101 *Author for Correspondence

ABSTRACT

Pot culture experiments were conducted to study the effect of most commonly used selected agrochemicals *viz.*, carbendazim (0.2%), chlorpyriphos (0.25%), pendimethalin (0.66%) and zinc sulphate (0.2%) alone and in combination with amendment gypsum (2%) on the biocontrol efficacy of *Trichoderma harzianum* (Th₄) as seed treatment/ soil application against collar rot of chickpea caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Among all seed treatments, seed treatment with Th₄- chlorpyriphos- *S. rolfsii* had shown the highest per cent germination (96.75), high plant stand per cent (55.93) and high colony forming units (cfu) recovery (20.67×10^6 cfu/g soil) and from among all soil application treatments, soil application with Th₄-chlorpyriphos- *S. rolfsii* had shown high plant stand per cent (25.42) and high cfu recovery of 20.67×10^6 cfu/g soil.

Keywords: Agrochemicals, Trichoderma Harzianum, Sclerotium Rolfsii

INTRODUCTION

Soil borne plant pathogenic fungi such as *Pythium*, *Phytophthora*, *Botrytis*, *Rhizoctonia*, *Sclerotium* and *Fusarium* are widely distributed in soil and affect various crops of economic importance (Chet *et al.*, 1997).

Trichoderma is one of the most common soil inhabitants and extensively studied biocontrol agent in the management of soil borne plant pathogens (Elad *et al.*, 1980). Biological control using *Trichoderma* offers a novel approach when applied alone or in combination with other management practices (Papavizas, 1985).

Species of *Trichoderma* are being used either through seed treatment or soil application to manage several soil borne plant diseases.

Hence, the present investigation was conducted to study the compatibility of selected agrochemicals alone or in combination with gypsum on the biocontrol efficacy of *T. harzianum* (Th₄) as seed treatment / soil application against collar rot of chickpea caused by *S. rolfsii*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Trichoderma harzianum (Th₄) obtained from cotton ecosystem, which was maintained in Department of plant pathology, Agricultural College, Bapatla was used in the present investigation.

Most commonly used agrochemicals *viz.*, carbendazim (0.2%), chlorpyriphos (0.25%), pendimethalin (0.66%) and zinc sulphate (0.2%) and one amendment gypsum (2%) were used to study the compatibility and biocontrol efficacy of *T. harzianum* (Th₄) seed treatment and soil application against *S. rolfsii* causing chickpea collar rot. Seed treatment of Th₄ was done at 10^8 spores/ml concentrations, while Th₄ soil application was done at 10 g/pot and *S. rolfsii* at six g/pot, which were mass multiplied on sorghum grains of 15 days old culture was used. Twenty chickpea seeds were sown in the earthen pots under green house conditions.

Observations were recorded on the number of seeds germinated and plant stand. Rhizosphere soil samples were collected at 30 DAS to estimate *T. harzianum* Th_4 population using Trichoderma selective medium (TSM). The following formulae were used to assess treatment effects in pot culture.

International Journal of Food, Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences ISSN: 2277-209X (Online) An Open Access, Online International Journal Available at http://www.cibtech.org/jfav.htm 2014 Vol. 4 (3) September-December, pp. 133-138/Neeraja et al. **Research Article**

Number of plants in absolute check- Number of plants in treatments

Mortality (%) = ------ × 100

Number of plants in absolute check

Mortality % in pathogen check - Mortality % in treatment

Disease Control (%) = ------×100

Mortality % in pathogen check

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Trichoderma Harzianum TH₄ as Seed Treatment against s. Rolfsii in Chickpea in Pot Experiment

In the absence of gypsum, when comparisons were made with absolute check (pathogen uninoculated) (19.67 plants/pot) at 30 DAS,

all the treatments were significantly different from absolute check (pathogen uninoculated).

Among the treatments, seed treatment of Th_4 - chlorpyriphos - *S. rolfsii* had shown significantly higher plant stand (11 plants/pot),

which may be due to less inhibitory effect of chlorpyriphos on population of Th_4 , so that Th_4 could control *S. rolfsii* and decrease the per cent seedling mortality,

whereas seed treatment of Th_4 - zinc sulphate - *S. rolfsii* had lower plant stand (4 plants/pot) showing high incompatibility with Th_4 seed treatment (Table 1).

