GROWTH PERFORMANCE AND NUTRIENT DIGESTIBILITY OF WEST AFRICAN DWARF (WAD) SHEEP FED ZINGIBER OFFICINALE AND ALLIUM SATIVUM

^{*}JA. Odedire¹, AI. Sekooni¹ and SK. Ayandiran²

¹Department of Animal Sciences, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria ²Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture, Osun State University, Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria *Author for Correspondence: skayandiran@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The performance of West African Dwarf (WAD) sheep was investigated in an experiment that lasted 84 days. Twenty-four West African Dwarf (WAD) sheep, aged 5 - 7 months, were allotted to seven treatments in a 2 x 3 factorial arrangement with three animals per treatment. Seven experimental diets were compounded for the purpose of the study. Zingiber officinale and Allium sativum were included in a typical concentrate ration for WAD sheep at graded levels of 1, 2 and 3% respectively, plus 0% level of inclusion for the control diet. The compounded diets were offered to growing WAD sheep at 2% of their body weight as supplement to a basal ration of guinea grass (Panicum maximum). Feed samples were analyzed for proximate and fibre fractions while faecal and urine samples were analyzed for chemical composition and total urinary nitrogen estimation. Performance indicators were weight change, nutrient intake, nutrient digestibility and feed conversion efficiency. Results showed Proximate composition improvement of the supplemented diets with increasing Zingiber officinale and Allium sativum inclusion. Digestibility in terms of crude protein, crude fibre and ether extracts intake improved significantly (P<0.05) with increasing levels of both additives in concentrates diets while nitrogen utilization in terms of nitrogen retention (%) were similar in Zingiber officinale and Allium sativum concentrates. Growth performance results showed that diet consisting 3% Zingiber officinale elicited the best performance in terms of final live weight, average daily gain (ADG) and feed conversion ratio compared to Allium sativum and control diets. The results suggest that the use of Zingiber officinale and Allium sativum as feed additives improved its nutrient quality, utilization and the performance of West African Dwarf sheep.

Keywords: Performance, Supplements, Concentrate, Digestibility, Utilization, WAD sheep

INTRODUCTION

The search for alternative source to the use of antibiotics as growth promoters in animal production has been on the increase. One option that has been receiving increased attention is the use of herbs and herb mixtures in livestock feeding (McDonald and Wood, 2002). Although the administration of antibiotics can achieve good performance, the potential side effects become a real public health concern (Donoghue, 2003) which suggests ban of the products, especially in the western world (Nweze and Nwankwagu, 2010). The use of feed additives, such as ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and garlic (*Alium sativum*) in livestock feed and human diets are becoming more popular because of their beneficial health and preservative importance as well as ability to serve as natural growth promoters (Demir *et al.*, 2003). Pourali *et al.* (2010) reported that allicin in garlic promotes the performance of the intestinal flora and as such, improving digestion and enhancing the utilization of energy which leads to improved growth. In addition to its antimicrobial activities, garlic increases feed palatability and consequently, feed intake (Horton *et al.*, 1991). A number of researchers have reported the beneficial effects of feeding ginger and garlic as growth promoters in poultry and rabbits (Onu and Aja, 2011). However, limited data are

Research Article

available on the effects of ginger and garlic on subsequent utilization by ruminant livestock. The present study evaluated the effect of different dietary levels of ginger and garlic supplements on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and nitrogen utilization of WAD sheep.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Site

The experiment, which lasted 84 days, was conducted at the Sheep and Goat Unit of the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.

Preparation of Zingiber officinale and Allium sativum

Raw ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and garlic (*Allium sativum*) were procured from an open market. The ginger and garlic were bulked, washed and oven – dried at 55° C for seven days and subsequently ground to fine powder, for incorporation into the concentrate diet of WAD sheep.

Experimental animal and design

Twenty – four growing WAD sheep of both sexes, aged 5-7 months were used for the experiment. The WAD sheep were allotted to seven treatments in a 2×3 factorial arrangement.

Experimental diets

Seven experimental diets were compounded for the study. Ginger and garlic were mixed with a typical concentrate ration for ruminants at graded levels each of 1, 2 and 3% inclusion while the control diet contains 0 % level of the feed additives. The compounded diets were offered to growing sheep at 2% of their body weight as supplements to a basal ration of guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*), offered at 3% body weight.

