FACTORS AFFECTING ANTHROPOMETRIC INDICES, BODY COMPOSITION AND WEIGHT REDUCTION

*Richa Chaturvedi¹, Naavya Dangi² and Nimali Singh³

¹Home Science Department, Kanoria PG Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Jaipur ²Rock Hill High School, Texas, USA ³PG Department of Home Science, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur *Author for Correspondence

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Obesity is a multifactorial disease arising from a complex interaction between genetic and environmental factors. Why some people succeed at adopting and sustaining behaviors associated with weight control while others, undergoing similar treatment programs, do not, remains largely unknown

Objective: To identify factors affecting response *vs.* non-response in subjects undergoing comprehensive weight reduction program.

Methodology: Purposive sampling technique was used to enroll subjects in the age group of 20-60 years visiting a commercial weight reduction center with the purpose of weight loss. Baseline data included 624 subjects (310 males and 314 females) with the BMI $\geq 25 \text{kg/m}^2$. Total 200 subjects participated in the study with 102 visiting a commercial weight reduction center and 98 visited a Gymnasium. In the comprehensive study these subjects were assessed at both pre and post intervention stages for various parameters such as nutritional status - anthropometric indicators, body composition and diet recall.

Results: Factors such as food habits, skipping of breakfast, marital status, stress, change in physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness affect weight loss, change in body composition and fitness levels. Significant associations were found between different variables. The macronutrient, stress and physical activity level model had a strong association with weight loss (r = 0.649) and was responsible for 42.13% variability.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a multicomponent disease arising from a complex interaction between genetic and environmental factors. However, the dramatic increase in obesity prevalence observed in the last decade seems attributable mainly to environmental changes promoting the intake of energy dense foods and/or reduced physical activity due to high number of sedentary jobs, different transportation systems and increasing urbanization. A 'running society' with a high level of stress develops compensatory and gratifying behaviours, where eating has a primary role (Grave *et al.*, 2013). The treatment of obesity continues to present major challenges, including poor adherence to diet, inadequate and unsatisfactory weight loss, weight regain and high rates of attrition (Colombo *et al.*, 2014). Why some people succeed at adopting and sustaining behaviours associated with weight control while others, undergoing similar treatment programs, do not, remains largely unknown (Wing 2003). Findings of a recent research also stated that health behaviour changes such as eating more fruits and vegetables, increasing physical activity and reducing stress were all predictive of clinically significant weight loss (Carpenter *et al.*, 2014).

Objective: To identify factors affecting response *vs.* non-response in subjects undergoing comprehensive weight reduction program.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in Jaipur city. Purposive sampling technique was used to enroll women in the age group of 20-60 years visiting a commercial weight reduction center (CWRC) with the purpose of weight loss. Baseline data included 624 subjects (310 males and 314 females) with the BMI \geq 25kg/m². Success rate of any commercial weight reduction programme have been predicted to be 20%. Therefore, a sample size of 176 (44 in each group) women was computed, at 5% confidence

CIBTech Journal of Zoology ISSN: 2319–3883 Online, International Journal, Available at http://www.cibtech.org/cjz.htm 2023 Vol.12, 317-322/Richa et al. **Research Article** (Open Access)

interval and 10% confidence limit; for the comprehensive study on the basis of willingness to participate in the study. Total 200 subjects participated in the study with 102 visiting a commercial weight reduction center and 98 visited a Gymnasium. In the comprehensive study these subjects were assessed at both pre and post intervention stages for various parameters such as nutritional status – anthropometric indicators, body composition and diet recall. Anthropometric indicators such as height, weight, waist circumference (WC) and hip circumference (HC) were measured using standard W.H.O. protocols (Jeliffe, 1966). Body composition was assessed by OMRON HBF-362 body composition analyzer based on biological impedance. Body fat % was compared against the standards given by American College of Sports Medicine (2009). Diet and nutrient intake were assessed by 24 hour food recall. 'Overload and stress assessment' questionnaire by Girdin *et al.*, (1996) was used for stress assessment. Written consent was acquired from all participants and the study was approved by Sanjeevani ethical committee in Jaipur.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Classification of responders and non-responders