Germination per cent of seed treatment with Th_4 - chlorpyriphos - *S. rolfsii* was on a par with absolute check (100.00) remaining treatments were significantly less compared to absolute check.

S. rolfsii inoculum had a negative effect.

However, the negative effect can be nullified by addition of chlorpyriphos, which may be ascribed to rapid degradation of chlorpyriphos when applied to soil without ill effect on Th_4 population.

This was in agreement with Jebakumar et al., (2000), Singh et al., (2010) and Vimala et al., (2010).

Seed treatment of Th₄ - chlorpyriphos - *S. rolfsii* (23.00×10^6 cfu/g soil) has recorded significantly higher mean Th₄ population at 30 DAS.

This can be due to better rhizosphere colonization of Th₄ and its bioefficacy against S. rolfsii (Table 1).

Research Article

Table 1: Effect of selected agrochemicals alone and in combination with amendment on the biocontrol efficacy of T. harzianum Th ₄ as
seed treatment against S. rolfsü in chickpea

S. No.	Treatments	Germination %	Plant stand at 30 DAS	Plant stand (%)	Mortality (%)	Disease control (%)	Th ₄ population (×10 ⁶ cfu/g soil) at 30 DAS
1	ST - Carbendazim - S. rolfsii	73.33 (58.89)	7.33 (2.89)	37.29	62.71	31.48	17.00 (4.24)
2	ST - Chlorpyriphos - S. rolfsii	96.57 (79.59)	11.00 (3.46)	55.93	44.07	51.85	23.00 (4.90)
3	ST - Pendimethalin - S. rolfsii	59.21 (50.29)	6.00 (2.65)	30.51	69.49	24.07	13.00 (3.74)
4	ST - Zinc sulphate - S. rolfsii	62.37 (52.14)	4.00 (2.24)	20.34	79.66	12.96	10.00 (3.32)
5	ST - Carbendazim - Gypsum - S. rolfsü	69.74 (56.60)	2.00 (1.73)	10.17	89.83	1.85	13.33 (3.79)
6	ST - Chlorpyriphos - Gypsum - S. rolfsü	84.65 (66.91)	8.00 (3.00)	40.68	59.32	35.19	13.00 (3.74)
7	ST - Pendimethalin - Gypsum - S. rolfsü	71.32 (57.59)	1.33 (1.53)	6.78	93.22	-1.85	0.00 (1.00)
8	ST - Zinc sulphate - Gypsum - S. rolfsii	38.39 (38.60)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00	100.00	-9.26	0.00 (1.00)
9	ST - S. rolfsii - Gypsum	81.75 (64.69)	1.00 (1.41)	5.08	94.92	-3.70	0.00(1.00)
10	ST - S. rolfsii	52.72 (46.54)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00	100.00	-9.26	7.00 (2.83)
11	S. rolfsii - gypsum	47.28 (43.42)	2.00 (1.73)	10.17	89.83	1.85	0.00 (1.00)
12	Pathogen check	79.82 (63.28)	1.67 (1.63)	8.47	91.53		0.33 (1.15)
13	Absolute check (Pathogen uninoculated)	100.00 (89.96)	19.67 (4.58)	-	-	-	0.33 (1.15)
CD ($P \le 0.01$)		13.50	0.48				0.24
CV (%)		11.36	13.60				5.70

*Each treatment replicated thrice

ST - seed treatment of $Th_4 @ 10^8$ spores/ml

20 seeds were sown per pot

Figures in parentheses are square root transformed value

© Copyright 2014 / Centre for Info Bio Technology (CIBTech)

Research Article

Table 2: Effect of selected agrochemicals alone and in combination with amendment on the biocontrol efficacy of <i>T. harzianum</i> Th ₄ as soil	
application against S. <i>rolfsii</i> in chickpea	

