# SCIENTIFIC APPROACHES OF ANCIENT INDIAN RACES

#### \*Swapan Kumar Adhikari

35/1, Krishnataran Naskar Lane, Ghusuri, Howrah - 711107, West Bengal, India \*Author for Correspondence

#### ABSTRACT

We have reached at the present configuration of our structure through a lot of revolution. Similarly our cultural development had to assume different types of knowledge from the Nature and human brains had segregated it by intelligence gained time to time. In this paper the ways of cultural development have been tried to be estimated on mathematical view point to terminate towards the Indian context.

### Key Words: Aryāns, Drāvidiāns, Sulba, Rajju, Vedi

#### Text

Geologists sub-divided the ages of the earth with respect to development of life as (House, 1968):

a) *Azoic*: When there was no life on the earth.

b) *Palaeozoic:* When life first appeared in the form of *invertebrates* such as sea-weeds, sponges, jelly-fish and later fish, reptiles, birds with gigantic trees and forests which ultimately transformed into coal by different disasters.

c) *Mesozoic*: Middle life.

d) *Cenozoic*: Recent life during which different types of mammals evolved and of them best mammal is man.

Another form of division in details is:

Era	Years ago	Period	Characterized by
Archæozoic <sup>1</sup>	5,000,000,000 - 1,500,000,000	Precambrian	Earth's crust formed and unicellular organisms.
Proterozoic	1,500,000,000 - 600,000,000		Bacteria, algae, fungi, primitive multicellular organisms.
Palaeozoic –	$\begin{array}{c} 600,000,000 - 500,000,000\\ 500,000,000 - 440,000,000\\ 440,000,000 - 400,000,000\\ 400,000,000 - 350,000,000\\ 350,000,000 - 300,000,000\\ 300,000,000 - 270,000,000\\ 270,000,000 - 220,000,000\\ 220,000,000 - 180,000,000\\ \end{array}$	Cambrian Ordovician Silurian Devonian Mississippian Pennsylvanian Permian Triassic	Marine invertebrates. Conodonts, ostracods, algae, sea-weeds. Air-breathing animals. Dominance of fishes, advent of amphibians and ammonites. Increase of land areas, primitive ammonites, development of winged insects. Warm climates, swampy lands, development of large reptiles and insects. Many reptiles. Volcanic activity, marine reptiles, dinosaurs
Mesozoic	180,000,000 - 135,000,000	Jurassic	Dinosaurs, conifers.
Cenozoic	135,000,000 - 70,000,000	Cretaceous	modern insects, flowering plants.
	70,000,000 - 60,000,000   60,000,000 - 40,000,000   40,000,000 - 25,000,000   25,000,000 - 10,000,000	Palaeogene Tertiary <sup>3</sup>	Palaeocene: Advent of birds, mammals.Eocene: Presence of modern mammals.Oligocene: Sober-toothed cats.Miocene: Grazing mammals.
	10,000,000 - 1,000,000	Neocene	<i>Pliocene</i> : Growth of mountains, increase in size and number of mammals, gradual cooling of climates.
	1,000,000 - 10,000	Quaternary	Pleistocene: Widespread glacial ice.
	L10,000 – present	Recent	Development of man.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Archæo from Greek means *primitive* + Greek

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It indicates coal producing period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It is early part of Cenozoic era.

#### **Research Article**

According to archaeological discoveries we can divide the races of primitive man in *Palaeolithic*<sup>4</sup> age i.e. Old Stone Age and *Neolithic*<sup>5</sup>age i.e. New Stone Age.

About 2 million years ago there existed a creature looked like MAN named as Australopithecus<sup>6</sup>. But as per Archaeologists ancestors of modern man were *Neanderthal<sup>7</sup> man*. They were existed in the area of Europe and Western & Central Asia for very long period from 300000 - 50000 BCE. They were biologically marked as *Pithecanthropus*<sup>8</sup>. Of course it has been identified that apelike man was in the beginning Quaternary period and order of classifications and existence periodswere considered as (a) Pithecanthropus<sup>9</sup> (Java), (b) Sinanthropus<sup>10</sup> (China),(c) Heidelberg man<sup>11</sup> (Germany).

Race types classified with reference of two main standards. These are (Nesturkh, 1984):

The Cephalic Index / Cranial Index i.e. the proportion of the maximum breadth<sup>12</sup> of the skull to 1. its maximum length<sup>13</sup>. The *dolichocephalic / dolichocranic* begins with the index being 75% and below whereas the higher index points to the *brachycephalic / brachycranic* type.

Index		Scientific Term	Appearance	Structure	Found in
Male	Female				
< 75.9%	< 75%	Dolichocephalic / Dolichocranic	Long-headed	Elliptical or Ellipsoid	Australians aborigines & Native South Africans
76% - 81%	75% - 83%	Mesaticephalic / Mesocephalic / Mesocranic	Medium-headed	Oval	Europeans & Chinese
> 81.1%	> 83%	Brachycephalic / Brachycranic	Short-headed	Pentagonal	Mongolians & Āndāmān Islanders

The Nasal Index<sup>14</sup> is the proportion of the breadth<sup>15</sup> of the nose to its height<sup>16</sup>. Narrow-nosed<sup>17</sup> 2. types show index to be below 70%, medium nose<sup>18</sup> and broad-nosed<sup>19</sup> types show to be 85% - 100%. For Index, formula is = Breadth  $\times$  100 ÷ Length or Height. 3.