After the post-treatment assessment participants were classified as responders and non-responders on the basis of "Key Recommendations *Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: Evidence Report*, 1998" which states that adequate weight reduction is about 1 to 2 pounds per week (about 0.450 kg – 0.900 kg/ week). This quantity sums up to 1.8 - 3.6 kg /month (3.6 -7.2 kg/ 2 months) (NHLBI 1998). Subjects were assessed for their total weight reduction during the 60 days period. Subjects who were able to reduce ≥ 3.6 kg were considered as respondents (R) and those who reduced< 3.6 kg were considered as non-respondents (NR). Mean weight loss for females was higher than that for males but the difference was not statistically significant. When analyzed for subjects attending different Centers mean weight loss for CWRC (3.58 ± 2.82 kg) subjects was higher as compared to weight loss of subjects attending Gym (1.95 ± 2.84 kg) and the difference was statistically significant at 5% significance level (t = 3.62). This rejected our null hypothesis that there will be no difference in the weight loss of subjects attending different combination program (**Table 1**).

| Variable | Weight (kg) | t-Test | |
|----------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| CWRC | | | |
| Males (n=50) | 3.26 ± 2.50 | 0.722NS | |
| Females (n=52) | 3.75 ± 2.96 | 0.722*** | |
| Total (n=102) | 3.58 ± 2.82 | | 2 (2** |
| Gym | | | 3.62** |
| Males (n=50) | 1.84 ± 2.32 | 0.261NS | |
| Females (n=48) | 2.03 ± 3.15 | 0.361 | |
| Total (n=98) | 1.95 ± 2.84 | | |

Table 1: Mean Weight Loss (kg) of Subjects

*Significant at 5% **Significant at 1% level NS – Not significant

Overall, 30% subjects were successful in achieving the target. Response rate was low among subjects attending Gym (17%) as compared to those attending CWRC (45%).

Association of various parameters with weight loss, anthropometric indices and changes in body composition

A. Family and Personal Profile: Gender was not found to have any effect on weight reduction. Among females strong association was observed for professionally engaged women and homemakers. Type of family was found to have no effect on obesity grades and weight loss. For females menstrual cycle regularity had significant relationship with obesity grades and weight loss. Presence of comorbidities affected weight loss and obesity grade significantly (**Table 2**).

| Variables | df | Chi-square |
|--|----|---------------------|
| Marital status and weight loss response | 1 | 8.54** |
| Gender and weight loss | 1 | 0.995 ^{NS} |
| Home maker vs. Professionals and weight loss (Females) | 1 | 10.09** |
| Type of family and obesity | 1 | 0.00 ^{NS} |
| Type of family and weight loss | 1 | 1.02 ^{NS} |
| Menstrual history and obesity grade (Females) | 2 | 8.73** |
| Menstrual history and weight loss (Females) | 1 | 8.83** |
| Comorbidities and weight loss | 1 | 5.82** |
| Comorbidities and obesity grade | 1 | 3.35* |

 Table 2: Association between Personal and Family Profile with Weight Loss

*Significant at 5% level **Significant at 1% level NS –Not significant

Strong association was observed for marital status and weight loss but not for obesity grades. Married subjects had an odds ratio of 2.77 for non-response as compared to unmarried or divorced subjects. An analysis of the marital status and body weight of 9043 adults in the US National Health and Nutrition Epidemiological Follow-up Survey (NHEFS), a longitudinal national study that interviewed and measured adults in a baseline assessment and reassessed them again in a follow-up approximately 10 years later. Men's and women's weights were differently associated with marital changes. Women who were unmarried at baseline and married at follow-up had greater weight change than those who were married at both times. Analysis of weight loss and weight gain separately revealed that socio-demographic variables, including marital change, were more predictive of variation in weight loss than weight gain. Unmarried women who married gained more weight than women married at both times. Men who remained divorced/ separated and men who became widowed lost more weight than men married at both baseline and follow-up(Sobal, Rauschenbach, and Fronqillo 2003).