S.	Treatments		Plant stand	Plant	Mortality	Disease	Th ₄ population
No.		Germination %	at 30 DAS	stand (%)	(%)	control (%)	(×10 ⁶ cfu/g soil) at 30 DAS
1	SA - Carbendazim - S. rolfsii	47.46 (43.52)	0.67 (1.29)	3.39	96.61	-5.56	3.33 (2.08)
2	SA - Chlorpyriphos - S. rolfsii	83.07 (65.68)	1.00 (1.41)	5.08	94.92	-3.70	16.33 (4.16)
3	SA - Pendimethalin - S. rolfsii	57.63 (49.37)	1.33 (1.53)	6.78	93.22	-1.85	8.00 (3.00)
4	SA - Zinc sulphate - S. rolfsü	45.88 (42.62)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00	100.00	-9.26	7.33 (2.89)
5	SA - Carbendazim - Gypsum - S. rolfsii	57.46 (49.27)	1.33 (1.53)	6.78	93.22	-1.85	1.33 (1.53)
6	SA - Chlorpyriphos - Gypsum - S. rolfsü	67.81 (55.41)	5.00 (2.45)	25.42	74.58	18.52	20.67 (4.65)
7	SA - Pendimethalin - Gypsum - S. rolfsii	52.28 (46.29)	3.33 (2.08)	16.95	83.05	9.26	15.00 (4.00)
8	SA - Zinc sulphate - Gypsum - S. rolfsü	56.05 (48.46)	0.00 (1.00)	0.00	100.00	-9.26	0.00 (1.00)
9	SA - <i>S. rolfsü</i> - Gypsum	42.37 (40.59)	2.33 (1.83)	11.86	88.14	3.70	3.67 (2.16)
10	SA - S. rolfsü	45.79 (42.57)	3.67 (2.16)	18.64	81.36	11.11	12.00 (3.61)
11	S. rolfsü - gypsum	47.28 (43.32)	2.00 (1.73)	10.17	89.83	1.85	4.33 (2.31)
12	Pathogen check	79.82 (63.28)	1.67 (1.63)	8.47	91.53	-	1.00 (1.41)
13	Absolute check (Pathogen uninoculated)	100.00 (89.96)	19.67 (4.55)	-	-	-	0.33 (1.15)
$CD (P \le 0.01)$		5.31	0.24				0.37
CV (9	%)	6.05	7.69				8.52

*Each treatment replicated thrice

SA- soil application of $Th_4 @ 6g/6Kg$ soil pot

20 seeds were sown per pot

Figures in parentheses are square root transformed value

© Copyright 2014 / Centre for Info Bio Technology (CIBTech)

International Journal of Food, Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences ISSN: 2277-209X (Online) An Open Access, Online International Journal Available at http://www.cibtech.org/jfav.htm 2014 Vol. 4 (3) September-December, pp. 133-138/Neeraja et al.

International Journal of Food, Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences ISSN: 2277-209X (Online) An Open Access, Online International Journal Available at http://www.cibtech.org/jfav.htm 2014 Vol. 4 (3) September-December, pp. 133-138/Neeraja et al.

Research Article

Among gypsum amended treatments, Th₄ seed treatment – gypsum – chlorpyriphos - *S. rolfsii* showed significantly higher plant stand (8 plants/pot) at 30 DAS where as complete seedling mortality was observed in Th₄ seed treatment - zinc sulphate - gypsum - *S. rolfsii* (0 plants/pot) showed that zinc sulphate had severe toxic effect on Th₄ (Table 1). This was in agreement with Gurjar *et al.*, (2003). Th₄ seed treatment - pendimethalin - gypsum - *S. rolfsii*, Th₄ seed treatment - zinc sulphate - gypsum and *S. rolfsii* – gypsum there was no recovery of Th₄ from soil. It may be due to toxicity of pendimethalin and zinc sulphate to the population of Th₄ (Table 1).

Among all the treatments, the highest plant stand (55.93%) and highest disease control (51.85%) observed in Th₄ seed treatment- chlorpyriphos - *S. rolfsii*. Highest mortality (100%) and lowest plant stand (0%) was observed in Th₄ seed treatment - zinc sulphate - gypsum - *S. rolfsii* (Table 1).

Trichoderma Harzianum Th₄ as Soil Application against s. rolfsii in Chickpea in Pot Experiment

Among all the gypsum unamended treatments Th_4 soil application - *S. rolfsii* had significantly higher plant stand (3.67 plants/pot) at 30 DAS compared to all other treatments. In all the treatments plant stand was significantly lower when comparisons were made with absolute check (19.67 plants/pot) (Table 2).