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$ Palaois = old, lithos = stone

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Neo = new, lithos = stone

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>They were called Australopithecine, a primitive of extinct genus of Pleistocenemainly found in South Africa [Greek: austrāl – Southern & *pīthēcus* – Ape].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The name was given after Neanderthal Valley of Germany, near Düsseldorf, where evidence of such man was first found.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>It indicated primitive man group and were known as member of extinct genus apelike man.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>It is *Pithecanthropus erectus* and was of the Pleistocene [Greek: *pleîsto(s)* – much, *koinós* – recent] {Early part of Quaternary or one part of Neocene period} epoch of Java [Greek: *pīthek(os)* – ape &ánthrõpus – man].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Sino – China &ánthrõpus – man: Actual name of this race is Sinanthropus Pekinensis fossil of man was first found in a cave of Peking, China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The primitive man of the early Pleistocene age reconstructed from a human lower jaw near Heidelberg, Germany.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> measured above the ears

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> measured from the *glabella* to the posterior *occiput* <sup>14</sup>Nasal Index =  $\frac{Nasal breadth}{Nasal height} \times 100 = \frac{Inter Alar Distance (IAD)}{Upper facial height (UFH)} \times 100$ . The measurements were taken while subjects were sitting on chair or bench in a relaxed condition and head in the Frankfurt position.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Nasal breadth is inter alar distance (IAD) measured at right angle to the nasal height from alar to alar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Nasal height is Upper Facial Height (UFH) was measured from nasion (the point where inter-nasal suture meets the frontal bone) to nasospinale (mean point between lowest points on the aperture's lowest border).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>leptorrhine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>mesorrhine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>platyrrhine



Narrow-nose = LeptorrhineMedium nose = MesorrhineBroad nose = PlatyrrhineThe earliest period of human civilization is called Palaeolithic age. When man used stone chips in rudeform. Then the Neolithic age came when we find evolution of improved stone implementation with<br/>ground, grooved and polished accompanied with the use of pottery etc.

Great Race	Race	Archaeological Divisions		
		South African as Bushman		
	r	Central African as Pygmies		
	Negroid (African)	Sudanese as Negro		
Negroloid		East African as Ethiopian		
(Equatorial)	Australoid (Oceanian)	Andamanese as Negrotos		
Cephalic Index <75%		Melanesian		
Broad nosed		- Australian as <i>Tribe</i>		
	-	Kurilese as Inu		
	South-European (Indian - Mediterranean) North European (Atlantic – Baltic)	Srilankān-jond as Veddy		
		South Indian as Drāvidiāns		
		Middle Eastman		
Europioid		Mediterranean as Bolcan		
(Eurasian)		Inhabitants of coast of Atlanto-Black Sea		
Cephalic Index lies		East European		
Nerrow posed		Atlantoian as Baltic		
Narrow nosed		Inhabitants of coast of Baltic Sea		
		Urālian		
	North Mongoloid (Atlato-Baltic)	Siberian(Baikāl)		
		- Middle Asian		
Mongoloid		Sumerian		
(Asio-American)	South Mongoloid (Asia – Pacific Ocean)	_East Asian		
Cephalic Index > 81%		South Asian		
Medium nosed		Polynesian		
		North American		
	American (American Red Indian)	Central American		
		Patagonian		

Negroloid belongs to Palaeolithic age and Australoid, Mongoloid, Europioid belong to Neolithic age.

## **Research Article**

Palaeolithic remains are rare in India but some were in the Deccan i.e. in the southern part of India which is geologically the oldest part of India as it is considered to be the part of  $Gondowana^{20}$ . Then by earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, atmospheric and climatic changes there happened raise of floor of Euro-Asian ocean<sup>21</sup> to form  $Him\bar{a}lay\bar{a}s$  in the north part of India<sup>22</sup>. It has been established from sediments of Sea-fossils on the rocks. These things are found in *laterite<sup>23</sup>* beds and *ossiferous* gravels at the south of 25<sup>°</sup> north latitude and made of generally of *quartzite*. So, Palaeolithic men are also called Quartzite men<sup>24</sup> whereas Neolithians spread all over India. From Sanskrit text we find that southern zone of this continent, separated from the rest by the *Himālayās*, was the land of the sons of *Bharata* i.e. *Bhāratvarṣa* or India.  $Bh\bar{a}ratvarşa$  alone was 9,000 yojanas<sup>25</sup> across and whole Jambudípa<sup>26</sup> is 33,000 yojanas<sup>27</sup>.

Nearly one hundred thousand years ago Neanderthal used to live in the area under Asia adjacent to Africa and scattered parts of Europe. They sub-divided into two wings:

- South-West division. 1.
- 2. North-East

Due to obstruction of the *Himālavās* and the *Hindukus* mountain range South-West division transformed into Europioid and Negroid whereas North-East division turned into Mongoloid and thereby sub-divided into smaller races. The migration of subdivisions in India may be expressed as:

Negroloid	Inhabitants of Africa. Some of their ancestors live in Āndāmān as Kādār. In Cochin Trivendrum as Palāyān. In Assam as Nāgā.		
Proto-Australoid	A part of the race Australoid. In India they exist as Kol, Bhil and Mundā.		
Mongoloid	Sub-divided to stay in Chitagong-hill of Assam and others in Sikkim and Bhutān.		
Mediterranean	Known as Drāvidiāns in India and recognised as Tāmil, Telegu, Kannādā and Malayalām.		
Alpine, Denarik, Armenoid	Migrated from Middle Asia; lives in Bengal, Orissa, Gujrāt.		
Nordic	They were Aryāns – migrated from German, England, Holland and France.		

The earliest people who lived in India are considered to be of *Negrito* race whose traces are still found in Āndāmān, South India among Kādārs, Urālis. Bow is the product of the Negritoculture.