Management routine

The sheep were subjected to a 14-day adaptation period during which they were given prophylactic treatment against ecto – and endo – parasites. Growth trials lasted for 84 days. Animals had access to clean cool water and mineral salt lick. Pens were cleaned each morning before fresh feed were offered to the WAD sheep.

Measurements

Each sheep was weighed at the commencement of the growth study and subsequently weekly throughout the period of the experiment. Experimental diets were fed to the sheep for a period of 84 days. Forages and treatment diets were also weighed daily and the left-overs were weighed to estimate the previous day's feed consumption. Data collected were: feed intake and weight gain. Feed conversion ratio was calculated from the feed intake and weight gain.

Digestibility and Nitrogen balance trials

Digestibility and nitrogen utilization studies were carried out between the 12th and 14th week of the experiment. Ten percent of voided faecal sample per day was dried in a forced-draught oven at 70° C for 48 hours. The daily stored samples of faeces were bulked, thoroughly mixed, ground and sub-sampled for chemical analysis. Feed and water were offered *ad-libitum* for the 14-day period. Data on feed intake and faecal output were used to calculate the digestibility co-efficient of nutrients. Five ml of urine was collected and aliquot preservative of 0.1NHCl was added to it and stored in the deep freezer for the purpose of nitrogen utilization determination.

Chemical Analysis

At the end of the trials, the daily stored faecal samples were bulked, thoroughly mixed, and dried in a forced draught oven at 70° C for 72 hours. The faecal samples were ground and sub-sampled for chemical analysis. The stored *Zingiber officinale* and *Allium sativum* samples were also subjected to residual moisture determination using a forced-draught oven at 70° C for 48 hours and sub-sampled for chemical analysis.

The feed and faecal samples were analysed for crude protein, crude fibre, ether extracts, ash and nitrogenfree extracts as described by AOAC (2000).

Statistical Analysis

Data obtained were statistically analyzed with the Factorial analysis of variance using SAS (2008) and significant differences between treatments means were separated using Duncan's New Multiple Range Test option of SAS of the same package.

RESULTS

The result of chemical composition of *Zingiber officinale* and *Allium sativum* (Table 2) shows that crude fibre of *Zingiber officinale* and *Allium sativum* were similar but the crude protein values of *Allium sativum* doubles the values of *Zingiber officinale* and also the energy content of *Allium sativum* were higher than that of *Zingiber officinale* respectively.

Presented in Table 3 is the nutrients composition of the experimental diets fed to the WAD Sheep. Dry matter values range from 89.63% - 92.12%, crude protein, crude fibre, ash, nitrogen free extract, neutral detergent fibre, acid detergent fibre and acid detergent lignin content of the concentrate increases with increased level of *Zingiber officinale* and *Allium sativum* in the concentrate diets. Ether extract values obtained in this study differ significantly (P<0.05) and ranged from 9.23% in the control to 11.56% in Diet 6 which contains 3% *Allium sativum* additive.

Effects of additives at varying inclusion levels on the growth performance of WAD sheep fed experimental diets are presented in Table 4. There were differences (P < 0.05) in the effect the inclusion level of the additives on intake and weight gain of the animals as sheep fed diets containing Zingiber officinale recorded the highest feed intake and total weight gain but there were no differences (P < 0.05) in the feed intake of sheep fed diets containing Allium sativum and the control diets. Average daily weight gain was highest (p < 0.05) and similar in the WAD sheep fed diets 1 - 5 and least for animals on diets without any additive. Effects of additives at varying inclusion levels on nutrients digestibility of WAD sheep fed experimental diets are presented in Table 4. There were significant effect of additives on inclusion level of digestibility coefficients of dry matter, crude fibre, ether extract, nitrogen free extract and ash. Sheep fed 1% inclusion level of garlic utilized more nutrients than 2, 3% inclusion level of garlic supplement. For ginger, 3% inclusion level utilized nutrients better than other dietary treatment and control diets. Digestible dry matter intakes across the treatment groups were similar. The crude protein, crude fibre, ether extract and ash digestibility increased as ginger inclusion level increases thus decreased as garlic inclusion level increases. Interaction effects between additives and inclusion levels on nutrients digestibility of WAD sheep fed experimental diets are also shown in Table 4. There was significant interaction effect of additives on inclusion level of digestibility coefficients of dry matter, ether extract and ash.