In gypsum amended treatments, plant stand at 30 DAS was significantly lower when comparisons were made with absolute check (19.67 plants/pot). Th₄ soil application - chlorpyriphos - gypsum - *S. rolfsii* had higher population (5.00 plants/pot). When both Th₄ and gypsum were directly applied to the soil, Th₄ had more chances to thicken its cell wall, so that the toxic chemical permeability into it is blocked there by facilitating the proliferation of Th₄ in the soil as reported by Jebakumar *et al.*, (2000); Singh *et al.*, (2010) and Vimala *et al.*, (2010) (Table 2). Among all soil application treatments, the high germination per cent was observed in soil application with Th₄- chlorpyriphos- *S. rolfsii* (83.07) but all were significantly less when compared to absolute check (100.00)

In both seed treatment and soil application, zinc sulphate amended treatments were more toxic to Th_4 by facilitating favorable environment for rapid multiplication of the *S. rolfsii* leading to complete seedling mortality at 30 DAS. It can be inferred that zinc sulphate was found more toxic to Th_4 , so it is not advisable to use zinc sulphate in combination with Th_4 with or without gypsum as it is proved to be toxic to Th_4 (Table 1 and 2).

Highest plant stand was found in Th₄ soil application- chlorpyriphos - gypsum - *S. rolfsii* (25.42%) when comparisons were made among all treatments with absolute check (100%). Chlorpyriphos did not showed any toxic effect on Th₄ and gypsum facilitated Th₄ proliferation in the soil. Lowest mortality was observed in Th₄ soil application - chlorpyriphos - gypsum - *S. rolfsii* (74.58%) when comparisons were made among all treatments with pathogen check (91.53%) with a disease control per cent of 18.52. Complete mortality was observed in zinc sulphate amended treatments when compared to pathogen check (91.53%) which proved that zinc sulphate was more toxic to Th₄. (Table 2).

When Th₄ population was estimated and means comparisons of cfu at 30 DAS were made, significant difference was observed among all the treatments. Higher Th₄ population was observed in Th₄ soil application - chlorpyriphos - gypsum - *S. rolfsii* (20.67 × 10⁶ cfu/g soil) followed by Th₄ soil application - chlorpyriphos - *S. rolfsii* (16.33 × 10⁶ cfu/g soil) and Th₄ soil application - pendimethalin - gypsum - *S. rolfsii* (15.00 × 10⁶ cfu/g soil) (Table 2). Thus, the present investigation has revealed that, agrochemicals such as carbendazim, chlorpyriphos, pendimethalin and zinc sulphate were toxic to Th₄ and were ineffective in control of collar rot pathogen *S. rolfsii*. In chlorpyriphos applied treatments the plant stand per cent and germination per cent were high both in seed treatment and soil application of Th₄ due to the subsequent protective effect of Th₄ which must have increased with the decreasing effect of chlorpyriphos over time, but remaining three agrochemicals proved toxic to Th₄, among all the selected agrochemicals zinc sulphate proved to be more toxic to Th₄.

REFERENCES

Chet I, Inar J and Hardar I (1997). Fungal antagonists and mycoparasites. In: *The Mycota iv: Environmental and Microbial Relationships*, edited by Wicklow DT and Soderstorm B (Springer-Verlag) Berlin 165-184.

International Journal of Food, Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences ISSN: 2277-209X (Online) An Open Access, Online International Journal Available at http://www.cibtech.org/jfav.htm 2014 Vol. 4 (3) September-December, pp. 133-138/Neeraja et al.

Research Article

Elad Y, Chet I and Katan I (1980). *Trichoderma harzianum* a biocontrol agent effective against *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Phytopathology* 70 119-121.

Gurjar RBS, Bansal RK and Gupta RBL (2003). Effect of soil amendments on collar rot of chilli caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc. *Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology* **33**(3) 482.

Jebakumar RS, Anandaraj M and Sharma YR (2000). Compatibility of phorate and chlorpyriphos with *Trichoderma harzianum* applied for integrated disease management in black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.). *Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops* **9**(2) 111-115.

Papavizas GC (1985). *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium*: Biology, ecology and potential for biocontrol. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **23** 23-54.

Singh N, Srivastava A, Srivastava PC and Srivastava N (2010). Role of soil amendments in dissipation of chlorpyriphos in mollisols. *Pesticide Research Journal* 22(2) 139-142.

Vimala R, Selven SC, Murugesan N and Ramalingam A (2009). Development of a management strategy against stem weevil and root rot complex in cotton. *Journal of Biopesticides* 2(1) 18-21.