After them Proto-Australoids were in India and their races are considered to be the aborigines of India as Indians are mainly of *Platyrrhine* and dark skinned. They introduced *Neolithic* culture through *Mundās*, Kols, Bhils, Kurumbās, Savārās, Hos, Kowās and Juangs.

The Mongolians are found in Khāssi hills, Malay Peninsula, Nicobar Islands and among the races of the Bodos of Cooch-Behar, the Garhwals and the Garos of Western hills.

Of the earliest people Mondās, Mon-Khmer gave the earliest oral languages in India.

We observe that the source of Indian culture among these people. They invented the process of cultivation and to make different animals as pets. They count by the multiple of 20. Oceanic Negrotos of Andāmān have numbers to them limited to 'one' and 'two' but they can count to 'ten' by the following process (Bunt, 1976):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Combined parts of South Africa through Australia as far as South America determined on the basis of identifications of fossils of animals and vegetables in these areas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Tethys

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>Barell first suggested that Man and the Himālayās arose simultaneously towards the end of Miocene period i. e. over a million years ago. <sup>23</sup>This soil layer is rich in iron & aluminium oxides found in tropical and subtropical regions under humid climate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Some of them lived near Madras city, Ongole in Guntur, Cuddapah and Narmadā valley.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Nearly 81,000 miles or 13,7,500 K.M.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>Southern continent, on which human beings dwell, had a Jambu (rose-apple) as its distinctive tree and it was therefore called Jambudípa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> 2, 97,000 miles or 5,05,000 K.M.

### **Research Article**

"the nose is tapped with finger tips of either hands, beginning with one of the little fingers tip of either hand, by saying úbatul (one), ikpôr (two) and then repeating with each successive tap with word anká (means 'this'). When the second hand is finished, the two hands are brought together to signify 5 + 5 and then *ardiru* (all) is spoken". The formations of structures of weapons used by them were based on geometrical concept.

In Indus Valley civilization we found that the weight measuring devices were:

- 1. For small measurements: 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 multiples of 2 were used and devices were in Geometric Progression.
- 2. For big measurements: 160, 200, 640, 1600 were used.  $160 \times 4 \times 1 = 640$ ;  $200 \times 4 \times 2 = 1600$  i.e. from first weight, third found by multiple of 4 and 1 for first and from second to fourth has been found by multiple of 4 & 2 where 2 indicated second weight device.

Ancestors of Mediterranean are i.e. *Drāvidiāns*<sup>28</sup>, who lived in South India, built the temples with the use of geometry and trigonometry and temples are like pyramids. The utensils they used were of geometrical shape.

They had knowledge of boat-building, thread making and garments making from pre-historic period. So, our Pandits (Scholars) expressed that "Arvāns<sup>29</sup> learnt worshipping with geometric forms of Vedis from Drāvidiāns". It is fact that we have acquired 'Rules of our society' from Aryans but the use of metals and building of temples (Mandiras) had been migrated to Aryāns from Drāvidiāns.

In Vedic literature we find the use of geometry on formation of sacrificial altars<sup>30</sup> i.e. Yajña place.

- 1. Gārhapatva Yaiña Vedi Circular.
- 2. *Āhavānīva Yajña Vedi* Square.
- 3. Dakşiņāgni Yajña Vedi Semi Circular

These Vedis have proper meaning with social co-relation as example: Gārhapatya<sup>31</sup> Yajña Vedi was considered to be circular to recognize the 'womb' i.e. Srști and Āhavānīya<sup>32</sup> Yajña Vedi is square to recall all the good wishes and ideas from all the four sides. The Daksināgni Yajña Vedi<sup>33</sup> is semi-circular,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>The *Drāvidiāns* descend mostly from the first wave of people who left East Africa (Ethiopiā, Somālia) around 65,000 to 70,000 years ago some are of Australoid origin. Their maternal lineages belong to haplogroup M (In human genetics mitochondrial DNA is Haplogroup M. It is considered native outside of Africa are descendants of either haplogroup M. The geographical distributions of M and N are associated with discussions concerning out of Africa migrations and the subsequent colonisation of the rest of the world). Member of one of the aboriginal races of India (pushed south by Caucasians and now mixed with them). Italian Professor of Stanford University and eminent geneticist Luigi Luca Cavalli-Sforza in his work of 1980s classified Indians as being genetically Caucasian. Cavalli-Sforza theorised that Indians are about three times closer to West Europeans than to East Asians. More recently, other geneticists, such as Lynn B. Jorde and Stephen P. Wooding, demonstrated that South Indians are genetic intermediaries between Europeans and East Asians. Drāvidiāns can also be recognised as inhabitants of Southern part of Bindhya Parbatmālā i.e. mountain-range. The term Sindhu was metamorphosed into Hindu, and history reveals that the Indus Valley civilization is of the Drāvidiāns. Hence the term 'Hindu' refers to the Drāvidiāns alone is Indian, since they are the original inhabitants of India. Hindu = Indian = Drāvidiān. It has been accepted that Sindhu Valley civilisation were best on Drāvidiān culture as it has been derived from the later Drāvidiān language that scripts of that period tally and it has also been proved Sindhu culture was long before the Aryāns came to India.