Effect of additives at varying inclusion levels on nitrogen utilization of WAD sheep fed experimental diets is presented in Table 5. There was no significant effect of the level of additives inclusion on faecal nitrogen and urinary nitrogen of WAD Sheep, except on nitrogen intake, nitrogen balance and nitrogen utilization which were significantly higher (P<0.05) in sheep fed garlic at 3% inclusion level (6.95, 4.07 and 58.56) respectively compared to dietary treatments. Interaction effects between additives and inclusion levels on nitrogen utilization of WAD sheep were also presented in Table 5. There was no significant interaction effect of additives inclusion level on the faecal nitrogen and urinary nitrogen of WAD sheep. However, there were significant interaction effects of additives on inclusion level of nitrogen intake, nitrogen balance and nitrogen retention.

DISCUSSION

The reported values for composition of ginger by various authors are in the following range; for protein, 7.2 - 8.7, fat, 5.5 - 7.3 and ash, 2.5 - 5.7 g/100 g (Odebunmi et al., 2009). This variation could be attributed to varietal difference, soil conditions and agronomic practices. Ash contents of *Zingiber officinale* in this study was much lower than those determined by Nwinuka *et al.*, (2005). Crude protein content of *Zingiber officinale* was in agreement with the previous documented crude protein contents in

Zingiber officinale by Shirin et al., (2010). The crude protein composition observed for ginger in the present study is less than 17.56% indicated by Faniyi et al., (2015). Similarly, ash content was lower in ginger compared to garlic, an indication that Zingiber officinale is a poor source of minerals compared to garlic. The high crude protein content of garlic may be due to the presence of active ingredients such as allicin and ajoene. The result on crude protein especially in garlic suggests that they can be used to enhance low-protein plant extracts. The values of the proximate components recorded for Panicum maximum in this study were higher than those obtained by Alasa et al., (2010) while the values were similar to the values reported by FAO (2003) where they reported crude protein value of 7.20 - 8.25 % CP and dry matter value of 17.89 % - 71.2 9% DM. The difference in values may be connected with the age of the grass and time or season of harvesting.

For Chemical composition of the experimental diets fed to WAD sheep, DM content of the formulated diets increased as the level of inclusion of ginger and garlic increased in the experimental diets. This could probably due to the fact that they were prepared from dried ingredients which were characteristically high in dry matter (Okoruwa *et al.*, 2013). The CP content of the diets in this study is more than the 10% crude protein level recommended by Bengaly *et al.*, (2007) for minimum growth in ruminant animals. Crude fibre and ash were highest in the diets containing 3% inclusion of ginger and garlic respectively and similarly, these results indicated that ginger diets had lower ash contents compared to garlic diets. The higher ether extract values obtained in the diets containing feed additives explains the better quantity of fats and oil in the diet compared to control used in the study. Nitrogen free extract value was highest in the diet containing 3% inclusion of garlic. The variation in the values of neutral and acid detergent fibre in the study was a reflection of the crude fibre contents in the diets. Thus, variation of different levels of ginger and garlic as feed additives in diets of Sheep met the minimum energy and protein requirement for maintenance and production functions of WAD Sheep.

Animals fed ginger dietary treatment had the highest feed intake compared to animal fed control and garlic diets. Feed intake, weight gain increased in all treated group than control diets and those on 3% ginger inclusion had the highest feed intake and final weight. This may be due to ginger roots which contains ingredients like Aryl alkanes that give ginger pungent taste that enhances the appetite of animal thus improving the nutrients palatability which finally caused increased of feed intake (Shirin et al., 2010), or it may be due to the fact that ginger helps to increase the absorption of essential nutrients, thus improving the growth of the animal (Belewu et al., 2006). This is in line with the result of Oussay et al., (2015) that supplementation of ginger powder significantly increased feed intake in cow. The higher the level of garlic in feed the lower the feed intake and weight gain. This trend may be as a result of the presence of allicin has been reported (Lawson et al., 1992) to be unstable and poorly absorbed from the digestive tract leading to garlic pungent odour. The result of this study are in agreement with the reports of Aid et al., (2008) who observed a decrease in feed consumption as garlic oil supplementation to rice straw increases. The result obtained from this study is also similar to Nidaullah et al., (2010) who reported a reduction in the feed intake of calves fed garlic supplement. Likewise Yang et al., (2007) clearly demonstrated that garlic had a greater feed intake response at low dose (0.4 g/day) whereas higher doses have no effect on intake (1.6 g/day) in steers. Diets supplemented with 2% garlic powder, fed to the growing WAD sheep tends to improve digestibility coefficients of all nutrients. The findings reveals in this study that 1% inclusion of garlic powder may be the most suitable concentration for rumen activity. Also, there were improvement in Crude fibre digestibility and Ether ester digestibility compared to control diet. On the other hand, treatment 6 supplemented with 3% of garlic powder was the least value of digested which indicated that the level may inhibit the rumen activity. These results are in agreement with those found by El Ashy et al. (2006). Culen et al., (2005) reported that the inclusion of garlic oil at higher level caused a significant reduction in dry matter and organic matter digestibility. Ginger fed to the growing WAD sheep tends to improve digestibility coefficients of all nutrients and showed the highest values compared to other treatments fed garlic and control diets this may be attributed to the fact that ginger increase the secretion of saliva which caused increased secretion and activity of digestion enzymes