B. Food Habits

Vegetarianism has been found to have strong association with weight loss response. Skipping of meals also had a strong association with response to non-response. Subjects who were not skipping meals had 2.1 times more odds of losing weight. Smoking and alcoholism among males also significantly affected weight loss (**Table 3**).

| Variables | Chi-square value | df | P value |
|--|---------------------|----|---------|
| Veg. /Non-veg with Response / Non-response | 3.89* | 1 | 0.005 |
| Skipping meals with Response/Non-response | 10.67** | 1 | 0.001 |
| Smoking and response /Non-response (Males) | 5.71** | 1 | 0.001 |
| Alcohol and response/ non-response (Males) | 29.67** | 1 | 0.001 |

Table3: Association of Food Habits with Response and Non-Response in Subjects

*Significant at 5% level **Significant at 1% level

A study was conducted to compare nutrient intake, diet quality and weight/adiposity measures of consumers assigned to different breakfast patterns with breakfast skippers. These associations were assessed in adults 19+ years (N=18,988) participating in the 2001-2008 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Intake was determined from 1-day 24-hour dietary recall. Diet quality was quantified using the Healthy Eating Index-2005. Body mass index (kg/m²) and waist circumferences were determined. Covariate adjusted general linear models were used to compare nutrient intakes, Healthy Eating Index-2005 scores and body mass index/waist circumference of consumers of different patterns with breakfast skippers. Consumers of the Grain/100% Fruit Juice; pre-sweetened RTEC/Lower-Fat Milk; RTEC/Lower-Fat Milk/Whole Fruit/100% Fruit Juice; and Cooked Cereal

patterns had lower body mass indexes and waist circumferences than breakfast skippers (O'Neil, Nicklas, and Fulgoni 2014).

C. **Physical Activity Level**

Physical activity level and rate of change of PAL (Δ PAL) has been associated with various parameters among both males and females attending CWRC and Gym (Table 4).

| | CWRC (n=102) | | Gym (n=98) | | |
|----------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|--|
| | Male (n=50) | Female (n=52) | Male (n=50) | Female (n=48) | |
| PAL | | | | | |
| Age | - 0.290* | - 0.288* | -0.367* | -0.413* | |
| Initial Weight | -0.412* | -0.294* | -0.308* | -0.279* | |
| BMI | -0.461* | -0.294* | -0.264* | -0.274* | |
| WC | -0.442* | -0.134 ^{NS} | -0.267* | -0.139 ^{NS} | |
| WHR | -0.349* | -0.275* | -0.280* | -0.264* | |
| Body Fat % | -0.302* | -0.304* | -0.314* | -0.359* | |
| Lean % | 0.146* | 0.260** | 0.157* | 0.155* | |
| Visceral fat | -0.148* | -0.176* | -0.186** | -0.187**- | |
| ΔPAL | | | | | |
| Weight Loss | 0.587** | 0.492** | 0.708** | 0.749** | |
| *0 | **0 | INC N C | | | |

*Significant at 5% **Significant at 1% level NS – Not significant

Initial physical activity level was found to be negatively correlated with age for all the groups, with strongest inverse association among females attending Gym (r= -0.413). Initial body weight and Body Mass Index (BMI) were also inversely related with initial physical activity level. WC had an inverse relation with PAL in males but the same relation was not observed in females. Higher PAL resulted in lower WHR among all the groups. Physical activity was also found to reduce total body fat percent, visceral fat and conserve lean body mass. Change in PAL (Δ PAL) had a strong positive relation with Δ (change in) weight i.e. the greater the change (increase) in PAL greater will be the change in weight.

A meta-analysis of 45 studies of adults (n = 218,166) and nine studies of children and adolescents (n= 19,268) showed that physically active adults had a 33% lower odds of obesity ($p = 2 \times 10^{-13}$), 19% lower odds of overweight ($p = 7 \times 10^{-9}$), 0.79 kg/m² lower BMI ($p = 3 \times 10^{-15}$), 2.44 cm smaller waist circumference ($p = 1 \times 10^{-20}$) and 1.30% lower body fat percentage ($p = 2 \times 10^{-15}$) than inactive adults (Kilpeläinen, et al., 2011).