Aryāns are inhabitants of Northern part of Bindhya Parbatmālā and are migrated people.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> There were three types sacrificial Yajña (1) *İşți Yajña* – sacrifice with fruit performed in every Darśa i.e. New-Moon & Paurnamāsa i.e. Full-Moon; (2) Paśu Yajña – animal sacrifice such as Nirūdhapaśubandha performed once in a year in rainy reason - as of the animals give birth of young ones in summer; (3) Soma Yajña - it is very big and expensive with all sorts of sacrifices – it is performed in a family of Vedic Hindus – once in three generations. <sup>31</sup> It means house  $(g_rha)$  building on the Earth. This is also signified as *womb of vedi* and Gods begat this Vedi. After sunset sin

 $<sup>(</sup>p\bar{a}pa)$  is eliminated by sacrifices for the betterment of house-holders; so, this altar is placed in the west as Sun sets in the west. <sup>32</sup> It means towards the sky. After bringing fire from *Gārhapatya Vedi* to *Āhavānīya Vedi* it appears to be free from all sins

therefore it is at east-side for the beginning of fresh morning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> It is used mainly for offerings to the forefathers after being free from sin (sacrifices on *Gārhapatya Yajña Vedi*) and being fresh (sacrificing to Ahavānīya Yajña Vedi). It is semi-circular as it is one-half of Gārhapatya i.e. Grha or members of the family who are living and offering sacrifices to expired predecessors who are missing other half i.e. other half of the circular vedi is not showing. It is kept towards south as we believe that death of a person ultimately vanishes or disappear at ocean.

## **Research Article**

situated in the south and used for certain rituals. It is semi-circular as some members of a family have been exhausted or lost and they considered being the respected half. The *GārhapatyaYajña Vedi*is to be placed in the west of the offering ground. Fire is taken from the *Gārhapatya* and kindled in the remaining two fires. This idea was considered as everything would go ahead after creation or attempt to create i.e. *Srṣti. Gārhapatya, Āhavānīya* and *Dakṣiṇāgni* and collectively called the *tretāgni* and are three primary vedis and *Nitya-agni* i.e. daily offerings.

In Rg Veda we find concepts of Gārhapatya Vedi by following Ślokas:

<u>मण्डल-१, सूक्त-१५, श्लोक-१२</u>: गार्हपत्येन संत्य ऋतुना यज्ञनीरसि । देवान्देवयते यज ॥

Mandala-1, Sūkta-15, Śloka-12: Gārhapatyena samtya rtunā yajñanīrisa | Devāndevayate yaja ||

<u>मण्डल-६, सूक्त-१५, श्लोक-१९</u>: वय़मु त्वा गृहपते जनानामग्ने अकर्म समिधा बृहत्तम् ।

अस्थूरि नो गार्हपत्यानि सन्तु तिग्मेन नस्तेजसा सं शिशाधि ॥

Mandala-6, Sūkta-15, Śloka-19: Vayamu tva grhapate janānāmagne akarma smidha brhattama |

Asthuri no garhapatyani santu tigmena nastejasā sam sisādhi ||

<u>मण्डल-१॰, सूक्त-७५, श्लोक-२७</u>: इह प्रिय़ं प्रजय़ा ते समृध्य तामस्मिन् गृहे गार्हपत्याय़ जागृहि ।

एना पत्या तन्वं सं सृजस्वाधा जिब्री विदथमा वदाथः ॥

Mandala-10, Sūkta-85, Śloka-27: Iha priyam prajarā te smrdhya tāmasmin grhe gārhaptyāya jāgrhi |

Enā patyā tanvam sam srjasvādhā jibrī vidathamā vadāthah ||

In *Śatapatha Brāhmaņa* we find a Śloka VII/1/1/37:

व्याममात्रोभवति।व्याममात्रोवैपुरुषः,पुरषःप्रजापतिरग्निरात्रमसम्मितांतद्योनिंकरोतिपरिमण्डलाभवति,

परिमण्डलाहियोनिरथोहय़ंवैलोकोगार्हपत्यःपरिमरिमण्डलंहउअय़ंलोकः॥ [श्लोक७/१/१/३७]

Vyāmamātro bhavati | Vyāmamātro vai puruṣaḥ, puruṣaḥ prajāpatiragnirātmasammitān tavyonim karoti parimaņdalā bhavati, parimaņdalā hi yoniratho hayamvai loko gārhapatyaḥ primaņdalam ha u lokaḥ || [Śloka VII/1/1/37]

It has been in English that: It (the gārhapatya fire or altar) measures a fathom (vyāma) (in diameter), for man is a fathom high, and man is Prajāpati (the lord of generation), and Prajāpati is Agni: he thus makes the womb of equal size to his (Agni's) body. It is circular (Parimandala), for the womb is circular and moreover the gārhapatya is the (terrestrial) world and would doubtless is circular.

The area of each Yajña Vedi was of equal in area and equal to one square vyāma(1 vyāma = 120 amgulis = 1 puruṣa = nearly 6 ft.). So they have the idea (a) to construct of a square on a given line, (b) to circle a square and vice versa. The measurement of the Fire-altar (*Vedi*) by Bamboo-rod was as early as *Taittirīya* Samhitā<sup>34</sup> and also reappeared inalmost all early Samhitās and Brāhmanas. The device of measurement gradually replaced by cord (*rajju*) and was introduced at the time of Śatapatha Brāhmana<sup>35</sup>. The method of construction was also applied in Kātyāyana<sup>36</sup> and the construction is inMānava Śulba<sup>37</sup> where technical term used was pañcāmgi (five-joint) as it has five (pañca) joints (amgi) i.e. two ties and three marks.

 $<sup>^{34}</sup>$  It was written nearly 3000 BCE. The Śloka was in V/2/5/1.

 $<sup>^{35}</sup>$  It was written nearly 2000 BCE. The Śloka was in X/2/3/8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>It was written nearly 300 BCE. The Śloka was in XVI/8/1-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> It was written nearly 500 BCE.