which finally increased the digestion processes (Erust et al., 2000). Furthermore, Difference that was observed in the fibre digestibility of animals placed on the experimental diets may be as a result of variation in NDF and ADF content of the experimental diet. This agreed with the findings of Norton, (1994) who reported that the fibre fraction of food has the greatest influence on digestibility.

Nitrogen balance is described as a good indicator of the protein value of a diet (Babayemi and Bamikole, 2006). The result obtained for nitrogen intake may be attributed to the fact that the crude protein values of the diets were above the 10% crude protein level recommended by Bengaly *et al.*, (2007) for minimum growth in ruminant animals there by reduced the production of endogenous nitrogen which is an additional factor increasing apparent nitrogen digestibility (Jorgensen *et al.*, 1996). All the diets in this study had positive nitrogen balances, which indicated adequacy in protein requirement for maintenance. The nitrogen balance here was higher than 1.34 - 1.69g/day obtained by Babayemi and Bamikole (2006). The higher nitrogen loss (3.13g/day) in diet corroborates with the findings of (Van Soest *et al.*, 1994) which stated that when the requirement of nitrogen utilized by farm animals from the total nitrogen loss. Nitrogen utilization is the proportion of nitrogen consumed and digested, the more the nitrogen retained and vice versa, as observed by (Okeniyi *et al.*, 2010). The nitrogen utilization percentage was lower than range of 68.37 - 81.17% obtained by (Okoruwa *et al.*, 2015).

Ingredients	Control	Diet 1	Diet 2	Diet 3	Diet 4	Diet 5	Diet 6
Corn bran	45.00	44.00	43.00	42.00	44.00	43.00	42.00
BDG	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00
РКС	23.00	23.00	23.00	23.00	23.00	23.00	23.00
Zingiber officinale	-	1.00	2.00	3.00	-	-	-
Allium sativum	-	-	-	-	1.00	2.00	3.00
Bone meal	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Salt	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Vitamin. Premix	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Calculated values:							
Crude protein (%)	14.40	14.20	14.00	13.80	14.20	14.00	13.90
Energy (Kcal/kg)	2215.80	2164.00	2115.50	2066.80	2164.20	2115.70	2067.10

Control: No additive inclusion; *Diets* 1, 2 and 3: *Zingiber officinale* inclusion at 1, 2 and 3% respectively; Diets 4, 5 and 6: *Allium sativum* inclusion at 1, 2 and 3% respectively

Table 2: Chemical composition of Zingiber Officinale, Allium sativum

Parameter (%)	Zingiber officinale	Allium sativum
Crude protein	8.55	15.30
Crude fiber	3.20	3.25
Ash	2.0	6.05
Nitrogen free extracts	63.02	59.34
Gross energy (Kcal/kg)	385.2	411.5

24210 211 (4011	ene compo				-r-r-mion		P		
	Control	Diet 1	Diet 2	Diet 3	Diet 4	Diet 5	Diet 6	SEM	Prob
Dry matter	89.63	90.11	90.22	89.70	91.00	90.80	92.12	1.25	0.2342
Crude protein	13.15	13.52	13.75	13.93	14.00	14.25	14.50	0.43	0.1324
Crude fiber	8.25	8.40	8.45	8.48	8.50	8.89	8.95	0.12	0.3435
Ether extract	9.23 ^b	11.25 ^a	11.29 ^a	11.33 ^a	11.30 ^a	11.43 ^a	11.56 ^a	0.65	0.0456
Ash	11.60	12.20	12.22	12.00	12.30	12.40	12.80	0.23	0.0645
NFE	47.40	44.74	44.51	43.96	44.90	43.83	44.31	2.32	0.1734
Fibre analysis									
NDF	52.00	52.80	53.40	53.83	53.20	53.80	54.20	2.12	0.2343
ADF	19.14	20.22	20.95	21.15	20.88	21.22	21.88	1.34	0.1289
ADL	4.10	5.25	5.84	6.01	5.44	5.85	6.20	0.21	0.0743