D. **Cardio- Respiratory Fitness Parameters**

 Table 5: shows significant associations of cardiovascular fitness with other parameters. Resting heart
 rate was found to have a significant association with age, whereas a strong association with body fat per cent and visceral fat. Recovery heart rate was found to be associated with body fat per cent.

| Table Other V | 5: Variab | Association les | of | Cardio | Respiratory | Fitne | ess Param | neters with |
|------------------|--------------|--------------------|----|--------------|-------------|-------|------------|-------------|
| S. | Var | iables | (| CWRC (n=1 | 02) | | Gym (n=98) |) |
| No. | | | I | Males (n=50) | Females (1 | n=52) | Males | Females |
| | | | | | | | (n=50) | (n=48) |

0.348**

0.383**

0.308**

0.290**

0.288**

0.139*

*Significant at 5% **Significant at 1% level NS – Not significant

0.253**

0.146*

0.181*

RHR and Body Fat%

RHR and Visceral fat

ReHR and Body Fat%

1 2

3

0.252**

0.323**

0.142*

A recent study on young medical students (n=132), in India reported that resting heart rate had higher values and significantly positive correlation with obesity indices among obese group compared to non-obese individuals (p<0.05). A significantly higher RHR points towards an altered autonomic balance in obese young adults (Itagi *et al.*, 2014). Another study on 60 young adult subjects in the age group of 18-20 years, established a significant correlation between obesity indices like BMI and RHR, with the obese group exhibiting significantly faster RHR (Shekokar *et al.*, 2013).

E. Stress Score

High stress scores were found to be related to high total cholesterol levels and low levels of highdensity lipoprotein levels in subjects. Also, there was a negative association of stress with physical activity level and weight loss in both the genders of both the centres.

| Variable | CWRC (n=102 | | Gym (n=98) | | |
|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| v al lable | Male (n=50) | Female (n=52) | Male (n=50) | Female (n=48) | |
| Weight Loss | -0.254** | -0.293** | -0.201** | -0.382** | |

 Table 6: Association of Stress Score with Weight Loss

Similar results have been found in a recent study which evaluated job related stress and lifestyle variables in 91,593 workers undergoing periodic check-ups. In the bivariate analysis, job stress was significantly associated with previous dyslipidaemia diagnosis (p< 0.001), lipid-lowering therapy (p< 0.001) and altered total-cholesterol (p = 0.001), HDL-cholesterol (p< 0.001) and LDL-cholesterol levels (p = 0.025). After adjusting for potential confounding variables, job stress was still associated with current dyslipidaemia diagnosis (OR = 1.10; 95% CI, 1.04–1.17), high LDL-cholesterol (OR = 1.14; 95% CI, 1.05–1.23), low HDL-cholesterol (OR 1.08; 95% CI, 1.01–1.15), high total cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio (OR 1.13; 95% CI, 1.05–1.23) and high LDL-cholesterol/HDL-choleste

Also, physical activity level and stress scores were found to be inversely related to each other and the relation was stronger in males than females. A recent study used baseline and follow-up self-report survey data comprising a cohort of 1382 women aged 18 to 46 years, in Victoria, Australia. The study revealed cross-sectional and longitudinal associations between stress and both less leisure-time physical activity and more frequent fast-food consumption. Longitudinal associations were also found between stress and increased television viewing time (Mouchacca, Abbott, and Ball 2013).

Initial stress scores were found to have an adverse effect on weight reduction for males and females attending either CWRC or Gym. Similar results were observed in a two-phase randomized control trial, the LIFE study, which provided an intensive behavioural weight loss intervention to 472 obese (BMI -30-50kg/m²) adults. Using logistic regression, lower stress at entry level predicted success in weight loss program (p=0.024) and also weight loss was significantly correlated to decline in stress (p =0.048) (Elder *et al.*, 2012).

F. NUTRIENT, STRESS, PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Multiple regression analysis revealed that post intervention nutrient intake had a strong impact on weight reduction (p=0.001). Macronutrient composition of diet (model comprising of protein, energy, carbohydrate and fat), significantly affected weight reduction. On adding stress and physical activity level to this model the effectiveness of the intervention increased. The macronutrient model was positively correlated to weight loss (r = 0.497) and resulted in 24.66% variability in weight loss. The macronutrient, stress and physical activity level model had a strong association with weight loss (r = 0.649) and was responsible for 42.13% variability.