# **Research Article**



#### **Structural Arrangement of Vedis**

A. To construct a square with a given side(Dutta, 1991; Pradip Kumar Majumder, 2005):

a) Baudhāyana Śulba sutra<sup>38</sup> gave:

Catursram cikīrşanyāvaccikīrşettāvatīm rajjumubhayatah pāśām krtvā madhye lakşanam karoti lekhāmālikhya | Tasyā madhye śamku nihanyāttasminpāśau pratimucya lakşanena mandalam parilikhet | Vişkambhāstayoh śamku nihanyāt | Pūrvasminpāśam pratimucya pāśena mandalam parilikhet | Evamaparasmimste yatra sameyātām tena dvitīyām vişkambhamāycchet | Vişkambhāntayoh | Śamku nihanyāt | Pūrvasminpāsau pratimucya lakşanena mandalam parilikhet | Evam dakşinata evam paścādevamuttaratesteşām ye hantyāh samsargāstaccaturasram sampadyate | (I/22-28)

चतुरस्रंचिकीर्षन्यावच्चिकीर्षेत्तावतींरज्जुमुभय़तःपाशांकृत्वामध्येलक्षणंकरोतिलेखामालिख्य।तस्यामध्येशङ्कुनिहन्यात्तस्मि न्पाशौप्रतिमुच्यलक्षणेनमण्डलंपरिलिखेत्।विष्कम्भास्तय़ोःशङ्कुनिहन्यात्।पूर्वस्मिनपाशंप्रतिमुच्यपाशेनमण्डलंपरिलिखेत्। एवमपरस्मिंस्तेयत्रसमेय़ातांतेनद्वितीय़ांविष्कम्भमाय़च्छेत्।विष्कम्भान्तय़ोः।शङ्कुनिहन्यात्।पूर्वस्मिन्पाशौप्रतिमुच्यलक्षणेन मण्डलंपरिलिखेत्।एवंदक्षिणतएवंपश्चादेवमुत्तरतेस्तेषांयेऽन्त्याःसंसर्गास्तच्चतुरस्रंसंपद्यते॥(१/२२-२८)



Figure 1:

Two circles are with radius O'O'' = AB = given side of the square. Line joining the points of intersection of two circles subdivide AB at G. AG = GB = CH = HD. ABCD is the square.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>It was written nearly 1000 BCE.

**Research** Article

It may be expressed in English as: "If you wish to construct a square, take cord (rajju) as long as its side is desired to be make a tie at both ends and a mark at the middle. Then having drawn a line (east to west) of the desired length, fix a pole at its middle. Fasten the two ties at this pole and describe a circle with the mark. Now fix poles at the both ends of the diameter (running east to west). Having fastened one tie at the eastern pole, describe a circle with the other tie. Describe a similar circle about the western pole. On joining the points of intersection of the circles, the second (i.e. north to south) diameter will be found. Fix two poles at the extremities of this diameter. Now, having fastened both ties at the eastern pole, describe a circle with the mark. Similarly describe circles about the southern, western and northern poles. The exterior points of intersection of these circles will determine square" (Figure 1).

b) Athāparam | Pramāṇād dviguṇāṃ rajjumubhayataḥ pāśaṃ kṛtvā madhye lakṣaṇaṃ karoti | Sa prācyarthaḥ | Aparasinnardhe caturrbhāgone lakṣaṇāṃ karoti | Tannyaṃchanam | Ardhehaṃ sārtham | Pṛśṭhācāntayoḥ pāśau pratimucya nyachnena dakṣiṇāpāymadhyārdhena śroṇyaṃ sānniharet | (I/29-35) अथापरम्।प्रमाणाद्विगुणांरज्जमुभय़तःपाशांकृत्वामध्येलक्षणंकरोति।सप्राच्यर्थः।अपरसिन्नर्धेचतुर्भागोनेलक्षणांकरोति।तन्न्यंछ नम्।अर्धेहंसार्थम।पृष्ठाचान्तय़ोःपाशौप्रतिमूच्यन्यछनेनदक्षिणापाय़म्यार्धेनश्रोण्यंसान्निहरेतु।(१/२९-३५)



 $EF = a = given side; AE = EB = DF = FC = \frac{a}{2}; A'E = EB' = D'F = FC' = \frac{3a}{4}; ED' = EC' = FA' = FB' = \frac{5a}{4}.$ ABCD is a square.

It may be expressed in English as: "Take a cord two times the measure of the given side of the square; make a tie at both ends and a mark at the middle. With one half of this cord, measure the east to west (breadth) of the square. In the other half, make a mark at a distance (from the western end) less by its one-fourth. Let this mark be called 'nyañchana'. Make another mark at the middle of that half for the purpose of (determining) the eastern corners. Having fastened the two ties at the two extremities of the east to west breadth, stretch the cord towards the south by the 'nyañchana<sup>39</sup> mark. Thus the two eastern and two western corners of the square should be constructed by the middle mark of the other half of the cord(Figure2).

c) Āpastamba Śulba sutra stated:

 $<sup>^{39}</sup>$ It is a point towards half of half-length of the given side = one-fourth of side.