^{*a*, *b*} Means within row with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05); Control: No additive inclusion; Diets 1, 2 and 3: Zingiber officinale inclusion at 1, 2 and 3% respectively; Diets 4, 5 and 6: Allium sativum inclusion at 1, 2 and 3% respectively.

Parameters	Control	T1	T2	Т3	T4	Т5	T 6	SEM	Additive	Level	A*L
Panicum	191.94	220.99	164.69	195.94	175.68	181.86	179.61	22.98	NS	NS	NS
Concentrate	190.00 ^c	252.20 ^{ab}	256.9 ^{ab}	291.11 ^a	233.50 ^b	218.90 ^b	204.10 ^b	29.74	**	NS	NS
ADFI (g/d)	381.95 ^b	472.19 ^a	421.59 ^{ab}	487.04 ^a	409.18 ^b	400.76 ^b	383.71 ^b	1.75	*	NS	NS
AILW (Kg)	11.17	11.17	11.33	11.17	11.00	11.00	11.17	1.09	NS	NS	NS
FLW(Kg)	14.50 ^c	16.90 ^b	18.23a	18.83 ^a	16.83 ^b	17.07 ^{ab}	16.23 ^b	1.29	**	NS	NS
TWG(Kg)	3.33°	5.73 ^{ab}	6.90 ^a	7.66 ^a	5.83 ^{ab}	6.07 ^{ab}	5.06 ^b	0.33	***	*	*
ADWG (g/d) 39.64 ^c	68.21 ^{ab}	82.14 ^a	91.19 ^a	69.40 ^{ab}	72.26 ^{ab}	60.24 ^b	3.97	***	*	*
FCR	9.64	6.92	5.13	5.34	5.89	5.55	6.37	1.98	NS	NS	NS

 Table 4: Performance characteristics of WAD sheep fed graded levels of dietary Zingiber officinale and Allium sativum.

Note: P *Value,* *, ** and *** for P < 0.05, P < 0.01 and P < 0.001 respectively; NS = Not significant (P > 0.05)

^{*a*, *b*, *c*} Means within each row with different superscript are significantly different (p < 0.05)

(control) 0% ginger, garlic meal inclusion: T1, T4 (1%) ginger, garlic meal inclusion: T2, T5 (2%) ginger, garlic meal inclusion: T3, T6 (3%) ginger, garlic meal inclusion. SEM (± Standard error of mean), ADFI (Average daily feed intake), AILW (Average initial live weight), AFLW (Average final live weight), TWG (Total weight gain), ADG (Average daily gain), FCR (Feed Conversion Ratio).