CONCLUSION

Multiple variables affect weight reduction and a pre-assessment of an individual can help in prediction of response or non-response in weight reduction. Focal point during a comprehensive weight reduction program should not be weight loss on scale alone but also on favorable changes in body composition, fitness levels and improved nutritional status. To achieve this; well-planned

CIBTech Journal of Zoology ISSN: 2319–3883 Online, International Journal, Available at http://www.cibtech.org/cjz.htm 2023 Vol.12, 317-322/Richa et al. **Research Article** (Open Access)

nutritionally adequate diet combined with physical activity and stress reliving techniques are a prerequisite.

REFERENCES

American College of Sports Medicine (2009) ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription, 8thEdition, Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, (American College of Sports Medicine, 2009)

Carpenter KM, JC Lovejoy, JM Lange, JE Hapgood, and S.M. Zbikowski (2014). Outcomes and Utilization of a Low Intensity Workplace Weight Loss Program. *Journal of Obesity* 1–7. doi:10.1155/2014/414987.

Catalina-Romero C, E Calvo, MA Sánchez-Chaparro, P Valdivielso, JC Sainz, M Cabrera, A González-Quintela, and J Román (2013). The Relationship between Job Stress and Dyslipidemia. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* **41**(2) 142–49.

Colombo O, VV Ferretti, C Ferraris, C Trentani, P Vinai, S Villani and A Tagliabue (2014). Is Drop-out from Obesity Tratment a Predictable and Preventable Event?" *Nutrition Journal* **13** 13. doi:10.1186/1475-2891-13-13.

Elder, CR, CM Gullion, KL Funk, LL DeBar, NM Lindberg, and VJ Stevens (2012). Impact of Sleep, Screen Time, Depression and Stress on Weight Change in the Intensive Weight Loss Phase of the LIFE Study. *International Journal of Obesity (London)* **36**(1) 86–92.

Grave RD, E Centis, R Marzocchi, ME Ghoch, and G Marchesini (2013). Major Factors for Facilitating Change in Behavioral Strategies to Reduce Obesity." *Psychology Research and Behavior Management* 6 101–10.

Girdin DA, Everly, GS and Dusek DE (1996). Controlling stress and tension, Allyn and Bacon, Needham Heights, M.

Jelliffe DB (1966) The assessment of the nutritional status of the community. World Health Organization Monograph, Series No. 53, Geneva, 50-84

Kilpeläinen TO, L Qi, S Brage, SJ Sharp, E Sonestedt, E Demerath, T Ahmad, and S Mora (2011). Physical Activity Attenuates the Influence of FTO Variants on Obesity Risk: A Meta-Analysis of 218,166 Adults and 19, 268 Children. *PLOS Medicine* 8(11) e1001116.

Mouchacca, J, GR Abbott, and K Ball (2013). "Associations between Psychological Stress, Eating, Physical Activity, Sedentary Behaviours and Body Weight among Women: A Longitudinal Study." *BMC Public Health* **13** 828. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-13-828.

NHLBI. 1998. Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults." Evidence Report 98-4083. National Institutes of Health.

O'Neil, CE, TA Nicklas, and III Fulgoni (2014). Nutrient Intake, Diet Quality, and Weight/Adiposity Parameters in Breakfast Patterns Compared with No Breakfast in Adults: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001-2008. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* 114(12) S27–S43.

Shekokar, PP, MM Raut, and AB Warkar (2013). Effect of Obesity on Resting Heart Rate Among Medical Students. *International Journal of Biomedical and Medical Research* **4**(4) 3593–96.

Sobal J, B Rauschenbach, and EA Fronqillo (2003). Marital Status Changes and Body Weight Changes: A US Longitudinal Analysis." *Social Science and Medicine* **56**(7) 1543–55.

Wing RR (2003). Behavioural Interventions for Obesity: Recognizing Our Progress and Future Challenges. *Obesity Research* 11 3S–6S.

Copyright: © 2023 by the Authors, published by Centre for Info Bio Technology. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC) license [https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/], which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, for non-commercial purpose, provided the original work is properly cited.