### **Research Article**

Yāvadā<u>y</u>āmam pramānam | Tadardhamabhyasyāparasmiņstrtī<u>y</u>e sadabhāgone laksanam karoti | Prsthāntayorantau ni<u>y</u>amya laksanena daksināpā<u>y</u>amya nimittam karoti | Evamuttarato viparyastetaratammam sa smādhih | Tannimitto nirhāso vivrddhirvā | (I/2-6)

यावादाय़ामंपप्रमाणम्।तदर्धमभ्यस्यापरस्मिंस्तृतीय़ेषड़भागोनेलक्षणंकरोति।पृष्ठान्तयोरन्तौनय़म्यलक्षणेनदक्षिणापाय़म्यनि

### मित्तंकरोति।एवमुत्तरतोविपर्यस्तेतरतन्मःससमाधिः।(१/२-६)

It may transform into English as: "Add to a cord as long as the given side its half and make a mark at a distance (from the other end of the added portion) less by its sixth part. Fasten the ends of the (increased) cord at the extremities of the east west line and stretch it towards the south having taken by the mark and put a sign at the point reached by it. Do similarity on the north and again on both sides after interchanging the ends of the cord. This is the construction(Figure3).



 $EF = a = given side; AE = EB = DF = FC = \frac{a}{2}; A'E = EB' = D'F = FC' = \frac{5a}{12}; ED' = EC' = FA' = FB' = \frac{13a}{12}.$ ABCD is a square.

d) Athāparam | Pramāņamātrīm rajjumubhayatah pāśām karoti | Madhye lakṣaṇamardha mdhyamayośca pṛṣṭhāyām rajjumāyamya pāśayorlakṣṇedhiti śamkum nihatyo pāśuntayoh pāśau pratimucya madhyamena lakṣaṇena dkṣiṇāpāyamya śamkum nimittam karoti | Madhyame pāśau pratimucya uparyupri nimittam madhyamena lakṣaṇena dakṣiṇāpāramya śamkum nihanti | Tasmin pāśam pratimucya pūrvasminnitam madhyamena lakṣaṇena dakṣiṇāmamasamāyacchet | Unmucya pūrvasmādaparasmina pratimucya madhyamenaiva lakṣaṇena dakṣiṇām śroṇimāyacchet | Evamuttarau śroṇyaṃsau | (I/15-21)

अथापरम्।प्रमाणमात्रींरज्जुमुभय़तःपाशांकरोति।मध्येलक्षणमर्धमध्यमय़ोश्चपृष्ठाय़ांरज्जुमाय़म्यपाशय़ोर्लक्षणेधितिशङ्कुनिह त्योपाशन्तय़ोःपाशौप्रतिमुच्यमध्यमेनलक्षणेनदक्षिणापाय़म्यशङ्कुंनिमित्तंकरोति।मध्यमेपाशौप्रतिमुच्यउपर्युपरिनिमित्तंमध्य मेनलक्षणेनदक्षिणापाय़म्यशङ्कुंनिहन्ति।तस्मिनपाशंप्रतिमुच्यपूर्वस्मिन्नितंमध्यमेनलक्षणेनदक्षिणमंसमाय़च्छेत्।उन्मुच्यपूर्व स्मादपरस्मिनप्रतिमुच्यमध्यमेनैवलक्षणेनदक्षिणांश्रणिमाय़च्छेतु।एवमुत्तरौश्रोण्यंसौ(१/१५-२१)

It can be transformed to English as: "Take a cord as long as the measure (to be given to the side of the square); make a tie at both ends and a mark at the middle of itself and of its two halves. Stretch out this cord along the east-west line and fix poles at the three points. Then having fastened the ties at the two poles of outer marks, stretch the cord towards the south having taken it by the middle mark and makes a point there. Now fasten both the ties at the middle pole and stretch the cord towards the south by the middle mark over this point and fix a pole at the place reached. Fasten one tie at this pole, another tie at the easternmost pole, and stretch out the cord having taken it by the middle mark; thus will be obtained the south-eastern corner of the square (required). Then freeing the tie from the easternmost pole, fasten it to the westernmost pole and again stretch the cord by the middle mark; thus the south-western corner will be determined. Similarly can be determined the north-eastern and north-western corners of the square(Figure 4).



AB = a = given side; AE = EB = DF = FC = IO = OJ = EG = FH =  $\frac{a}{2}$ ; IK = JL =  $\frac{a}{4}$ ; OK = OL =  $\frac{3a}{4}$ . ABCD is a square.

e) Yāvānyajamāna ūrdhvavāhustāvadantarāle venościdre karoti madhye tṛtīyam | Apareņa yupāvaṭadeśamanupṛṣṭhaṃ veṇuṃ nidhāya chidreṣu śamkunihatya unmucyāparābhyāṃ dakṣiṇā prākparilikhedāntāta, unmut | Unmucya pūrvasmāda parasminapratimucya dakṣiṇā pratyakaparilikhedāntāt | (VIII/23-25)



AB = a = given side; AC = CB = EF = FC =  $\frac{a}{2}$ ; BE and AD are quadrant of circle with radius AB. P is point of intersection of arcs. CP is produced to F such that CF = AB. EFD jointed. ABDF is a square. यावान्यजमानऊर्ध्ववाहुस्तावदन्तरालेवेनोश्चिद्रेकरोतिमध्येतृतीय़म्।अपरेणयुपावटदेशमनुपृष्ठंवेणुंनिधायछिद्रेषुशङ्कुनिहत्य

यावान्यजमानऊर्ध्ववाहुस्तावदन्तरालेवेनोश्चिद्रेकरोतिमध्येतृतीय़म्।अपरेणयुपावटदेशमॅनुपृष्ठंवेणुंनिधाय़छिद्रेषुश्ङ्कुनिहत्य उन्मुच्यापराभ्यांदक्षिणाप्राक्परिलिखेदान्तात,उन्मुत्।उन्मुच्यपूर्वस्मादपरस्मिनप्रतिमुच्यदक्षिणाप्रत्यकपरिलिखेदान्तात्॥(८/ २३-२५)

It may be translated as: "In a bamboo-rod, make two holes (A, B) as much apart as the height of the sacrifice with uplifted arms and a third hole (C) mid-way between them. Place the bamboo-rod on the east to west line and fix poles in the holes (beginning) from the western extremity of the sacrificial place. Then freeing the two poles (C, B) on the west, describe a circle (by rotating the bamboo) south-east wise by the hole at the (opposite) end. Then unloosening the eastern hole and fixing the hole in the west (in its original position), describe another circle south-west wise by the hole at the opposite end. Now release the bamboo (completely); fix again an extreme hole at the middle pole (C); place it towards the south over the point of intersection of the two circles and fix a pole at the point (F) reached by the outer most hole. Then fix at this pole the middle hole of the bamboo and having laid it along the extreme outer edges of the two circles, fix two poles (E, D) at the two outermost holes. It (the figure thus described, ABDE) is a square (having a side)(Figure5).