Gii	nger	Trea	atments	Gai	rlic					
Control	T1	T 2	T3	T4	T5	T6	SEM	Additives	Level	A*L
72.03 ^b	72.79 ^b	73.67 ^{ab}	74.41ª	73.53ªb	70.98°	69.45°	0.95	***	***	***
55.86	61.89	63.96	67.61	66.23	65.11	64.06	2.57	NS	NS	NS
45.43¢	53.23 ^b	54.43 ^b	5 6 .47ª	51.03b	49.32 ^c	47.13¢	0.45	***	NS	NS
57. 6 4°	60.43 ^b	62.45ª	60.04 ^b	61.35 ^{ab}	58.45	59.04°	0.69	***	NS	**
56.65	58.43 ^{ab}	59.54 ^{ab}	60.76ª	60.44ª	59.78 ^{ab}	58.34 ^{ai}	0.43	***	NS	**
64.43	67.88	66.76	67.53	62.88	61.76	60.56	0.57	***	NS	NS
	Control 72.03 ^b 55.86 45.43 ^c 57.64 ^c 56.65 ^b	72.03b 72.79b 55.86 61.89 45.43c 53.23b 57.64c 60.43b 56.65b 58.43ab	Control T1 T2 72.03b 72.79b 73.67ab 55.86 61.89 63.96 45.43c 53.23b 54.43b 57.64c 60.43b 62.45a 56.65b 58.43ab 59.54ab	Control T1 T2 T3 72.03b 72.79b 73.67ab 74.41a 55.86 61.89 63.96 67.61 45.43c 53.23b 54.43b 56.47a 57.64c 60.43b 62.45a 60.04b 56.65b 58.43ab 59.54ab 60.76a	Control T1 T2 T3 T4 72.03b 72.79b 73.67ab 74.41a 73.53ab 55.86 61.89 63.96 67.61 66.23 45.43c 53.23b 54.43b 56.47a 51.03b 57.64c 60.43b 62.45a 60.04b 61.35ab 56.65b 58.43ab 59.54ab 60.76a 60.44a	Control T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 72.03b 72.79b 73.67ab 74.41a 73.53ab 70.98c 55.86 61.89 63.96 67.61 66.23 65.11 45.43c 53.23b 54.43b 56.47a 51.03b 49.32c 57.64c 60.43b 62.45a 60.04b 61.35ab 58.45c 56.65b 58.43ab 59.54ab 60.76a 60.44a 59.78ab	Control T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 T6 72.03b 72.79b 73.67ab 74.41a 73.53ab 70.98c 69.45c 55.86 61.89 63.96 67.61 66.23 65.11 64.06 45.43c 53.23b 54.43b 56.47a 51.03b 49.32c 47.13c 57.64c 60.43b 62.45a 60.04b 61.35ab 58.45c 59.04c 56.65b 58.43ab 59.54ab 60.76a 60.44a 59.78ab 58.34ab	Control T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 T6 SEM 72.03b 72.79b 73.67ab 74.41a 73.53ab 70.98c 69.45c 0.95 55.86 61.89 63.96 67.61 66.23 65.11 64.06 2.57 45.43c 53.23b 54.43b 56.47a 51.03b 49.32c 47.13c 0.45 57.64c 60.43b 62.45a 60.04b 61.35ab 58.45c 59.04c 0.69 56.65b 58.43ab 59.54ab 60.76a 60.44a 59.78ab 58.34ab 0.43	Control T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 T6 SEM Additives 72.03b 72.79b 73.67ab 74.41a 73.53ab 70.98c 69.45c 0.95 **** 55.86 61.89 63.96 67.61 66.23 65.11 64.06 2.57 NS 45.43c 53.23b 54.43b 56.47a 51.03b 49.32c 47.13c 0.45 **** 57.64c 60.43b 62.45a 60.04b 61.35ab 58.45c 59.04c 0.69 **** 56.65b 58.43ab 59.54ab 60.76a 60.44a 59.78ab 58.34ab 0.43 ****	Control T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 T6 SEM Additives Level 72.03b 72.79b 73.67ab 74.41a 73.53ab 70.98c 69.45c 0.95 **** **** 55.86 61.89 63.96 67.61 66.23 65.11 64.06 2.57 NS NS 45.43c 53.23b 54.43b 56.47a 51.03b 49.32c 47.13c 0.45 **** NS 57.64c 60.43b 62.45a 60.04b 61.35ab 58.45c 59.04c 0.69 **** NS 56.65b 58.43ab 59.54ab 60.76a 60.44a 59.78ab 58.34ab 0.43 **** NS

Table 5: Effects of additive at varying inclusion level on the Nutrients digestibility of WAD sheep

Note; P Value, *, ** and *** for P < 0.05, P < 0.01 and P < 0.001 respectively; NS = Not significant (P > 0.05)

^{*a*, *b*, *c*} Means within each row with different superscript are significantly different (p < 0.05)

(control) 0% ginger, garlic meal inclusion: T1, T4 (1%) ginger, garlic meal inclusion: T2, T5 (2%) ginger, garlic meal inclusion: T3, T6 (3%) ginger, garlic meal inclusion. SEM (±): Standard error of mean; DDM: Digestible dry matter; DE: Digestible energy; DCP: Digestible crude protein; DCF: Digestible crude fibre; DEE: Digestible ether extracts; DASH: Digestible ash; DNFE: Digestible nitrogen free extract

Table 6: Effect of additives at varying inclusion level on the nitrogen utilization of WAD sheep