B. (i) To transform a square into a circle(Dutta, 1991; Pradip Kumar Majumder, 2005):

a) Maharşi Baudhāyana said:

Carurasram mandalam cikīrsannaksanayārdha madhyāt prācīmabhyāpātavedyadatisisyate tasya saha tritīyena mandalam parilikhet | (I/58)

चतुरस्रंमण्डलंचिकीर्षन्नक्ष्नय़ार्धमध्यात्प्राचीमभ्यापातवेद्यदतिशिष्यते तस्य सह तृतीय़ेन मण्डलं परिलिखेत्। (१५८)

It may be translated as:

"If you wish to circle a square, draw half of its diagonal about the centre towards the east-west line; then describe a circle together with the on-third of that which lies outside (the square)".

b) Same comment was given by Āpastamba as:

Caturasram mandalam cikirşanmadhyāt kotyām nipātakhet | Pārśatah parikrşyātiśayatrtiyena sahamandalam parilikhet | Sānityā mandalam | Yāvaddhīyate tāvadāgantu | (III / 2, 3, 4, 5)

# **Research** Article

चतुरस्रंमण्डलंचिकीर्षन्मध्यात्कोट्यांनिपातखेत्।पार्शतःपरिकृष्यातिशय़तृतीय़ेनसहमण्डलंपरिलिखेत्।सानित्यामण्डलम्।याव द्धीय़तेतावदागन्तु। (३/२, ३, ४, ५)

Here by the words: सानित्यामण्डलम्।यावद्धीय़तेतावदागन्तुli.e. Sānityā maṇdalam | Yāvaddhīyte tāvadāgantu | indicated that areas are exact to each other.

c) Kātyā<u>y</u>ana said:

Caturasram mandalam cikirşandadhyādāmanipāja pārśvattah pariliyā yadatiriktām bhavati tasya tritīyena sahamandalam parilikhetam sa samādhih | (III/13)

चतुरस्रंमण्डलंचिकीर्षन्दघ्यादामनिपाजपार्श्वत्तःपरिलिय़ायदतिरिक्तांभवतितस्यतृतीय़ेनसहमण्डलंपरिलिखेतंससमाधिः(३/

१३)

d) Mānava in his Śulba sutra said:

Madhyāt koti pramāņena maņdalam parilikhet atirikta tribhāgena sarvam tu sahamaņdalam | Caturaśre kṣnayā rajjumadhyataḥ samnipātayet | Parilekhā ta darddhenārddhamaṇdalameva tat | (I/8)

मध्यात्कोटिप्रमाणेनमण्डलम्परिलिखेत्अतिरिक्तत्रिभागेनसर्वंतुसहमण्डलम्।चतुरश्रेक्ष्नय़ारज्जुमध्यतःसंनिपातय़ेत्।परिलेखात दर्द्वेनार्द्धमण्डलमेवतत्। (१/८)

(ii) To transform a circle into a square:

a) Maharşi Baudhāyana said:

Maņdalam caturrasram cikirṣanviṣkambhamacetā bhāgāmkrtvābhāgāmakonamtriśadhā vibhajyāṣtamviśatibhāgānuddhared bhāgasya ca ṣaṣtamaṣtabhāgonam | (I/58)

मण्डलंचतुरस्रंचिकीर्षन्विष्कम्भमचेटाभागांकृत्वाभागामकोनत्रिंशधाविभज्याष्टविंशतिभागानुद्धरेद्भास्यचषष्टमष्टभागोनम् । (१/५९)

It can be expressed in English as:

"If you wish to square a circle, divide its diameter into eight parts; then divide one part into twenty-nine parts and leave out twenty-eight of these; and also the sixth part (of the preceding sub-division) less the eighth part (of the last)".

b) Api vā pañcadaśabhāgān krtvā dvābuddharetadeṣānitvā caturrasra karaņī | (I/60)

अपिवापञ्चदशभागान्कृत्वाद्वाबुद्धरेतदेषानित्याचतुरस्रकरणी। (१/६॰)

In English it appears as:

"You divide the diameter of the circle into fifteen parts, leave out two of its parts; you find the side of the square".

c) Sameidea was expressed in Āpastamba Śulba sutra as:

Maņdalam caturasram cikīrşana vişkambham pañcadaśa bhāgāna krtvā dvābuddharet trayodaśaraśişyānte sā nityā caturasram | (VI/8)

मण्डलंचतुरस्रंचिकीर्षनविष्कम्भंपञ्चदशभागानकृत्वाद्वाबुद्धरेत्र् य़ोदशरशिष्यान्तेसानित्याचतुरस्रम्। (६/७)



Survey Rope of Egypt 2000

## **Research Article**

Maņdalam caturasram cikīrsana viskasta pañcadaśa bhāgāna krtvā dvābuddharecchesh karanī | (III/12)

मण्डलंचतुरस्रंचिकीर्षनविष्कस्तपञ्चदशभागानकृत्वाद्वाबुद्धरेच्छेषःकरणी।(३/१२)

The applied geometry in ancient Hindus was known as Śulba.