	Gir	nger		7	reatment	t	Garlic				
Parameter	s Control	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	SEM	Additive	Level	A*L
Nitrogen (g/day)	Intake 6.49 ^b	6.62 ^{ab}	6.71 ^{ab}	6.85 ^{ab}	6.66 ^{ab}	6.89 ^{ab}	6.95 ^a	0.12	**	**	***
Feacal (g/day)	nitrogen 2.82	2.83	2.65	2.51	2.49	2.58	2.55	0.07	NS	NS	NS
Urinary (g/day)	nitrogen 0.29	0.30	0.29	0.33	0.42	0.36	0.33	0.06	NS	NS	NS
Nitrogen (g/day)	balance 3.38 ^b	3.49 ^b	3.77 ^{ab}	4.01 ^a	3.75 ^{ab}	3.95 ^a	4.07 ^a	0.16	**	*	***
Nitrogen (%)	utilization 52.08 ^b	52.72 ^b	56.18 ^a	^b 58.54 ^a	56.31 ^{ab}	57.33 ^{ab}	58.56 ^a	1.04	**	**	***

Note; P Value, *, ** *and* *** *for P* < 0.05, *P* < 0.01 *and P* < 0.001 *respectively;* NS = Not significant (P > 0.05)

^{*a*, *b*} Means within each row with different superscript are significantly different (p < 0.05)

(Control) 0% ginger, garlic meal inclusion: T1, T4 (1%) ginger, garlic meal inclusion: T2, T5 (2%) ginger, garlic meal inclusion: T3, T6 (3%) ginger, garlic meal inclusion

CONCLUSIONS

The nutritive values of Zingiber officinale and Allium sativum were adequate as feed and met the minimum nutrient requirements for growing WAD sheep. Inclusion of ginger at 3% gave the best

performance in terms of feed intake, weight gain and feed conversion ratio of WAD sheep. Garlic should be fed at 2% inclusion level for efficient growth performance

REFERENCES

Aiad AM, Neamat I, Bassuany AA, Afify FM and Abo-Donia (2008). Adding natural juice of vegetables and fruiting to ruminant diets: (a) Lemon, onion and garlic juice supplement to diets fed to suckling buffalo calves and its effect on digestibility, growth, performance and fungi count. *World Journal of Agricultural Science*, 4(2)149-15

Alasa MC, Akinsola SO and Babayemi OJ. (2010). Chemical composition and in vitro gas production of *Panicum máximum* intercropped with two cultivars of *Lalab purpureus*. *In: Proceedings of 35th Annual Conference of Nigerian Society for Animal Production (NSAP)*, University of Ibadan, Nigeria. March 14-17 587-590.

AOAC (2000). Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Official methods of analysis, 15th edition. Washington D.C.

Babayemi OJ and Bamikole MA (2006). Nutritive value of *Tephrosia candida* seed in West African Dwarf goats. *Journal of Central European Agriculture* 7(4) 731 – 738.

Babayemi OJ and Bamikole MA (2006). Supplementary value of *Tephrosia bracteolate*, Tephrosia candida, Leucaena leucocephala and Gliricidia sepium hay for WAD goats kept on range. *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, **7**(2) 323-328.

Belewu A (2006). A functional approach to dairy science and technology.1 st.ed Adlek to dairy science and technology.1st.ed Adlek publisher. Ilorin,Nigeria. 175-195.

Bengaly KS, Mhlongo A and Nasahlai IV (2007). The effect of wattle tannin on intake, digestibility, nitrogen retention and growth performance of goats in South Africa. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. Vol 19 (50).

Cullen SP, Monahan FJ, Callan JJ and O'Doherty JV (2005). The effect of dietary garlic and rosemary on grower-finisher pig performance and sensory characteristics of pork. *Irish Journal of Agriculture and Food Research.* 44(1) 57-67

Demir E, Sarica S, Ozcan MA and Suicmez M (2003). The use of natural feed additives as alternatives for an antibiotic growth Promoter in broiler diets. *British Journal of Poultry Science*, **44** 44-45.

Donoghue DJ (2003). Antibiotic residues in poultry tissue and eggs. Human health concerns. *Journal of Poultry Science*, **83**(4) 618-622.

El-Ashry MA, El-Bordeny NE, Khattab HM and El-Sayed HM (2006). Effect of diet supplemented with medicinal herbs on nutrient Digestibility and some blood metabolites of buffalo calves. *Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feed*, **2** 179-191.

Erust E and Pitter MH (2000). Efficacy of ginger for nausea vomiting. A Systemic review of randomized clinical trials. *British Journal of Anaesth* **84** 367-368.

Faniyi TO, Adewumi MK and Jack AA (2015). Proximate composition and phytochemical screening of some selected herbs and spices. Proceedings of the 4th Joint Annual meeting of Animal Science Association of Nigerian/Nigerian Institute of Animal Science held at International Conference Centre, University of Ibadan, Nigeria. September $6^{th} - 10^{th}$, 2015.

FAO (2013). Production and trade statistics. FAO, Rome, Italy.Organization working group report on drafting guidelines for the evaluation of probiotics in food. London, Ontario, Canada. Guidelines for the evaluation of probiotics in food. Joint working group report on drafting. London, *Ontario*. 1-11.

Horton GMJ, Blethen DB and Prasad BM (1991). The effect of garlic (*Allium sativum*) on performance and blood chemistry changes in broiler chicken. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, **71**, 939-942.

Jorgensen H, Zhao XQ and Eggum BO (1996). The influence of dietary fibre and environmental temperature on the development of the gastrointestinal tract, digestibility, degree of fermentation in the hind-gut and energy metabolism in pigs. *British journal of nutrition*, **75** 365-378.

Lawson LD and Hughes BG (1992). Characterization of the formation of allicin and other thiosulfinates from garlic. *Planta Med* 58345-350.

McDonald B and Wood CM (2002). Feed additives in swine diets. In: 'Virginia State University Livestock Update' (January 2002), Published by Virginia State University, USA.

Nidaullah H, Durrani FR, Ahmed S, Janans IU and Gul S (2010). Aqueos extract from different Medicinal plants as anticoccidial growth promotive and immunostimulant in broilers. *ARPN Journal of Agricultural Biological. Science*, **5** 53 -59

Nweze BO and Nwankwagu AE (2010). Effects of *Tetrapleura tetraptera* under different feeding regimes on growth performance and gut microbes of broiler chicken. *Proc. 35th Conf. Nig. Soc. Anim. Prod.* 14-17 March, 2010. Univ. of Ibadan, Nigeria, 299

Nwinuka NM, Ibeh GO and Ekeke GI (2005). Proximate composition and levels of some toxicants in four commonly consumed spices. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management*, **9**(1) 150-155.

Odebunmi EO, Oluwaniyi OO and Bashiru MO (2009). Comparative proximate analysis of some food condiments. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, **6**(3) 272-274.

Okeniyi FA, Aina ABJ, Onwuka CFI and Sowande SO (2010). Nutrient digestibility of urea maize storer-based diets as dry season feed in West African Dwarf Goats. *Proceedings of 15th Conference Animal Science Association of Nigeria, University of Uyo, Nigeria,* 663 – 665.

Okoruwa MI, Ehebha ETE and Omoragbo E (2015). Replacement value of Avocado seeds with orange peels meal for guinea grass on growth performance and nutrient digestibility of growing sheep. *International Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry*, **2**(7) 35-40.

Onu PN and Aja PM (2011). Growth performance and haematological indices of weaned rabbits fed garlic (*Allium sativum*) and ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) supplemented diet. *International Journal of food, Agriculture and veterinary Sciences.* **1**(1) 51-59.

Pourali M, Mirghelenj SA and Kermanshashi D (2010). Effect of garlic powder on productive performance and immune response of broiler chickens challenged with Newcastle disease virus. *Global Veterinaria 4:616-621 Production Training Workshop, National animal Production Research Institute, Shika, Zaria.13th – 18th January, 1-7.*

Qussay Z, Shams Al-dain and Essain AJ (2015). Vital impact of using ginger roots powder as feed additives to the rations of local Friesian dairy cows and its effect on production and economic efficiency of milk and physiological of blood. *Kufa journal for Veterinary Medical Sciences*, **6**(1).

SAS (2008). SAS User's Guide Statistics, SAS Inc. Cary., North Carolina, 2008 edition

Shirin APR and Prakash J (2010). Chemical composition and antioxidant properties of ginger root (*Zingiber officinale*). Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, 4(24) 2674-2679.

Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB and Lewis BA (1994). Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal Dairy Science*, **74**, 3583–3597.

Norton BW (1994). Anti-nutritive and toxic factors in forage tree legumes in tropical Agriculture, page 202-215.

Yang WZ, Benchaar C, Ametaj BN, Chaves AV, He ML and McAllister TA (2007). Effect of garlic and juniper berry essential oils on ruminal fermentation and on the site and extent of digestion in lactating cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, **90,** 5671 – 5681.