In Sanskrit *śulba* and *rajju* have identical significance as rope or as cord and it was used to measure. As the word *śulba* derived from *śulb* or *śulv* means 'to measure'. Thus we can present four types of identification of the word *śulba* or *rajju*(Dutta, 1991).

- 1. Mensuration the act of measuring.
- 2. Line or surface the result obtained by measuring.
- 3. A measure the instrument of measuring.
- 4. Geometry the art of measuring.

In ancient Hindu literature we find three kinds of measuring – linear, superficial and voluminal with *rajju*. We find that there is a fundamental difference on conceptual attitude of geometry in India and in Greece. Indians, mainly, learnt mensuration for their practical use which were mainly existed in Egypt and we find unicultural progress between these two countries whereas Greeks were intended to express different geometric problems by arguments based on geometric structures and configurations. For example we see in ancient India people used 1 *Rajju* = 10 *Danda* = 40 *Hātsor Hastas or cubits* = 960 *amgulis* = 10 *puruşa* = 60 ft. = 18.3 m.(Pradip Kumar Majumder, 2005) for survey of lands. The rope (*rajju*) was sometimes called *patina* (that which is laid out) because measurements were made by lying( $p\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ ) on the ground.

Mathematics, particularly geometry, played a crucial role on the Indus Valley civilization about 3000 B.C. and was used for(Majumder, 1994):

- Arrangement of cities in the form of rectangular, square land areas; drains in the form of rectangular parallelepiped and metalled road of same width.
- ▶ Use of ornaments depicted with valuable stones was of geometrical arrangement.
- Utensils of geometrical shapes.
- > Weapons with even and uneven geometric forms.
- > Furniture like beds, tools etc. were built by the use of geometry.

From the different Archaeological discoveries we came to know that inhabitants of *Mahenjodāro* and *Harappā* were very much acquainted with profound knowledge of geometry such as:

✓ Idea of value of  $\pi$  (pi) as they could form circular plane to erect granary.

 $\checkmark$  Idea of *Pythagoras* theorem as they made right angled triangular bricks and could cut the bricks in the form of right angled triangle.

 $\checkmark$  Idea of parallel lines to construct roads.

## CONCLUSION

We should be very much proud of our country as it contains such a rich civilization from the pre-historic period. And going through the above context we must go for thinking of the intension of those people who were inhabitants of India.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express my gratitude and respect to my friend & guide *Professor Dr.Shibendra Kumar Saha*, MD; for editing the paper.

#### REFERENCES

BibhutibhusanDutta (1991). The Science of the Sulba, University of Calcutta. David Eugene Smith (1957). History of Mathematics I & II.Dover Publications Inc., New York, USA. Dirk Jan Struik (1948). A Concise History of Mathematics. Dover Publications Inc., New York, USA. Florian Cajori (1896). A History of Elementary Mathematics with Hints on Methods of Teaching, The Macmillan Company, New York.

#### **Research Article**

Florian Cajori (1928). A History of Mathematical Notations I & II, The Open Court Publishing Company, LaSalle, Illinois.

George Sarton (1970). Ancient Science through the Golden Age of Greece. W. W. Norton & Co., New York USA.

Griffith FL (1909). *Catalogue of the Demotic Papyri in the John Ryland's Library*; Manchester, London. James Edward Quibell (1900). *Hierakonopolis*. London.

Karl Menninger (1992). Number Words and Number Symbols, Dover Publications, Inc. New York, USA.

**KunwarDeo Prasad** (1987). *Taxation in ancient India: From the Earliest Times up to the Guptas.* South Asia Book.

Lancet Hoghben (1937). Mathematics for the Million; W. W. Norton & Company Inc., USA.

Lucas NH Bunt, Philip S Jones and Jack D Dedient (1976). A Historical Root of Elementary Mathematics, Englewood Cliffs, Prentice Hall NJ.

Majumder RC (1994). Ancient India. Motilal Banarasidass Publishers Private Limited, Delhi, India.

Mikhail Nesturkh (1984). ManabSamaj, Prajati, Jati, Pragati.Mir Publications, Moscow, USSR, 1984.

Otto Neugebaur (1957). The Exact Sciences in Antiquity. Brown University Press, Providence, USA, 1957.

**Pradip Kumar Majumder (2005).** *PracinBharaterJyamitiCarca*, PascimBangaRājyaPustakParṣad, Kolkata- 700013, India.

RadhaKumudMookerji (1957). *Hindu Civilisation, Part-I*.BharatiyaVidyaBhavan, Chaupatty, Bombay, India.

**Random House** (1968). The Random House Dictionary of English Language, College Edition, Random House, New York.

SatapathaBrahmana Part I: 1.1.Prathama Adhyaya (2010) with English Translation- Translated by Edward Eggling: The Sanskrit Library, Providence RI.

Swapan Kumar Adhikari (1998). Babylonian Mathematics. Indian Journal of History of Science 33(1).

Swapan Kumar Adhikari (2002). *Primitive Counting. Teacher's Journal*, All Bengal Teachers' Association, Kolkata, West Bengal, India 81(1) 6-13.

Swapan Kumar Adhikari and Shibendra Kumar Saha (2009). Forgotten Indian Traditional Units. Institute of Landscape, Ecology & Ekistics, Indian Journal of Landscape Systems and Ecological Studies **31**(1) 453-456.

Tozer HF (1935). A History of Ancient Geography 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press.